

# Authorization Framework for Network APIs Requirements Candidate Version 1.0 – 27 Mar 2012

Open Mobile Alliance OMA-RD-Autho4API-V1\_0-20120327-C Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <a href="http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html">http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html</a>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance<sup>TM</sup> specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavours to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the "OMA IPR Declarations" list at <a href="http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html">http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html</a>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE "OMA IPR DECLARATIONS" LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2012 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved. Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

# Contents

1.	SCO	OPE (INFORMATIVE)
2.	RE	FERENCES
	2.1 2.2	NORMATIVE REFERENCES
3.	TEI	RMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS
	3.1	CONVENTIONS
	3.2	ABBREVIATIONS
4.	INT	TRODUCTION (INFORMATIVE)
4	4.1	Version 1.0
5.		JTHORIZATION FRAMEWORK FOR NETWORK APIS RELEASE DESCRIPTION (INFORMATIVE)8
5.	ΑU	
5. 6.		CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)
6.		QUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)
6.	RE	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)
6.	RE( 6.1	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)
6.	<b>RE</b> ( 6.1 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)
6.	<b>RE</b> 6.1 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)       9         HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS       9         .1       Security       9         .2       Charging Events       11         .3       Administration and Configuration       11         .4       Usability       12
6.	<b>RE</b> 6.1 6.1. 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)       9         HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS       9         .1       Security       9         .2       Charging Events       11         .3       Administration and Configuration       11         .4       Usability       12         .5       Interoperability       12
6.	<b>RE</b> ( <b>6.1</b> 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)       9         HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS       9         .1       Security       9         .2       Charging Events       11         .3       Administration and Configuration       11         .4       Usability       12         .5       Interoperability       12         .6       Privacy       12
6.	<b>RE</b> ( <b>6.1</b> 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)       9         HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS       9         .1       Security       9         .2       Charging Events       11         .3       Administration and Configuration       11         .4       Usability       12         .5       Interoperability       12         .6       Privacy       12         .7       Shared Multi-Service Provider Scenarios       12
6.	<b>RE</b> ( <b>6.1</b> 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)       9         HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS       9         .1       Security       9         .2       Charging Events       11         .3       Administration and Configuration       11         .4       Usability       12         .5       Interoperability       12         .6       Privacy       12
6.	<b>RE</b> 6.1 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)       9         HIGH-LEVEL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS       9         .1       Security       9         .2       Charging Events       11         .3       Administration and Configuration       11         .4       Usability       12         .5       Interoperability       12         .6       Privacy       12         .7       Shared Multi-Service Provider Scenarios       12
6. () ()	<b>RE</b> 6.1 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1. 6.1.	CQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)

# Tables

Table 1: High-Level Functional Requirements	9
Table 2: Generic Authorization Requirements – from GSMA RCS	10
Table 3: Generic Authorization Requirements	10
Table 4: Specific OAuth 2.0 Authorization Requirements – from GSMA RCS	11
Table 5: Specific OAuth 2.0 Authorization Requirements	11
Table 6: Confidentiality Requirements	11
Table 7: Administration and Configuration Requirements – from GSMA RCS	12
Table 8: Usability Requirements – from GSMA RCS	12
Table 9: Privacy Requirements – from GSMA RCS	12
Table 10: Privacy Requirements	12
Table 11: Shared Multi-Service Provider Requirements	13

# (Informative)

The Authorization Framework for Network APIs will enable a resource owner owning network resources exposed by the Network APIs and RESTful APIs, to authorize third-party applications (desktop, mobile and web applications) to access these resources via that API on the resource owner's behalf.

# 2. References

## 2.1 Normative References

[RFC2119]	"Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", S. Bradner, March 1997, URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt
[RCSREQ]	"Rich Communication Suite RCS API Detailed Requirements 1.1, 17 October 2011", GSMA Association, 2011.
[OAuth2.0]	"The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Protocol", E. Hammer-Lahav, last DRAFT,
	URL: http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-oauth-v2

## 2.2 Informative References

[OMADICT] "Dictionary for OMA Specifications", Version 2.8, Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-ORG-Dictionary-V2\_8, URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

# 3. Terminology and Conventions

## 3.1 Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except "Scope" and "Introduction", are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

## 3.2 Abbreviations

API	Application Program Interface
Autho4API	Authorization Framework for Network APIs
GSMA	Global System for Mobile communications Association
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
RCS	Rich Communication Suite
RC_API	APIs for Rich Communications
TLS	Transport Layer Security

# 4. Introduction

# (Informative)

OMA RESTful Network APIs may be complemented with a common delegated authorization framework based on OAuth 2.0, for access of third party applications via those APIs.

The Delegated Authorization framework will enable a user owning network resources exposed by an OMA RESTful API, to authorize third-party applications (desktop, mobile and web applications) to access these resources via that API on the user's behalf.

## 4.1 Version 1.0

The main objective is to re-use OAuth 2.0 specifications, by referencing and profiling the specifications as needed for use by OMA RESTful APIs and extend (if required so) OAuth 2.0. Additional extensions, but not changes to OAuth 2.0, may be included in order to meet the scope.

# 5. Authorization Framework for Network APIs release description (Informative)

This release defines a commonly reusable, lightweight, Web-friendly delegated authorization framework for OMA RESTful APIs. This authorization framework is based on OAuth 2.0.

## 5.1 End-to-end Service Description

This authorization framework will allow granting authorization to different types of applications (desktop, mobile and web applications), taking into account their specific characteristics.

# (Normative)

This section captures the requirements for the enabler Autho4API. The main share of the requirements originates from the GSM Association's RCS API (Rich Communication Suite) requirements related to authorization, which are accessible in document [RCSREQ].

The OMA enabler Autho4API covers the referenced authorization related requirements, but is intended to provide a more generic authorization approach applicable to a broad range of RESTful Network APIs.

To model that, this document references the applicable requirements related to authorization from [RCSREQ], and defines additional requirements.

## 6.1 High-Level Functional Requirements

This section contains the High Level requirements for the enabler Autho4API.

The following requirements apply:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API-	The Authorization Framework SHALL not prevent an instance of an application from	Autho4API
HLF-001	accessing multiple resources owned by the same owner.	V1.0

#### **Table 1: High-Level Functional Requirements**

#### 6.1.1 Security

#### 6.1.1.1 Authentication

Authentication (of user, application, or developer) is out of the scope for the enabler Autho4API.

#### 6.1.1.2 Authorization

#### 6.1.1.2.1 Generic authorization

This section contains the generic authorization requirements for the enabler Autho4API.

The following requirements from GSMA RCS [RCSREQ] apply to this enabler:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-001	AUT-001 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ]	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-002	AUT-002 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-003	AUT-003 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-004	AUT-004 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-005	AUT-007 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-006	AUT-010 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-007	AUT-013 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-008	AUT-014 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCAZ-009	AUT-016 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0

Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API	
RCAZ-010	AUT-019 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0	

#### Table 2: Generic Authorization Requirements – from GSMA RCS

In addition, the following requirements apply:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API- AZ-001	The Authorization Framework SHALL support one-time access tokens, depending on the type of the request (e.g. charging).	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- AZ-002	The Authorization Framework SHALL validate the access token received from the third-party application and ensure it has not expired and that its scope covers the requested resource.	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- AZ-003	The Authorization Framework MAY facilitate presenting to the resource owner the trustworthiness of the third-party application before the resource owner grants the third-party application access to his/her network resources.	Autho4API Future versions
Autho4API- AZ-004	The Authorization Framework SHOULD be able to inform the third-party application about the expiry time of an issued access token.	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- AZ-005	The Authorization Framework SHOULD support the issuing and processing of access tokens in a specified format, handled as opaque data by the third-party application. In the specified format of the access token it SHOULD be possible to omit parameters impacting user's privacy.	Autho4API V1.0

#### **Table 3: Generic Authorization Requirements**

#### 6.1.1.2.2 Specific OAuth 2.0 Authorization

This section contains the specific OAuth 2.0 authorization requirements for the enabler Autho4API.

The following requirements from	GSMA RCS	[RCSREO] annl	v to this enabler
The following requirements from	ODITI I ICO	Inconceve appr	y to this chaoter.

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API- RCOAZ-001	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-001 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-002	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-002 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-003	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-003 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-004	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-004 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-005	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-005 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-006	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-006 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-007	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-007 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-008	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-008 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-009	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-009 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-010	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-010 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- RCOAZ-011	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-011 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	Autho4API V1.0

Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-OAU-012 from GSMA RCS document	Autho4API
RCOAZ-012	[RCSREQ].	V1.0

#### Table 4: Specific OAuth 2.0 Authorization Requirements - from GSMA RCS

In addition, the following requirements apply:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API- OAZ-001	Values that specify the scope of an OAuth 2.0 access token SHALL conform to [OAuth2.0], regardless of where those values are defined.	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- OAZ-002	The Authorization Framework SHALL be able to accept and process values that specify the scope of an OAuth 2.0 access token, regardless where those values are defined, as long as they were defined in conformance with [OAuth 2.0].	Autho4API V1.0
Autho4API- OAZ-003	The Authorization Framework SHOULD define guidelines or facilitate mechanisms to manage values that specify the scope of an OAuth 2.0 token, to support both such values defined via standards as well as such values defined as extensions to the standard values by Service Providers.	Autho4API V1.0

#### Table 5: Specific OAuth 2.0 Authorization Requirements

#### 6.1.1.3 Data Integrity

Data integrity is out of scope.

#### 6.1.1.4 Confidentiality

Credentials (e.g., access token) may be transmitted in the HTTP request and response with clear-text format. IETF OAuth2.0 [OAuth2.0] requires TLS must be supported when transporting the access token.

The following confidentiality requirements apply for the enabler Autho4API:

Label	Description				
Autho4API- CONF-001	The Authorization Framework SHALL support confidentiality of the authorization grant and access token when they are transported in plain-text.	Autho4API v1.0			
Autho4API- CONF-002	The Authorization Framework MAY support confidentiality of the user information (e.g., user email address, mobile phone number, etc.) transported between the third-party application and the Authorization Framework.	Autho4API v1.0			

#### **Table 6: Confidentiality Requirements**

#### 6.1.2 Charging Events

Charging events are out of scope.

#### 6.1.3 Administration and Configuration

This section contains the administration and configuration requirements for the enabler Autho4API.

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API-RCADM-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
001	AUT-006 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-RCADM-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
002	AUT-011 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-RCADM-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
003	AUT-017 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0

The following requirements from GSMA RCS [RCSREQ] apply to this enabler:

Autho4API-RCADM-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API	
004	AUT-018 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0	

#### Table 7: Administration and Configuration Requirements - from GSMA RCS

#### 6.1.4 Usability

This section contains the usability requirements for the enabler Autho4API.

The following requirements from GSMA RCS [RCSREQ] apply to this enabler:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCUSE-001	AUT-008 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCUSE-002	AUT-009 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-	Autho4API
RCUSE-003	AUT-012 from GSMA RCS document [RCSREQ].	V1.0

#### Table 8: Usability Requirements – from GSMA RCS

#### 6.1.5 Interoperability

Out of scope.

#### 6.1.6 Privacy

This section contains the privacy requirements for the enabler Autho4API.

The following requirements from GSMA RCS [RCSREQ] apply to this enabler:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API-	This requirement maps to the requirement UNI-AUT-005 from GSMA RCS document	Autho4API
RCPRV-001	[RCSREQ].	V1.0

#### Table 9: Privacy Requirements – from GSMA RCS

In addition, the following privacy requirements apply for the enabler Autho4API:

Label	Description	Release
Autho4API- PRV-001	The Authorization framework SHALL NOT require a user to reveal to third-party applications her/his identities (e.g. MSISDN) he/she uses to authenticate to the service provider.	Autho4API V1.0

#### **Table 10: Privacy Requirements**

## 6.1.7 Shared Multi-Service Provider Scenarios

This section contains the requirements to satisfy when one or a set of RESTful Network APIs are offered by several Service Providers in a shared manner. In this context, shared means that the relationship of the Application developer is with a single entity, i.e.: not with each Service Provider individually.

The following requirements apply to this enabler:

Label	Description			
Autho4API- MSP-001	The Authorization Framework SHOULD support seamless access of a client Application to a RESTful Network API exposed by a particular Service Provider, in an environment where multiple Service Providers expose the same RESTful Network API, without the need for the client Application to know the details of the selected Service Provider	Autho4API V1.0		
Autho4API- MSP-002	In the scenario described in AUTHO4API-MSP-001, an Application SHOULD be able to gain access rights and later access to protected RESTful Network API resources using the same procedures as in the scenario in which an Application deals individually with the Service Provider exposing the RESTful Network API.	Autho4API V1.0		
Autho4API- MSP-003	In the scenario described in AUTHO4API-MSP-001, for privacy/regulatory reasons, it SHOULD be possible for the Application to gain access to the RESTful Network APIs without knowing the Service Provider of the Resource Owner	Autho4API V1.0		
Autho4API- MSP-004	The Authorization Framework SHALL NOT prevent the particular Service Provider to perform authentication and token issuance in accordance with its policy.	Autho4API V1.0		

**Table 11: Shared Multi-Service Provider Requirements** 

## 6.2 **Overall System Requirements**

Overall system requirements are out of scope.

# Appendix A. Change History

# (Informative)

## A.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
n/a	n/a	No prior version -or- No previous version within OMA

## A.2 Draft/Candidate Version 1.0 History

Document Identifier	Date	Sections	Description
Draft Versions	15 Mar 2011	All	Creates the RD baseline:
OMA-RD-Autho4API-V1_0			OMA-ARC_Autho4API-2011-0002R01-INP_Autho4API_RD_Baseline
	23 Mar.	6.1	Incorporates the following CRs:
	2011		OMA-ARC-Auth4API-2011-0003R02-
			CR_Authorization_Requirements_from_GSMA_RCS
			OMA-ARC-Auth4API-2011-0004R01-
			CR_Privacy_Requirements_from_GSMA_RCS
			OMA-ARC-Auth4API-2011-0005- CR_Additional_OAuth2.0_Authorization_Requirements
	09 Apr. 2011	6.1.1	Incorporates the following CRs:
			OMA-ARC-Auth4API-2011-0006R03-
			CR_Additional_Confidentiality_Requirements
			OMA-ARC-Auth4API-2011-0007R02-
	01 M 2011	6.1	CR_Additional_authorization_requirements
	01 May 2011	6.1	Incorporates the following CRs:
			OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0019R02- CR_Additional_High_level_Requirement_for_Autho4API
			OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0021-CR_Changing_Requirement_Labels
	02 May 2011	6.1.6	Incorporates the following CRs:
	02 may 2011	0.110	OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0020-
			CR_Additional_privacy_requirement_for_Autho4API
	04 May 2011	All	Editorial changes and Incorporates the following CRs:
			OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0022-CR_Autho4API_RD_additional_text
			OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0023R01-
			CR_Abbreviation_and_Editorial_Changes
	11 May 2011	6.1.7	Editorial changes and Incorporates the following CRs:
			OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0017R05- CR_New_requirements_for_Distributed_OAuth_scenarios
			OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0025 R01
	16 May 2011	6.1.1.2.1	Incorporates the following CRs:
	10 May 2011	0.1.1.2.1	OMA-ARC-Autho4API-2011-0024R02-
			CR_Additional_Auth_requirement_for_Autho4API
	02 June 2011	2	Editorial changes and Incorporating the following contribution:
		6.1.6	OMA-ARC-2011-0219-INP_REQinformalReviewON2RDs
		A.2	
	30 June 2011	2	Adding the URL of the reference RCS Requirements.
	10 Nov 2011	2	Replacing the reference GSMA RCS requirements 1.0 with GSMA RCS requirements 1.1
	11 Nov 2011	2	Adding the URL for GSMA RCS requirements 1.1.
	05 Jan 2012	All	Updating Autho4API v1.0 RD to address editorial comments according to OMA-CONRR-Autho4API-V1_0-20120104-D
	02 Feb. 2012	2 6.1.1.4	Addressing consistency review comments A005 and A009 in OMA- CONRR-Autho4API-V1_0-20120201-D

Document Identifier	Date	Sections	Description
Candidate Version	27 Mar 2012	n/a	Status changed to Candidate by TP
OMA-RD-Autho4API-V1_0			TP Ref # OMA-TP-2012-0124-
			INP_Autho4API_1_0_ERP_and_ETR_for_Candidate_Approval