



# **Enabler Test Specification for DRM**

## **Interoperability**

Candidate Version 2.0 – 04 Jul 2006

---

**Open Mobile Alliance**

OMA-ETS-DRM-INT-V2\_0-20060704-C

Use of this document is subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Use Agreement located at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/UseAgreement.html>.

Unless this document is clearly designated as an approved specification, this document is a work in process, is not an approved Open Mobile Alliance™ specification, and is subject to revision or removal without notice.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. Information contained in this document may be used, at your sole risk, for any purposes. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the Open Mobile Alliance. The Open Mobile Alliance authorizes you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services. The Open Mobile Alliance assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document.

Each Open Mobile Alliance member has agreed to use reasonable endeavors to inform the Open Mobile Alliance in a timely manner of Essential IPR as it becomes aware that the Essential IPR is related to the prepared or published specification. However, the members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. The declared Essential IPR is publicly available to members and non-members of the Open Mobile Alliance and may be found on the “OMA IPR Declarations” list at <http://www.openmobilealliance.org/ipr.html>. The Open Mobile Alliance has not conducted an independent IPR review of this document and the information contained herein, and makes no representations or warranties regarding third party IPR, including without limitation patents, copyrights or trade secret rights. This document may contain inventions for which you must obtain licenses from third parties before making, using or selling the inventions. Defined terms above are set forth in the schedule to the Open Mobile Alliance Application Form.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE “OMA IPR DECLARATIONS” LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

© 2006 Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Used with the permission of the Open Mobile Alliance Ltd. under the terms set forth above.

# Contents

<b>1. SCOPE</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>2. REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>2.1. NORMATIVE REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>2.2. INFORMATIVE REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>3.1. CONVENTIONS</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>3.2. DEFINITIONS</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>3.3. ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>4. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5. CONFORMANCE TEST CASES</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>6. INTEROPERABILITY TEST CASES</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>6.1. BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY</b> .....	<b>11</b>
6.1.1. Forward Lock .....	11
6.1.2. Combined Delivery .....	12
6.1.3. Separate Delivery .....	13
<b>6.2. ROAP</b> .....	<b>14</b>
6.2.1. Registration and RO Acquisition .....	14
6.2.2. Registration with existing RI Context .....	15
6.2.3. RO Acquisition without existing RI Context .....	15
6.2.4. 1-pass RO Acquisition with existing RI Context .....	17
6.2.5. 1-pass RO Acquisition without existing RI Context .....	18
<b>6.3. DEVICE TIME SYNCHRONIZATION</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>6.4. DEVICE RIGHTS OBJECT INCLUDED IN DCF</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>6.5. GROUP ID</b> .....	<b>21</b>
6.5.1. Rights Object for Group ID DCFs .....	21
6.5.2. Individual Rights Object for Group ID DCF .....	22
<b>6.6. MULTIPLE RIGHTS OBJECTS FOR SINGLE DCF</b> .....	<b>23</b>
6.6.1. Multiple ROs with satisfied constraints .....	23
6.6.2. Multiple ROs with satisfied and unsatisfied constraints .....	24
<b>6.7. MULTIPART DCF</b> .....	<b>25</b>
6.7.1. Single RO for Multipart DCF .....	25
6.7.2. Multiple ROs for Multipart DCF .....	26
6.7.3. Different group IDs in multipart DCF .....	27
<b>6.8. SUPERDISTRIBUTION</b> .....	<b>28</b>
6.8.1. DCF-initiated RO Acquisition .....	28
6.8.2. RO acquisition with TransactionID .....	29
<b>6.9. PERMISSION MODEL</b> .....	<b>31</b>
6.9.1. <display> and <print> permission for an image object .....	31
6.9.2. <play> permission for a sound object .....	32
6.9.3. <execute> permission for an application object .....	33
<b>6.10. CONSTRAINT MODEL</b> .....	<b>34</b>
6.10.1. Count constraint .....	34
6.10.2. Timed-Count constraint .....	35
6.10.3. Datetime constraint .....	36
6.10.4. Interval constraint.....	37
6.10.5. Accumulated constraint.....	38
6.10.6. Individual constraint.....	39
6.10.7. System constraint .....	40
6.10.8. Multiple constraints.....	41
6.10.9. Top-level constraints.....	42
<b>6.11. PREVIEW</b> .....	<b>43</b>
6.11.1. Preview rights acquisition, domain name not in the Whitelist .....	43
6.11.2. Preview rights acquisition, domain name in the Whitelist .....	44
<b>6.12. INHERITANCE MODEL</b> .....	<b>45</b>

6.12.1. Inheritance with Stateful Rights .....	45
6.12.2. Multiple Parent Rights Objects .....	47
6.12.3. Parent RO with a group child RO .....	49
<b>6.13. DOMAINS.....</b>	<b>50</b>
6.13.1. Domain join without existing RI Context .....	50
6.13.2. Domain join with valid RI Context.....	52
6.13.3. Domain upgrade .....	53
6.13.3.1. New Domain RO delivered before domain upgrade.....	53
6.13.3.2. Domain join with existing Domain Context.....	54
6.13.4. Domain RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.....	55
6.13.5. Domain RO in a DCF.....	56
6.13.6. Sharing a DCF containing a RO between devices in the same domain.....	57
6.13.7. Domain leave with valid RI Context.....	58
<b>6.14. SILENT HEADER.....</b>	<b>59</b>
6.14.1. Domain name not in the Whitelist.....	59
6.14.2. Domain name in the Whitelist.....	61
<b>6.15. LOCAL BACKUP OF CONTENT AND RIGHTS OBJECTS.....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>6.16. UNCONNECTED DEVICES .....</b>	<b>63</b>
6.16.1. Device registration and domain establishment.....	63
6.16.2. RO Acquisition with existing RI Context .....	64
6.16.3. Leaving Domain.....	65
6.16.4. RO Acquisition without existing RI Context .....	66
6.16.5. DRM Agent without DRM Time .....	67
<b>6.17. MULTIPLE PKIS .....</b>	<b>68</b>
6.17.1. Device with two certificates .....	68
6.17.2. RI with two certificates .....	69
6.17.3. Device and RI each with two certificates .....	70
<b>6.18. NON-STREAMABLE PDCF.....</b>	<b>71</b>
6.18.1. One-track PDCF with NULL encryption .....	71
6.18.2. One-track encrypted PDCF .....	72
6.18.3. Multi-track encrypted PDCF .....	73
6.18.4. PDCF Super Distribution (Transaction Tracking) .....	74
6.18.5. Multi-track PDCF with rights for only one track .....	76
<b>6.19. STREAMABLE PDCF.....</b>	<b>77</b>
6.19.1. One-track PDCF without selective encryption.....	77
6.19.2. SDP initiated RO acquisition .....	78
6.19.3. Multi-track PDCF.....	79
6.19.4. Group RO for PDCF .....	80
6.19.5. Domain RO in the PDCF .....	81
<b>APPENDIX A. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE).....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>A.1 APPROVED VERSION HISTORY .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>A.2 DRAFT/CANDIDATE VERSION 2.0 HISTORY .....</b>	<b>82</b>

# 1. Scope

This document describes in detail interoperability test cases for the OMA DRM v 2.0 specification.

## 2. References

### 2.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] “Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”. S. Bradner. March 1997.  
[URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt)
- [DRM] “DRM Rights Management”. Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-DRM-DRM-v1\_0.  
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.com/](http://www.openmobilealliance.com/).
- [DRM-v2.0] “DRM Rights Management”. Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-DRM-DRM-v2\_0, January 2006 release. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.com/](http://www.openmobilealliance.com/).
- [DRMCF-v2.0] “DRM Content Format”. Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-DRM-DCF-v2\_0, January 2006 release. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.com/](http://www.openmobilealliance.com/).
- [DRMREL-v2.0] “DRM Rights Expression Language”. Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-DRM-REL-v2\_0, January 2006 release. [URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.com/](http://www.openmobilealliance.com/).

### 2.2. Informative References

- [ETSCConfCli] “Enabler Test Specification for DRM-V2\_0”, OMA-ETS-DRM-V2\_0-Conformance-Client,  
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.com/](http://www.openmobilealliance.com/).
- [ETSCConfRI] “Enabler Test Specification for DRM-V2\_0”, OMA-ETS-DRM-V2\_0-Conformance-RI,  
[URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.com/](http://www.openmobilealliance.com/)

## 3. Terminology and Conventions

### 3.1. Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except “Scope” and “Introduction”, are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

The following numbering scheme is used:

xxx-y.z-con/int-number where:

xxx	Name of enabler, e.g. MMS or Browsing
y.z	Version of enabler release, e.g. 1.2 or 1.2.1
con	Indicating this test is a conformance test case
int	Indicating this test is a interoperability test case
number	Leapnumber for the test case

### 3.2. Definitions

<b>Asset</b>	Content governed by rights. See DRM content.
<b>Combined delivery</b>	Delivery of the rights object and content together in a single message. See DRM message.
<b>Composite object</b>	A DCF that contains one or more DCFs by means of inclusion e.g. DRM messages, zip files.
<b>Content</b>	A DCF
<b>DRM Agent</b>	A mobile device consuming DRM content.
<b>DRM agent</b>	A user agent in the device that enforces the rights and controls the consumption of DRM content on the device.
<b>DRM content</b>	Content that is consumed according to a set of rights. DRM content may be in encrypted DRM Content Format or in plaintext delivered inside a DRM message
<b>DRM message</b>	A message containing a DCF and an optional rights object. DCFs received inside a DRM message must not leave the device. The optional rights object defines additional consumption rules for the DCF.
<b>Forward-lock</b>	A special case of combined delivery method where the DRM message includes only the DCF and not a rights object at all. A set of default rights applies for the DCF.
<b>DCF</b>	A digital resource e.g. a ringing tone, a screen saver, a Java game or a composite object.
<b>Media type</b>	A MIME media type.
<b>Rights</b>	Permissions and constraints defining under which circumstances access is granted to DRM content.
<b>Rights issuer</b>	An entity who issues rights objects.
<b>Rights object</b>	An instance of rights
<b>Separate delivery</b>	Delivery of the rights object and content via separate transports.
<b>Superdistribution</b>	A mechanism that (1) allows the end user to redistribute the encrypted DRM content to other end users through potentially insecure channels and (2) enables the recipients to obtain initial rights for the superdistributed DRM content.

### 3.3. Abbreviations

CEK	Content Encryption Key
DCF	DRM Content Format
DRM	Digital Rights Management
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
MIME	Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
REL	Rights Expression Language
RI	Rights Issuer
RO	Rights Object
ROAP	Rights Object Acquisition Protocol
SCR	Static Conformance Requirement
WAP	Wireless Application Protocol
WSP	Wireless Session Protocol



## 4. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide interoperability test cases for Digital Rights Management (DRM) Enabler Release 2.0.

Following items are needed to test the DRM 2.0 functionality:

- A Content Issuer configured to support:
  - application/vnd.oma.drm.dcf (DRM Content Format)
  - application/sdp (Session Descriptor Protocol)
- A Rights Issuer configured to support:
  - application/vnd.oma.drm.ro+xml (DRM Rights Object)
  - application/vnd.oma.drm.roap-pdu+xml (DRM ROAP PDUs)
  - application/vnd.oma.drm.roap-trigger+xml (DRM ROAP Trigger)
- An object-packaging tool capable of packaging content to DCF and PDCF.
- A streaming server and client to support 3GP and/or 3GP2 streaming of PDCF files.

Following items are needed for DRM 1.0 backwards compatibility testing (DRM-2.0-int-1, DRM-2.0-int-2, DRM-2.0-int-3) :

- An origin server configured to support the DRM content types application/vnd.oma.drm.message (DRM message) and application/vnd.oma.drm.content (DRM content format).
- An origin server configured to support the DRM Rights Objects application/vnd.oma.drm.rights+xml and application/vnd.oma.drm.rights+wbxml.
- An object-packaging tool capable of packaging DRM objects.
- A push proxy gateway for delivering a rights object to the mobile device.

It is expected that server vendors attending OMA DRM 2.0 Test Fests are capable of acting as both Content Issuers and Rights Issuers and their product will contain an appropriate portal to fulfil these tasks. If the prerequisite for a test case is that there is a DCF stored on the terminal, then these DCFs will be packaged and delivered by the server vendors. Server vendors supporting PDCF test cases are expected to provide a 3GP/3GP2 Progressive Download and/or Streaming Server.

HTTP shall be used as the default transport mechanism for ROAP and DCF delivery.

## 5. Conformance Test Cases

Conformance Test Cases are covered on [ETSConfCli] for the client and [ETSConfRI] for the Right Issuer.

## 6. Interoperability Test Cases

There are 65 interoperability test cases for DRM 2.0 Enabler.

### 6.1. Backward compatibility

#### 6.1.1. Forward Lock

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-1
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test “Forward Lock” DRM 1.0 functionality.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Appendix B, [DRM] Chapter 5.3.
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-052
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	There is a DRM message “binary” Content-Transfer-Encoding for the DRM message body part.
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM message (binary) is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the media object.</li> <li>3. User tries to forward the received media object using all available means (IrDA, Bluetooth, MMS, email, unprotected storage on removable media or other data storage etc.)</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM message (binary) is delivered successfullyThe DRM Agent includes application/vnd.oma.drm.message in the Accept header of the HTTP request.</li> <li>2. The media object can be consumed without any constraints.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent does not allow the media object to be forwarded in unprotected form from the device.</li> </ol>

## 6.1.2. Combined Delivery

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-2
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test DRM 1.0 “Combined Delivery” functionality.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Appendix B, [DRM] Chapters 5.4 and 6.
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-052
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	There is a media object packaged with a rights object in a DRM message.
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM message is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM message is a) delivered successfully or b) the DRM Agent discards the DRM message (in case Combined Delivery is not supported).</li> <li>2. In case a) the media object can be used in accordance with the associated rights.</li> </ol>

### 6.1.3. Separate Delivery

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-3
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test DRM 1.0 “Separate Delivery” functionality in case the DCF file indicates that the server intends to push the rights object separately.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Appendix B, [DRM] Chapter 5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-052
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>There is an encrypted media object packaged in a DRM content format and an associated rights object.</p> <p>There is a push proxy gateway for delivering a rights object to the DRM Agent.</p> <p>The DRM Agent supports Separate Delivery and the delivery of the rights object using WAP Push.</p>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An encrypted media object packaged in a DRM content format package is delivered to the DRM Agent. The DCF file indicates with the X-Oma-Drm-Separate-Delivery header that the server intends to push the rights object separately.</li> <li>2. The rights object is delivered to the DRM Agent using WAP push technology (using unconfirmed push over connectionless session service using the Push OTA Protocol service primitive Po-Unit-Push).</li> <li>3. User tries to use (display/play/execute/print) the media object.</li> <li>4. User tries to forward the received rights object using all available means (IrDA, Bluetooth, MMS, email, storage on unprotected removable media etc.).</li> <li>5. User tries to forward the DCF object using all available means (IrDA, Bluetooth, MMS, email, storage on unprotected removable media etc.).</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM content format package is delivered successfully.</li> <li>2. The rights object is delivered successfully.</li> <li>3. The media object can be used in accordance with the associated rights.</li> <li>4. DRM Agent does not allow the rights object to be forwarded from the device.</li> <li>5. The DRM Agent allows the DCF object to be forwarded</li> </ol>

## 6.2. ROAP

### 6.2.1. Registration and RO Acquisition

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-4
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Test the 4-pass ROAP Registration protocol. The DRM Agent will register with the RI and then complete 2-pass RO Acquisition to prove that the registration was processed successfully.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Chapter 5.1 and section 5.2.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-041, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-057, DRM-CLI-UD-065
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends RegistrationRequest trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration.</li> <li>3. RI sends RO Acquisition trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent prompts user for consent regarding the registration procedure.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol.</li> <li>3. RI and DRM Agent complete 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

### 6.2.2. Registration with existing RI Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-5
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Test the 4-pass Registration protocol when there is already an RI Context stored on the device, and a device context stored on the RI. RO Acquisition is used to prove that the re-registration is successful.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Chapter 5.1 and section 5.2.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CMN-041, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-057, DRM-CLI-UD-065
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There exists a valid RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ There exists a valid Device Context on the Rights Issuer with the DRM Agent under test.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends RegistrationRequest trigger to the DRM Agent</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration</li> <li>3. RI sends an RO Acquisition trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent prompts user for consent regarding the registration procedure.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete 4-pass Registration Protocol.</li> <li>3. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol.</li> <li>4. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

### 6.2.3. RO Acquisition without existing RI Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-6
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	RO Acquisition without existing RI Context
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Chapter 5.1, section 5.1.7 and section 5.2.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CMN-041, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-057, DRM-CLI-UD-065

<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No valid RI Context with the RI server under test exists on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a RO Acquisition trigger from the RI.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration/RO acquisition.</li> <li>3. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent prompts the user for registration/RO acquisition.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent sends Device Hello to roapURL in the RO Acquisition trigger, and RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol. The DRM Agent sends RO-Request to roapURL in the RO Acquisition trigger, and RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>



## 6.2.4. 1-pass RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-7
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	1-pass RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] 5.4.3.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-041, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-058, DRM-CLI-UD-065
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There exists a valid RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends a RO Response message.</li> <li>2. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	1-2. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.

## 6.2.5. 1-pass RO Acquisition without existing RI Context.

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-8
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	1-pass RO Acquisition without existing RI Context.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] 5.1.8, 5.4.3.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-041, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-058, DRM-CLI-UD-065
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No valid RI Context with the RI server under test exists on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ The RI has a Device Context.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends a RO Response message.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration.</li> <li>3. If an xHTML page is presented the user selects a trigger to download.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol> <p>OR</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends a RO Response message.</li> <li>2. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent prompts the user for registration.</li> <li>2. Upon positive user interaction; the DRM Agent sends an HTTP GET message to riURL in the ROPayload. The RI responds with a ROAP RegistrationTrigger or an XHTML page allowing the user to initiate registration.</li> <li>3. The RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol. The DRM Agent installs successfully the received the RO Response.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol> <p>OR</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent discards the RO.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent does not grant access to the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.3. Device Time Synchronization

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-9
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Server-initiated Device Time Synchronization
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] 5.1.8, 6.4
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CD-53, DRM-CLI-CD-54
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> <li>○ One OCSP Responder</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The terminal's DRM Time is incorrect (i.e. different from the server time).</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI which is valid for both the DRM Agent and the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ There is no RO stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Can be tested at the same time as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ RO Acquisition</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a RO Acquisition trigger.</li> <li>2. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent sends a RO Request message containing its DRM Time. The RI responds with a RO Response message whose status attribute is set to "DeviceTimeError". The DRM Agent starts the 4-pass Registration Protocol. After receiving the RegistrationRequest message as part of the 4-pass Registration, the RI sends a nonce-based OCSP Request to its OCSP Responder, including the nonce provided by the terminal in the RegistrationRequest message. The RI includes the OCSP Response in the RegistrationResponse message. After 4-pass Registration is completed, the DRM Agent and RI successfully complete 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO (which implies that the device time update was successful).</li> </ol>

## 6.4. Device Rights Object included in DCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-10
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test a situation where an RO is included in the DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] 5.2.4.2 [DRMDRM] 9.3.1.3
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-11
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One Content/RI Server.</li> <li>○ DCF with integrated Device RO.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DCF is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF according to associated rights.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DCF is delivered successfully.</li> <li>2. The DCF can be used in accordance with the associated rights. Note that this includes that the DCF cannot be used beyond what the specified rights permit.</li> </ol>

## 6.5. Group ID

### 6.5.1. Rights Object for Group ID DCFs

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-11
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test behaviour in the presence of a group RO for multiple DCFs, using the Group ID mechanism.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] 5.2.3.1.
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-8
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There are two DCFs with the same GroupID stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO for this group, which contains a permission with an associated count constraint set to 3.</li> <li>○ There is no same entry as the RO in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ There is no entry of this RO in DRM Agent replay cache.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCFs residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use both DCFs belonging to the group.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol. A group RO is delivered to the terminal.</li> <li>2. The DCFs can be used in accordance with the associated RO; i.e. the two DCFs can be accessed a total of 3 times (for example one of them once and the other twice).</li> </ol>

## 6.5.2. Individual Rights Object for Group ID DCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-12
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test behaviour in the presence of an individual RO for a content item which has a Group ID.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] 5.2.3.1.
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-8
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There are two DCFs with the same GroupID stored on the terminal: DCF A and DCF B</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for one of the DCFs residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use DCF A</li> <li>3. User tries to use DCF B.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol. An individual RO containing the CID or the content item in DCF A (not to the group) is delivered.</li> <li>2. DCF A can be used in accordance with the associated RO.</li> <li>3. DCF B cannot be used.</li> </ol>

## 6.6. Multiple Rights Objects for single DCF

### 6.6.1. Multiple ROs with satisfied constraints

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-13
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test behaviour in the presence of several rights objects for one piece of content.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM] 5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	None
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ ROs to be delivered are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Several ROs are delivered to the device using 1-pass or 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol, where there are several RO Responses and each RO Response contains one RO. All ROs contain satisfied conditions, i.e., individually grant use of the content.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ROs are successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DCF can be used in accordance with the rights of exactly one of the rights objects. If those rights expire the DCF can be used in accordance with the rights of exactly one of the other rights objects. The process should continue until all of the rights are consumed (if they are consumable).</li> </ol>

### 6.6.2. Multiple ROs with satisfied and unsatisfied constraints

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-14
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test behaviour in the presence of several rights objects for one piece of content.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM] 5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	None
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ ROs to be delivered are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Several ROs are delivered to the device using 1-pass or 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol, where there are several RO Responses and each RO Response contains one RO. At least one RO contains satisfied conditions, i.e., individually grants use of the content. At least one RO contains unsatisfied conditions, i.e. does not grant use of the content.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ROs are successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DCF can be used in accordance with the associated rights of exactly one of the rights objects for which the usage conditions are satisfied. Once the rights in the satisfied RO are exhausted the DCF can be used in accordance with another RO with satisfied constraints; if all ROs with satisfied constraints are exhausted it should no longer be possible to access the content.</li> </ol>



## 6.7. Multipart DCF

### 6.7.1. Single RO for Multipart DCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-15
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test DRM Agent's capability to process Multipart DCFs from the RI.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] 6.4, [DRM-v2.0] 9.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-19
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a Multipart DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has created an RO that grants permissions to use every container in the Multipart DCF.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use all the media objects contained in the Multipart DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol.</li> <li>2. The DCFs can be used in accordance with the associated RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.7.2. Multiple ROs for Multipart DCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-16
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test behaviour in the presence of multiple ROs for a multipart DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] 6.4, [DRM-v2.0] 9.2.1.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-19
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a Multipart DCF containing separate media objects stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI is capable of generating separate ROs for all the media objects in the Multipart DCF.</li> <li>○ The ROs are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. One RO per each media object is delivered to the DRM Agent using 1-pass or 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the media objects.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ROs are successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The media objects can be used in accordance with the associated ROs.</li> </ol>

## 6.7.3. Different group IDs in multipart DCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-17
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test behaviour when different content items in a multipart DCF are associated with different groups
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] 5.2.3.1.
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-8
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There is multipart DCF on the device containing content item A and B (which both have the same group ID) and content item C (which has a different group ID or no group ID).</li> <li>○ ROs to be delivered are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests ROs for content items A and B.</li> <li>2. The device receives a group RO for the group containing content item A and B.</li> <li>3. User tries to use content item A</li> <li>4. User tries to use content item B.</li> <li>5. User tries to use content item C</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol.</li> <li>2. Content item A can be used in accordance with the associated RO.</li> <li>3. Content item B can be used in accordance with the rights in the RO.</li> <li>4. Content item C cannot be used.</li> </ol>

## 6.8. Superdistribution

### 6.8.1. DCF-initiated RO Acquisition

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-18
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent (client device B)
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test “Superdistribution” functionality. The protected content is sent from one DRM Agent to another. The rights object is obtained by ROAP session to the rights issuing service.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 12
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN- 024, DRM-CLI-CMN- 045, DRM-CLI-CMN-046, DRM-DCF-CLI-7
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Two terminals (A and B) each with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent B has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ DRM Agent A has stored a DCF, which contains neither silent-header, preview-header nor any ROs, on the terminal. The DCF contains a RightsIssuerURL. The RightsIssuerURL points to the RI and will return an RO Acquisition trigger or an xHTML page.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Client device A sends the DCF to client device B.</li> <li>2. Client device B tries to use the DCF.</li> <li>3. User requests a RO for the superdistributed DCF.</li> <li>4. If an xHTML page is presented the user selects a trigger to download.</li> <li>5. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Client device A is able to forward the DCF. Client device B receives the DCF.</li> <li>2. The device B does not render the DCF and gives the user an option of obtaining the rights object.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent connects to the DCF RightsIssuerURL. This either returns an RO Acquisition trigger; or an xHTML page which allows the user to obtain a ROAP trigger.</li> <li>4. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>5. The DCF can be used in accordance with the associated rights.</li> </ol>

## 6.8.2. RO acquisition with TransactionID

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-19
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent (client device B)
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test the TransactionID mechanism in connection with Superdistribution.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 12.3, [DRMCF-v2.0] 5.2.4.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN- 024, DRM-CLI-CMN- 045, DRM-CLI-CMN-046, DRM-DCF-CLI-7
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Two terminals (A and B) each with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agents A and B have a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ DRM Agent A has stored a DCF, which contains neither silent-header, preview-header nor any ROs, with a Transaction ID on the terminal. The DCF contains a RightsIssuerURL. The RightsIssuerURL points to the RI and will return an RO Acquisition trigger or an xHTML page.</li> <li>○ DRM Agents A and B do not yet have a RO for the content in the DCF.</li> <li>○ ROs to be delivered are stateless or there is no same entry in the replay cache on the DRM Agents.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Client device A tries to use the DCF.</li> <li>2. User (device A) requests a RO for the DCF.</li> <li>3. If an xHTML page is presented the user selects a trigger to download.</li> <li>4. User forwards DCF from terminal A to terminal B.</li> <li>5. On device B repeat steps 1 – 3.</li> <li>6. User (device B) tries to use the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The device A does not render the DCF and gives the user an option of obtaining the rights object. The device must also have consent to perform transaction tracking operations. This may be a global setting, an individual setting for this RI; or an explicit consent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent A connects to the DCF RightsIssuerURL. This either returns an RO Acquisition trigger; or an xHTML page which allows the user to obtain a ROAP trigger.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent A sends RO Request, containing the TransactionID it found in the DCF. The RI sends ROResponse message containing the new RO and a new TransactionID. DRM Agent A replaces the TransactionID in the DCF.</li> </ol>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. Client device A is able to forward the DCF. Client device B receives the DCF.</li><li>5. The user initiates the RO acquisition, transaction tracking consent is given. The transaction ID sent in the RO Request must be the same as the Transaction Id received in the RO Response on device A.</li><li>6. On device B, the DCF can be used in accordance with the associated rights.</li></ol>
--	---

## 6.9. Permission Model

### 6.9.1. <display> and <print> permission for an image object.

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-20
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <display> and <print> permissions.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Sections 5.4.1, 5.4.3 and 5.4.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-008, DRM-REL-GEN-C-009, DRM-REL-GEN-C-011, DRM-REL-GEN-C-013
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF containing an image file stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued a RO containing display and print permissions and no constraints.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to display the image.</li> <li>3. User tries to print the image (if applicable).</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to display the image.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent allows the user to print the image (if applicable).</li> </ol>

## 6.9.2. &lt;play&gt; permission for a sound object

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-21
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <play> permission.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Sections 5.4.1 and 5.4.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-008, DRM-REL-GEN-C-009, DRM-REL-GEN-C-010
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF containing a sound file stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing a play permission and no constraints to play the sound file.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to play the sound.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to play the sound.</li> </ol>



## 6.9.3. &lt;execute&gt; permission for an application object

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-22
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <execute> permission.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.4
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-008, DRM-REL-GEN-C-009, DRM-REL-GEN-C-012
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF containing an application file stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing a execute permission and no constraints to execute the application file.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to execute the application.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to execute the application.</li> </ol>

## 6.10. Constraint Model

### 6.10.1. Count constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-23
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <count> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.5.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-017
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing only a permission with an associated count constraint set to 2.</li> <li>○ There is no same entry as the RO in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF three times.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows accessing the DCF according to the permission in the RO for two times. On the third time, the DRM Agent does not grant access to the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.2. Timed-Count constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-24
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <timed-count> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.5.3
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-018
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing only a permission with an associated “timed-count” constraint set to 2 and a “timer” element set to 20 seconds.</li> <li>○ There is no same entry as the RO in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User uses the DCF a for a time period that is shorter than defined by the “timer” element.</li> <li>3. User uses the DCF three times a for a time period that is longer than defined by the “timer” element.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF. The “timed-count” element is not decreased.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF 2 times. The “timed-count” element is decreased. On the third time, the DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.3. Datetime constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-25
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent; RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <datetime> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.5.4
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-019, DRM-REL-GEN-C-020, DRM-REL-GEN-C-021
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing only a permission with an associated datetime constraint, which defines a start and end time.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF before the defined start time.</li> <li>3. User tries to use the DCF within the permitted time period.</li> <li>4. User tries to use the DCF after the defined end time.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF before the defined start time.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF within the permitted time period.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF after the defined end time.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.4. Interval constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-26
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <interval> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Chapter 5.5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-022
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing only a permission with an associated interval constraint.</li> <li>○ There is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF within the permitted time period.</li> <li>3. User tries to use the DCF after the permitted time period is over.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF within the permitted time period.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF after the permitted time period is over.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.5. Accumulated constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-27
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <accumulated> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Chapter 5.5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-023
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing only a permission with an associated accumulated constraint.</li> <li>○ There is no same entry as the RO in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF in several discrete sessions adding up to the accumulated time allowed.</li> <li>3. User tries to use the DCF after the accumulative period has elapsed.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF during each session until the total accumulated time is exhausted.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.6. Individual constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-28
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <individual> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Chapter 5.5.7
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-024
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ It is possible to change the user identity element (e.g. SIM Card) in the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing only a permission with an associated individual constraint.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF.</li> <li>3. User changes the ID element (e.g. SIM Card) and tries to use the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF with the correct ID element inserted.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF with the wrong ID element inserted.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.7. System constraint

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-29
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test <system> constraint for a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Chapter 5.5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-025
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has generated two ROs, both containing a permission with an associated system constraint.</li> <li>○ The ROs are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal. In the first RO the usage is constrained to a system, which is <b>not</b> used by the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF.</li> <li>3. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal. In the second RO, the usage is constrained to a system, which is used by the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. User tries to use the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the first RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent does NOT allow the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>3. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the second RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>



## 6.10.8. Multiple constraints

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-30
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test the effect of having multiple constraints.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-017, DRM-REL-GEN-C-019, DRM-REL-GEN-C-020, DRM-REL-GEN-C-021, DRM-REL-GEN-C-022
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing a permission with associated count, datetime and interval constraints.</li> <li>○ There is no same entry as the RO in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests a RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF before the start time of the &lt;datetime&gt; element. The end of the &lt;interval&gt; constraint has not been reached. The number of tries defined by the &lt;count&gt; element has not been reached.</li> <li>3. User tries to use the DCF after the start time, but before the end time, of the &lt;datetime&gt; element. The end of the &lt;interval&gt; constraint has not been reached. The number of tries defined by the &lt;count&gt; element has not been reached.</li> <li>4. User tries to use the DCF after the permitted &lt;interval&gt; is over but before the end time of the &lt;datetime&gt; element. The number of tries defined by the &lt;count&gt; element has not been reached.</li> <li>5. User tries to use the DCF after the end time of the &lt;datetime&gt; element. The number of tries defined by the &lt;count&gt; element has not been reached.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>5. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.10.9. Top-level constraints

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-31
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test the REL Permission Model in the case that the rights include a stateful top level constraint.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.4, 5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-017, DRM-REL-GEN-C-019, DRM-REL-GEN-C-020, DRM-REL-GEN-C-021, DRM-REL-GEN-C-022
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing a top level “count” constraint set to 2. Additionally the RO has a permission containing a &lt;datetime&gt; constraint valid now..</li> <li>○ The RO is not in the replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User requests the RO for the DCF residing on the terminal.</li> <li>2. User tries to use the DCF .</li> <li>3. The user tries to use the DCF again.</li> <li>4. The user tries to use the DCF again.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.11. Preview

### 6.11.1. Preview rights acquisition, domain name not in the Whitelist

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-32
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Initiate ROAP from DCF Preview Header with existing RI Context & domain name NOT in Domain Name Whitelist.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 5.1.8, 5.2.2, [DRMCF-v2.0] Section 5.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-7, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-026, DRM-CLI-CMN-031, DRM-CLI-CD-063
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>• One RI Server.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a DCF stored in the terminal, containing a preview header, where the "preview-method" is "preview-rights", with a "preview-rights-url" element. The DCF contains neither a silent-header nor any ROs.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent has an existing RI Context with the RI server under test.</li> <li>• The Preview-URL contained in the DCF is not contained in the DomainNameWhitelist of the Rights Issuer Context on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>• A Preview RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in the replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User tries to access the DCF.</li> <li>2. User wishes to acquire a Preview RO.</li> <li>3. After successful completion of the ROAP, the user tries to use the content.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent prompts the user whether she wishes to acquire a Preview RO.</li> <li>2. Upon positive user interaction; the DRM Agent sends a HTTP GET message to the Preview-Rights-URL. The RI responds with a ROAP Trigger containing an ROAcquisition element, Download Descriptor or a bundled ROAP trigger and Download Descriptor. RI and DRM Agent complete 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol. The DRM Agent adds the Fully Qualified Domain Name of the Preview-Rights-URL of the stored RI Context (see 6.11.2 for validation)</li> <li>3. DRM Agent grants access to DCF according to the Preview RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.11.2. Preview rights acquisition, domain name in the Whitelist

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-33
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Initiate ROAP from DCF Preview Header with existing RI Context & domain name in the Domain Name Whitelist.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 5.1.8, 5.2.2, [DRMCF-v2.0] Section 5.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-7, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-026, DRM-CLI-CMN-031, DRM-CLI-CD-063
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>• RI Server</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a DCF stored in the terminal, containing a preview header, where the "preview-method" is "preview-rights", with a "preview-rights-url" element. The DCF contains neither a silent-header nor any ROs.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent has an existing RI Context with the RI server under test.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> <li>• The Preview-URL contained in the DCF is in the DomainNameWhitelist of the DRM Agent's stored Rights Issuer context.</li> <li>• A Preview RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> <p>Continuation of / Can be tested at the same time as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6.11.1 Preview rights acquisition, domain name not in the Whitelist</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User tries to access the DCF.</li> <li>2. After successful completion of the ROAP, the user tries to use the content.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent silently sends an HTTP GET to the Preview-URL</li> <li>2. DRM Agent grants access to DCF according to the Preview RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.12. Inheritance model

### 6.12.1. Inheritance with Stateful Rights

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-34
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test inheritance model when stateful constraints are involved.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 9.5.2, [DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-030, DRM-CLI-CMN-047, DRM-REL-GEN-C-026
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There are two DCFs stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued three ROs containing a permission and following constraints: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ One RO is the Parent RO contains a &lt;count&gt; constraint (stateful). The Parent RO does not reference any DCF.</li> <li>➤ The other two ROs are Child ROs where the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element in the &lt;inherit&gt; element matches the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element of the &lt;asset&gt; element of the parent RO. The child ROs contains no rights.</li> <li>➤ Both Child ROs are associated with one of the DCFs (different one).</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ The same Rights Issuer has issued all rights objects.</li> <li>○ All ROs to be delivered are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Parent rights object is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The Child rights objects are delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent tries to use the received contents.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent complete either 1-pass (server push) or 2-pass (user initiated) ROAP and the Parent RO is successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete either 1-pass (server push) or 2-pass (user initiated) ROAP and the Child ROs are successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent is allowed to use the delivered contents maintaining the state of the Parent RO i.e. the &lt;count&gt; element is decremented when either of the</li> </ol>

	contents is used.
--	-------------------

## 6.12.2. Multiple Parent Rights Objects

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-35
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test a case where the Parent Rights Object
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 9.5, [DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-047, DRM-REL-GEN-C-026
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued three ROs containing a permission and following constraints: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The first RO is a Parent RO and contains a &lt;datetime&gt; constraint for the use of the content. The Parent RO does not reference any DCF.</li> <li>➤ The second rights object is the Child rights object where the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element in the &lt;inherit&gt; element matches the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element of the &lt;asset&gt; element of the parent RO. The child RO refers the DCF and contains no rights.</li> <li>➤ The third rights object is another Parent RO where the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element of the &lt;asset&gt; element is the same as in the first Parent RO and it includes another &lt;datetime&gt; permission.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ The same Rights Issuer has issued all three rights objects.</li> <li>○ The ROs are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The first Parent rights object and the Child RO are delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The user tries to use the received content during the time the &lt;datetime&gt; constraint allows to do it.</li> <li>3. The second Parent rights object is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. The user tries to use the received content during the &lt;datetime&gt; period of the first Parent rights object.</li> <li>5. The DRM Agent tries to use the content during the time the new &lt;datetime&gt; constraint allows to do it.</li> </ol>

<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. RI and DRM Agent complete either 1-pass (server push) or 2-pass (user initiated) ROAP and the first Parent RO and the Child ROs are successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li><li>2. The DRM Agent only allows usage of the delivered content during the time specified by the &lt;datetime&gt; constraint.</li><li>3. RI and DRM Agent complete either 1-pass (server push) or 2-pass (user initiated) ROAP and the second Parent RO is successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li><li>4. The DRM Agent allows using the delivered content during either of the &lt;datetime&gt; periods specified in either of the parent rights objects.</li></ol>
----------------------	---



### 6.12.3. Parent RO with a group child RO

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-36
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test inheritance model when a child RO is a group RO
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 9.5.2, [DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-030, DRM-CLI-CMN-047, DRM-REL-GEN-C-026
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There are two DCFs stored on the terminal which have the same group ID (DCF A and B) and another DCF which is not part of the group (DCF C).</li> <li>○ The RI has issued two ROs containing a permission and following constraints: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ One RO is the Parent RO contains a &lt;count&gt; constraint (stateful). The Parent RO does not reference any DCF.</li> <li>➤ The child RO is a group RO where the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element in the &lt;inherit&gt; element matches the &lt;uid&gt; element of the &lt;context&gt; element of the &lt;asset&gt; element of the parent RO. The child ROs contains no rights and contains the group ID and CEK associated with the DCFs.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ The same Rights Issuer has issued all rights objects.</li> <li>○ All ROs are stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Parent rights object is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. The Child rights object is delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent tries to use the received contents.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent complete either 1-pass (server push) or 2-pass (user initiated) ROAP and the Parent RO is successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete either 1-pass (server push) or 2-pass (user initiated) ROAP and the Child RO is successfully delivered to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent is allowed to use the delivered contents maintaining the state of the Parent RO i.e. the &lt;count&gt; element is decremented when either DCF A or DCF B is used. The DRM agent should not allow access to DCF C.</li> </ol>

## 6.13. Domains

### 6.13.1. Domain join without existing RI Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-37
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Trigger-initiated domain join without existing RI Context
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] 5.1.8, 5.2.1, 5.4.4, 8
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-059 DRM-CLI-UD-067  DRM-SERVER-012, DRM-SERVER-018, DRM-SERVER-020, DRM-SERVER-022, DRM-SERVER-024
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent does not have a valid RI Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Can be tested at the same time as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Registration (4-pass ROAP)</li> <li>○ Domain Joining</li> <li>○ RO Acquisition</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a JoinDomain trigger from the RI.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration/domain join.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent receives a ROAcquisition (for a Domain RO for the DCF on the terminal) trigger from the RI.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent prompts the user for registration as a response for the JoinDomain trigger.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration and 2-pass Join Domain Protocols.</li> <li>3. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP for the Domain RO.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the Domain RO.</li> </ol>



### 6.13.2. Domain join with valid RI Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-38
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Trigger-initiated domain join with valid RI Context and no existing Domain Context for this RI.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] 5.1.8, 5.2.1, 5.4.4, 8
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-059 DRM-CLI-UD-067  DRM-SERVER-012, DRM-SERVER-018, DRM-SERVER-020, DRM-SERVER-022, DRM-SERVER-024
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Can be tested at the same time as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Domain Joining</li> <li>○ RO Acquisition</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a JoinDomain trigger from the RI.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent receives a ROAcquisition (for a Domain RO for the DCF on the terminal) trigger from the RI.</li> <li>3. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent complete 2-pass Join Domain Protocol.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP for the Domain RO.</li> <li>3. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the Domain RO.</li> </ol>

### 6.13.3. Domain upgrade

#### 6.13.3.1. New Domain RO delivered before domain upgrade

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-39
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Automatically-initiated domain upgrade with valid RI Context and existing Domain Context for this RI  A Domain RO is delivered before the DRM Agent has upgraded the domain.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] 5.1.8, 5.2.1, 5.4.4, 8.7.2.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-016, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-059, DRM-CLI-UD-067  DRM-SERVER-012, DRM-SERVER-018, DRM-SERVER-020, DRM-SERVER-022, DRM-SERVER-024
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a Domain Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ The RI has upgraded the domain by changing the Domain Key (first 17 digits of the previously stored domainId are the same) and incrementing the Domain Generation by one.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal containing a Domain RO with an upgraded domain key.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User tries to access the DCF using a RO that matches the upgraded domain key. The domain key in the DRM Agent has not been upgraded yet.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent complete 2-pass Join Domain Protocol which has been automatically initiated by the DRM Agent. The DRM Agent does not prompt for user consent. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF.</li> </ol> <p>OR</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent does not attempt to automatically upgrade the domain. The DRM Agent does not grant access to the DCF.</li> </ol>

### 6.13.3.2. Domain join with existing Domain Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-40
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Trigger-initiated domain join with valid RI Context and existing Domain Context for this RI  RI-initiated domain generation upgrade
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] 5.2.1, 5.4.4, 8
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-016, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-059, DRM-CLI-UD-067  DRM-SERVER-012, DRM-SERVER-018, DRM-SERVER-020, DRM-SERVER-022, DRM-SERVER-024
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a Domain Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ There is a Domain RO stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has upgraded the domain by changing the Domain Key (first 17 digits of the previously stored domainId are the same) and incrementing the Domain Generation by one.</li> <li>○ User has not given permission for silent communication.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Can be tested at the same time as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 6.13.1 Domain join without existing RI Context or</li> <li>○ 6.13.2 Domain join with valid RI Context.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Can be tested in continuation of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 6.13.3.1 New Domain RO delivered before domain upgrade</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a JoinDomain trigger from the RI.</li> <li>2. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent complete 2-pass Join Domain Protocol.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the Domain RO</li> </ol>

## 6.13.4. Domain RO Acquisition with existing RI Context

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-41
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Domain RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 5.1.8, 8.6.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-057, DRM-CLI-UD-065
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There exists a valid RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a Domain Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ There is a domain DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Can be tested at the same time as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 6.13.1 Domain join without existing RI Context or</li> <li>○ 6.13.2 Domain join with valid RI Context or</li> <li>○ 6.13.3 Domain join with existing Domain Context.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a ROAcquisition trigger from the RI.</li> <li>2. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP for the Domain RO.</li> <li>2. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.13.5. Domain RO in a DCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-42
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test delivering the DomainRO inside a DCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 8.6.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-035, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CMN-042, DRM-CLI-CMN-051
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> <li>○ Content Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There exists a valid RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a Domain Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ A RO inside the DCF is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Content Server delivers a DCF to the DRM Agent. There is a Domain RO inside the DCF.</li> <li>2. The user tries to use the received content.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DCF is successfully delivered to the DRM Agent. The Device correctly processes the received DCF and it is able to separate the content and the DomainRO.</li> <li>2. The user is able to use the DCF according to the domain RO.</li> </ol>



### 6.13.6. Sharing a DCF containing a RO between devices in the same domain

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-43
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent (two copies)
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test if different devices related with the same domain are able to share DCFs.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 8
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CMN-045
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Two DRM Agents</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There exists a valid RI Context on both DRM Agents with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ Both DRM Agents have a Domain Context with the RI under test.</li> <li>○ There is a domain DCF and RO stored on the first terminal.</li> <li>○ The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the second terminal.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Can be tested at the same time as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Any of the other domain test cases.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user tries to use the DCF in the first terminal.</li> <li>2. The DCF is superdistributed to the second terminal.</li> <li>3. The user tries to use the DCF in the second terminal.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The first DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the Domain RO.</li> <li>2. The DCF is successfully superdistributed to the second DRM Agent. The DCF contains a copy of the Domain RO.</li> <li>3. The second DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the Domain RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.13.7. Domain leave with valid RI Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-44
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Device leaves a domain after receiving a LeaveDomain trigger.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] 5.2.1, 5.4.4, 8
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CD-060, DRM-CLI-UD-068  DRM-SERVER-012, DRM-SERVER-014, DRM-SERVER-019, DRM-SERVER-020, DRM-SERVER-022, DRM-SERVER-024
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with RI under test.</li> <li>○ DRM Agent has a valid DomainContext for the domain it is about to leave.</li> <li>○ At least one DCF is stored on the DRM Agent for which it has a Domain RO belonging to the RO it is about to leave.</li> </ul> Can be tested at the same time as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Domain Leaving</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent receives a signed LeaveDomain trigger from the RI.</li> <li>2. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI and DRM Agent successfully complete a 2-pass Leave Domain Protocol.</li> <li>2. DRM Agent does not grant access to the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.14. Silent Header

### 6.14.1. Domain name not in the Whitelist

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-45
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Initiate ROAP from DCF Silent Header with existing RI Context and domain name NOT in Domain Name Whitelist.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] Chapter 5.1.8, 5.2.2, [DRMDCF] Chapter 5.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-007, DRM-CLI-CMN-026, DRM-CLI-CMN-031, DRM-CLI-CD-063
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DRM Agent.</li> <li>• RI server.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a DCF containing a Silent Header stored on the DRM Agent. Silent-method is “on-demand”.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent has an existing RI Context with the RI server under test.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent’s User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> <li>• The Silent-Rights-URL contained in DCF is not contained in the DomainNameWhitelist of the RI Context stored by the DRM Agent.</li> <li>• A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> <p>Continuation of / Can be tested at the same time as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RO Acquisition (2-pass ROAP).</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user tries to access the DCF.</li> <li>2. The user gives consent to acquire a RO.</li> <li>3. After successful completion of the ROAP, the user tries to use the content.</li> </ol>

<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. DRM Agent prompts user whether she wants to acquire a RO.</li><li>2. Upon positive user interaction, the DRM Agent sends an HTTP GET message to the Silent-Rights-URL. The RI responds either with a ROAP Trigger containing a ROAcquisition element, Download Descriptor or a bundled ROAP trigger and Download Descriptor. RI and DRM Agent complete 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol. The DRM Agent adds the Fully Qualified Domain Name of the Silent-Rights-URL of the stored RI Context (see 6.14.2 for validation)</li><li>3. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the acquired RO.</li></ol>
----------------------	--

## 6.14.2. Domain name in the Whitelist

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-46
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-1
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Initiate ROAP from DCF Silent Header with existing RI Context and domain name NOT in Domain Name Whitelist.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMDRM] Chapter 5.2.2, [DRMDCF] Chapter 5.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-DCF-CLI-007, DRM-CLI-CMN-026, DRM-CLI-CMN-031, DRM-CLI-CD-063
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DRM Agent.</li> <li>• RI Server.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a DCF containing a Silent Header stored on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent has an existing RI Context with the RI server under test.</li> <li>• The DRM Agent's User Confirmation Whitelist contains no entry for this RI.</li> <li>• The Silent-Rights-URL contained in DCF is contained in the DomainNameWhitelist.</li> <li>• A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> <p>Continuation of / Can be tested at the same time as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6.14.1 Domain name not in the Whitelist</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user tries to access the DCF.</li> <li>2. After successful completion of the ROAP, the user tries to use the content.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent silently (without user interaction) sends an HTTP GET to the Silent-Rights-URL found in DCF.</li> <li>2. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the acquired RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.15. Local backup of content and rights objects

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-47
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent (two copies)
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test a local backup of content and rights object.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 9.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-048
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two devices (DRM Agents) that support removable media card.</li> <li>• RI Server.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both DRM Agents have an existing RI Context with the RI server under test.</li> <li>• There is a DCF stored on the first device.</li> <li>• An individual RO is delivered to the first DRM Agent from the RI Server.</li> <li>• The private key in the second device is different than the private key in the first device.</li> <li>• The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the second device.</li> </ul> <p>Continuation of / Can be tested at the same time as: x.x.x.x RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.</p>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user tries to use the DCF in the first device.</li> <li>2. The DCF and the RO are saved to a local backup media card in the first device. The removable media card is inserted to the second device. The user tries to use the DCF in the second device.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The first DRM Agent allows the user to use the DCF.</li> <li>2. The second DRM Agent does not allow the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.16. Unconnected devices

### 6.16.1. Device registration and domain establishment

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-48
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agents: Connected Device and Unconnected Device, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Device registration and domain establishment for Unconnected Device.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 14 and 11.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-053, DRM-CLI-CD-055, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-062, DRM-CLI-UD-064, DRM-CLI-UD-065, DRM-CLI-UD-066, DRM-CLI-UD-067
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent (Connected Device)</li> <li>○ DRM Agent (Unconnected Device)</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Connected Device has a valid RI Context and is registered to a Domain.</li> <li>○ The Unconnected Device does not have a valid RI Context and is not registered to any Domain.</li> <li>○ The Unconnected Device has a local connection to the Connected Device over OBEX.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user (Connected Device) initiates a browsing session to the RI and indicates that he wants to add an Unconnected Device to the same domain he is registered.</li> <li>2. The Connected Device receives a joinDomain ROAP trigger with “proxy” attribute set to “True”.</li> <li>3. The Connected Device passes this ROAP trigger to the Unconnected using the OBEX connection.</li> <li>4. The Unconnected Device receives the ROAP trigger and runs 4-pass Registration Protocol using the OBEX connection established with the Connected Device.</li> <li>5. Upon successfully establishing an RI Context, the Unconnected Device sends JoinDomainRequest in the OBEX response to the Connected Device.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-2. The Connected Device successfully indicates the RI that it wants to add a new Unconnected Device to the domain.</li> <li>3-4. The Connected Device successfully acts as a proxy between the RI and the Unconnected Device while 4-pass Registration Protocol is being run. The Unconnected Device is successfully registered.</li> <li>5. The Connected Device successfully acts as a proxy between the RI and the Unconnected Device while 2-pass JoinDomain Protocol is being run. The Unconnected Device successfully joins the domain.</li> </ol>

## 6.16.2. RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-49
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agents: Connected Device and Unconnected Device, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	RO Acquisition with existing RI Context.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 14 and 11.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-053, DRM-CLI-CD-055, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-062, DRM-CLI-UD-064, DRM-CLI-UD-065, DRM-CLI-UD-066
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DRM Agent (Unconnected Device)</li> <li>• DRM Agent (Connected Device)</li> <li>• RI Server</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There exists a valid RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>• There is a domain DCF on the Unconnected Device.</li> <li>• The Unconnected Device has a local connection to a Connected Device over OBEX.</li> <li>• A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the Unconnected Device.</li> </ul> <p>Can be tested at the same time as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6.16.1 Device registration and domain establishment</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User (Unconnected Device) requests a RO for an existing domain DCF.</li> <li>2. User (Unconnected Device) tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unconnected device sends a ROResponse message to the Connected Device over OBEX. The Connected Device successfully acts as a proxy between the RI and the Unconnected Device while 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol is being run.</li> <li>2. The Unconnected Device grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>



### 6.16.3. Leaving Domain

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-50
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agents: Connected Device and Unconnected Device, RI server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Unconnected Device leaving domain.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 14 and 11.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-015, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-033, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-053, DRM-CLI-CD-055, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-062, DRM-CLI-UD-064, DRM-CLI-UD-065, DRM-CLI-UD-066, DRM-CLI-UD-068
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent (Connected Device)</li> <li>○ DRM Agent (Unconnected Device)</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Connected Device has a valid RI Context and is registered to a Domain.</li> <li>○ The Unconnected Device has a valid RI Context and is registered to a Domain.</li> <li>○ The Unconnected Device has a local connection to the Connected Device over OBEX.</li> <li>○ There is a domain DCF on the Unconnected Device.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user (Connected Device) initiates a browsing session to the RI and indicates that he wants to remove the Unconnected Device from the domain.</li> <li>2. The Connected Device receives a leaveDomain ROAP trigger with “proxy” attribute set to “True”.</li> <li>3. The Connected Device passes this ROAP trigger to the Unconnected using the OBEX connection.</li> <li>4. The Unconnected Device receives the ROAP trigger and begins to run the 2-pass LeaveDomain Protocol using the OBEX connection established with the Connected Device.</li> <li>5. User tries to use the domain DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-2. The Connected Device successfully indicates the RI that it wants to remove the Unconnected Device from the domain.</li> <li>3-4. The Connected Device successfully acts as a proxy between the RI and the Unconnected Device while the 2-pass LeaveDomain Protocol is being run.</li> <li>3-4. The Unconnected Device is successfully removed from the domain.</li> <li>5. The DRM Agent (Unconnected Device) does not grant access to the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.16.4. RO Acquisition without existing RI Context

<b>Test Case Id</b>	DRM-2.0-int-51
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	RO Acquisition without existing RI Context
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 14 and 11.6
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-005, DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-025, DRM-CLI-CMN-037, DRM-CLI-CD-053, DRM-CLI-CD-055, DRM-CLI-CD-056, DRM-CLI-CD-057, DRM-CLI-CD-062, DRM-CLI-UD-064, DRM-CLI-UD-065, DRM-CLI-UD-066
<b>Tool</b>	None
<b>Test code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No valid RI Context with the RI server under test exists on the DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ There is a Domain DCF stored on the terminal (Unconnected Device).</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent (Unconnected Device) has a local connection to the Connected Device over OBEX.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the Unconnected Device.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent (Connected Device) receives a RO Acquisition trigger from the RI with “proxy” attribute set to “True”. The Connected Device passes this ROAP trigger to the Unconnected Device using the OBEX connection.</li> <li>2. User (Unconnected Device) gives consent to RO acquisition.</li> <li>3. User tries to access the Domain DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM Agent (Unconnected Device) prompts the user permission of RO acquisition as a response for the RO Acquisition trigger.</li> <li>2. The Connected Device successfully acts as a proxy between the RI and the Unconnected Device while the 4-pass Registration and 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol are being run.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.16.5. DRM Agent without DRM Time

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-52
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent (Unconnected Device), RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test Datetime constraints with an unconnected device that does not have a time source (i.e. a situation where the constraint is not understood and cannot be enforced).
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMREL-v2.0] Section 5.5
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-REL-GEN-C-015, DRM-REL-GEN-C-016, DRM-REL-GEN-C-019, DRM-REL-GEN-C-020, DRM-REL-GEN-C-021
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ DRM Agent (Connected Device).</li> <li>○ DRM Agent (Unconnected Device). This DRM Agent does not support DRM Time.</li> <li>○ One RI Server.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There exists a valid RI Context on the DRM Agent with the RI server under test.</li> <li>○ There is a domain DCF on the Unconnected Device.</li> <li>○ The Unconnected Device has a local connection to a Connected Device over OBEX.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued an RO containing a permission with an associated datetime constraint. There are no stateful constraints in the RO.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User (Unconnected Device) requests a RO for the existing domain DCF.</li> <li>2. User (Unconnected Device) tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unconnected device sends a RORequest message to the Connected Device over OBEX. The Connected Device successfully acts as a proxy between the RI and the Unconnected Device while 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol is being run.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent (unconnected device) does NOT allow the user to use the DCF.</li> </ol>

## 6.17. Multiple PKIs

### 6.17.1. Device with two certificates

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-53
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, and Rights Issuer
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Tests the capability of the ROAP protocol to choose and communicate the correct device public key in the case that a DRM Agent has two device certificates. This may reflect a scenario where a device is a member of two PKI ecosystems.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 5.4.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-019, DRM-CLI-CMN-037 DRM-SERVER-007, DRM-SERVER-015
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> <li>○ Two Certificate Authorities with independent roots and OCSP responders.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The DRM Agent has two device certificate chains and two RI trust anchors. Each certificate chain is issued by a different certificate authority and they each have a unique <code>subjectPublicKeyInfo</code>.</li> <li>○ The Rights Issuer has only one certificate chain, and only one device trust anchor. The device trust anchor must be able to validate one of the DRM Agents issued certificates.</li> <li>○ There is no RI Context between the DRM Agent and the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued a stateless RO for the DCF.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends RegistrationRequest trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration.</li> <li>3. RI sends RO Acquisition trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent prompts user for consent regarding the registration procedure.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent sends an RORequest with the same Device ID that was “selected” in the registration protocol. The RI responds with the ROResponse; and 2-pass RO Acquisition is successfully completed.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.17.2. RI with two certificates

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-54
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, and Rights Issuer
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Tests the capability of the ROAP protocol to choose and communicate the correct RI public key in the case that a Rights Issuer has two RI certificates. This may reflect a scenario where a Rights Issuer support two PKI ecosystems.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 5.4.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-008, DRM-CLI-CMN-17, DRM-CLI-CMN-019, DRM-SERVER-006, DRM-SERVER-008, DRM-SERVER-015, DRM-SERVER-030
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> <li>○ Two Certificate Authorities with independent roots and OCSP responders.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Rights Issuer has two RI certificate chains; and two device trust anchors. Each certificate chain is issued by a different certificate authority and they each have a unique <code>subjectPublicKeyInfo</code>.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has only one device certificate chain; and only one RI trust anchor. The RI trust anchor must be able to validate one of the RIs issued certificates.</li> <li>○ There is no RI Context between the DRM Agent and the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued a stateless RO for the DCF.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends RegistrationRequest trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration.</li> <li>3. RI sends RO Acquisition trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent prompts user for consent regarding the registration procedure.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete 2-pass RO Acquisition.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.17.3. Device and RI each with two certificates

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-55
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, and Rights Issuer
<b>Test Case Description</b>	Tests the capability of the ROAP protocol to select and agree a common set of certificates during the registration protocol in the case that both the RI and DRM Agent each have two certificates. This may reflect a scenario where both the DRM Agent and a Rights Issuer support two PKI ecosystems.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRM-v2.0] Section 5.4.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	DRM-CLI-CMN-17, DRM-CLI-CMN-019, DRM-CLI-CMN-037 DRM-SERVER-006, DRM-SERVER-007, DRM-SERVER-008, DRM-SERVER-030
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<p>Equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent.</li> <li>○ RI Server</li> <li>○ Two Certificate Authorities with independent roots and OCSP responders.</li> </ul> <p>State:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Rights Issuer has two RI certificate chains; and two device trust anchors. Each certificate chain is issued by a different certificate authority and they each have a unique <code>subjectPublicKeyInfo</code>.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has two device certificate chains and two RI trust anchors. Each certificate chain is issued by a different certificate authority and they each have a unique <code>subjectPublicKeyInfo</code>.</li> <li>○ The RI trusts both of the DRM Agent certificate chains.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent trusts both of the RI certificate chains.</li> <li>○ There is no RI Context between the DRM Agent and the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a DCF stored on the terminal.</li> <li>○ The RI has issued a stateless RO for the DCF.</li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RI sends RegistrationRequest trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>2. User gives consent to registration.</li> <li>3. RI sends RO Acquisition trigger to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. User tries to access the DCF.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. DRM Agent prompts user for consent regarding the registration procedure.</li> <li>2. RI and DRM Agent complete 4-pass Registration Protocol.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent and RI successfully complete 2-pass RO Acquisition.</li> <li>4. The DRM Agent grants access to the DCF according to the RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.18. Non-Streamable PDCF

### 6.18.1. One-track PDCF with NULL encryption

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-56
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test packaging and rendering of a one-track null-encrypted PDCF file (e.g 3GP audio).
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Section 7.1.1, 7.1.3 and 7.1.4
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-2, <a href="#">DRM-DCF-CLI-23</a> (or <a href="#">DRM-DCF-CLI-24</a> ), DRM-DCF-CLI-25, DRM-DCF-CLI-26, <a href="#">DRM-DCF-CLI-27</a> , DRM-DCF-CLI-34
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a media player which is able to render 3GP and/or 3GP2 PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package PDCF</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has packaged a one-track 3GP (or 3GP2) file into a non-streamable PDCF with NULL encryption mode. The EncryptionMethod field in the OMADRMCommonHeaders box is set to NULL and the SelectiveEncryption field in the OMADRMAUFormatBox is set to 0</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user downloads the PDCF and tries to use it</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM agent</li> <li>2. The DRM agent grants access to the PDCF according to the issued RO. The player should render the content.</li> </ol>

## 6.18.2. One-track encrypted PDCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-57
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test packaging and rendering of a one-track encrypted PDCF (e.g audio file).
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Section 7.1, 7.1.3 and 7.1.4
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-23 (or DRM-DCF-CLI-24), DRM-DCF-CLI-25, DRM-DCF-CLI-34
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render 3GP and/or (3GP2) PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package PDCF files</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has packaged a one-track 3GP (or 3GP2) file into a non-streamable PDCF with AES128CTR encryption mode. The EncryptionMethod field in the OMADRMCommonHeaders box is set to AES_128_CTR and the SelectiveEncryption field in the OMADRMAUFormatBox is set to 1.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user downloads the PDCF and tries to use it</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM agent</li> <li>2. The DRM agent grants access to the PDCF according to the issued RO. The player should render the content.</li> </ol>



### 6.18.3. Multi-track encrypted PDCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-58
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test packaging and rendering of a multi-track encrypted PDCF (e.g. video and audio 3GP file).
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Section 7.1, 7.1.3 and 7.1.4
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-27, DRM-DCF-CLI-28, DRM-DCF-CLI-34
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render 3GP and/or 3GP2 PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package PDCF</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has packaged a multi-track 3GP (or 3GP2) file into a non-streamable PDCF with AES128CTR encryption mode. The EncryptionMethod field in the OMADRMCommonHeaders box is set to AES_128_CTR and the SelectiveEncryption field in the OMADRMAUFormatBox is set to 1.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered grants usage rights for all tracks in the PDCF. The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in the DRM Agent replay cache.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user downloads the PDCF and tries to use it</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM agent</li> <li>2. The DRM agent grants access to the PDCF according to the issued RO. The player should render the content.</li> </ol>

### 6.18.4. PDCF Super Distribution (Transaction Tracking)

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-59
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test PDCF superdistribution, using the Transaction Tracking mechanism.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Sections 5.2.1, 5.2.4.1 and 7.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-31, DRM-DCF-CLI-32
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Two terminals (A and B) with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render 3GP (or 3GP2) PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package PDCF files</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Both DRM Agents have a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There is a PDCF stored on terminal A. The PDCF contains a Transaction ID and a RightsIssuerURL that points to the RI and will return an RO Acquisition trigger or an xHTML page.</li> <li>○ The RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Terminal A receives the PDCF and tries to use the PDCF.</li> <li>2. The user (terminal A) requests an RO for the PDCF.</li> <li>3. If an xHTML page is presented the user selects a trigger to download.</li> <li>4. Terminal A sends the DCF to client device B.</li> <li>5. The user (Terminal B) tries to use the PDCF.</li> <li>6. The user (terminal B) requests an RO for the PDCF.</li> <li>7. If an xHTML page is presented the user selects a trigger to download.</li> <li>8. The user (terminal B) tries to use (render) the PDCF..</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Terminal A does not render the PDCF and gives the user an option of obtaining the rights object. The device must also have consent to perform transaction tracking operations. This may be a global setting, an individual setting for this RI; or an explicit consent.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent connects to the PDCF RightsIssuerURL. This either returns an RO Acquisition trigger; or an xHTML page which allows the user to obtain a ROAP trigger.</li> <li>3. DRM Agent A sends RO Request, containing the TransactionID it found in the PDCF. The RI sends an ROResponse message containing the new RO and a new TransactionID. DRM Agent A replaces the TransactionID in the PDCF.</li> </ol>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. The Terminal A is able to forward the PDCF to terminal B, which receives it.</li><li>5. Terminal B does not render the PDCF and gives the user an option of obtaining the rights object. The device must also have consent to perform transaction tracking operations. This may be a global setting, an individual setting for this RI; or an explicit consent.</li><li>6. The DRM Agent connects to the PDCF RightsIssuerURL. This either returns an RO Acquisition trigger; or an XHTML page which allows the user to obtain a ROAP trigger.</li><li>7. DRM Agent B sends RO Request, containing the new TransactionID (from step 3). The RI sends ROResponse message containing the new RO and a new TransactionID. DRM Agent B replaces the TransactionID in the PDCF.</li><li>8. On terminal B, the PDCF can be used in accordance with the received rights.</li></ol>
--	--

## 6.18.5. Multi-track PDCF with rights for only one track

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-60
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test rendering of a multi-track encrypted PDCF where rights are only available for one of the tracks.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Sections 5.2.1 and 7.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-23 (or DRM-DCF-CLI-24), DRM-DCF-CLI-27
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render 3GP (or 3GP2) PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package PDCF</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has packaged a <b>multi-track 3GP</b> file into a non-streamable PDCF.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ The RI has created a RO that grants permission to use only one of the tracks in the PDCF (eg. the audio track only). The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in the DRM Agent replay cache.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user downloads the PDCF and tries to play it.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM agent</li> <li>2. The DRM agent grants access to the PDCF according to the issued RO. Only one track of the PDCF should be rendered (e.g. audio) as per the issued RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.19. Streamable PDCF

### 6.19.1. One-track PDCF without selective encryption

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-61
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test packaging, streaming and rendering of a one-track PDCF with <i>SelectiveEncryption disabled</i> (all packets are encrypted).
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Section 7.2.1, 7.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-2, DRM-CLI-DCF-25, DRM-CLI-DCF-28
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render streamable 3GP and/or 3GP2 PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package streamable PDCF.</li> <li>○ A streaming server to stream the PDCF file</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has a one-track 3GP (or 3GP2) file to be streamed as a PDCF.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user initiates the streaming. The server sends the Session Descriptor (SDP) with <b><i>Selective Encryption set to 0</i></b> and the <i>Encryption Parameter</i> set to AES128CTR.</li> <li>3. The PDCF is streamed and rendered.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM agent.</li> <li>2. The device retrieves the SDP file (the <i>Selective Encryption</i> is set to 0 and the <i>Encryption Parameter</i> is set to AES128CTR). Streaming is initiated.</li> <li>3. The user is allowed to play the streamed track and the player is able to render it in accordance with the issued RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.19.2. SDP initiated RO acquisition

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-62
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test SDP initiated Rights Object acquisition; and the subsequence packaging, streaming and rendering of a one-track PDCF ( <i>SelectiveEncryption</i> enabled).
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Section 7.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-CLI-DCF-25, DRM-CLI-DCF-27
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render streamable 3GP and/or 3GP2 PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package streamable PDCF.</li> <li>○ A streaming server to stream the PDCF file</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has a one-track 3GP (or 3GP2) file to be streamed as a PDCF.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There are no existing rights on the device for the PDCF to be streamed.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user initiates the streaming. The server sends the Session Descriptor (SDP) with <i>Selective Encryption</i> set to 1 and the <i>Encryption Parameter</i> set to AES128CTR.</li> <li>2. User requests a RO for the PDCF.</li> <li>3. If an xHTML page is presented the user selects a trigger to download.</li> <li>4. User resumes the streaming session. The PDCF is streamed and rendered.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The device retrieves the SDP file. The DRM agent realises that there are no rights for the content (associated by the <i>ContentID</i> in the SDP file). The DRM Agent asks for user consent to acquire rights for the content.</li> <li>2. The DRM Agent connects to the SDP <i>RightsIssuerURL</i>. This either returns an RO Acquisition trigger; or an xHTML page which allows the user to obtain a ROAP trigger.</li> <li>3. The RI and DRM Agent successfully complete the 2-pass RO Acquisition Protocol and the RO is delivered successfully to the DRM Agent.</li> <li>4. The streaming session is resumed, and the user is allowed to play the streamed track and the player is able to render it in accordance with the issued RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.19.3. Multi-track PDCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-63
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test a multi-track PDCF streamable packaging and rendering, <i>SelectiveEncryption enabled</i> . Some packets are encrypted and others are unencrypted.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Section 7.2.1, 7.2.2
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-CLI-DCF-23 (or DRM-CLI-DCF-24), DRM-CLI-DCF-25, DRM-CLI-DCF-28
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render streamable 3GP and/or 3GP2 PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package streamable PDCF.</li> <li>○ A streaming server to stream the PDCF file</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has a <b>multi-track</b> 3GP (or 3GP2) file to be streamed as a PDCF.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ A RO to be delivered grants usage rights for all tracks in the PDCF. The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in the DRM Agent replay cache.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user initiates the streaming. The server sends the Session Descriptor (SDP) with <i>Selective Encryption</i> set to 1 and the <i>Encryption Parameter</i> set to AES128CTR.</li> <li>3. The PDCF is streamed and rendered. Some packets are encrypted, others are not.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the RO is delivered to the DRM agent.</li> <li>2. The device retrieves the SDP file (the <i>Selective Encryption</i> is set to 1 and the <i>Encryption Parameter</i> is set to AES128CTR). Streaming is initiated.</li> <li>3. The user is allowed to play the streamed tracks and the player is able to render them in accordance with the issued RO.</li> </ol>

## 6.19.4. Group RO for PDCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-64
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test the behaviour in the presence of a group RO for a PDCF, using the GroupID mechanism.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Sections 5.2.1, 5.2.3.1 and 7.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-30
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render streamable 3GP and/or 3GP2 PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package streamable PDCF.</li> <li>○ A streaming server to stream the PDCF file</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has a 3GP (or 3GP2) file to be streamed as a PDCF. The streamed PDCF contains a Group ID box in the <i>ExtendedHeaders</i>.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a valid RI Context with the RI.</li> <li>○ There are no existing rights on the device for the PDCF to be streamed.</li> <li>○ A RO is to be delivered for this group. The RO is stateless or there is no same entry in replay cache on the DRM Agent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user requests the Group RO for the PDCF</li> <li>2. The user initiates the streaming. The server sends the Session Descriptor (SDP).</li> <li>3. The PDCF is streamed and rendered.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DRM agent and the RI successfully complete a 2-pass ROAP and the Group RO is delivered to the DRM agent.</li> <li>2. The device retrieves the SDP file. Streaming is initiated.</li> <li>3. The user is allowed to play the streamed PDCF and the player is able to render it in accordance with the issued Group RO. The PDCF contains an <i>OMADRMGroupID</i> box <i>ExtendedHeader</i>.</li> </ol>



## 6.19.5. Domain RO in the PDCF

<b>Test Case ID</b>	DRM-2.0-int-65
<b>Test Object</b>	DRM Agent, RI Server
<b>Test Case Description</b>	To test a situation where a Domain RO is included in a PDCF.
<b>Specification Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] Sections 5.2.1, 5.2.4 and 7.1
<b>SCR Reference</b>	[DRMCF-v2.0] DRM-DCF-CLI-31, DRM-DCF-CLI-33
<b>Tools</b>	None
<b>Test Code</b>	None
<b>Preconditions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One terminal with a DRM Agent integrated with a player which is able to render 3GP (or 3GP2) PDCF files.</li> <li>○ One RI Server able to package streamable PDCF.</li> <li>○ A streaming server to stream the PDCF file.</li> <li>○ PDCF with integrated Domain RO (there is a Domain RO within the ‘mdri’ box, after the “Movie Box”)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• State: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The RI has a 3GP (or 3GP2) file to be streamed as a PDCF. The streamed PDCF contains a Domain RO within the <i>MutableDRMInformation</i> box, after the “Movie Box”.</li> <li>○ The DRM Agent has a <b>Domain Context</b> with the RI under test.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Test Procedure</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The user initiates the streaming.</li> <li>2. The PDCF is streamed and rendered.</li> </ol>
<b>Pass-Criteria</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The device retrieves the SDP file. Streaming is initiated.</li> <li>2. The Device correctly processes the received PDCF and it is able to separate the content and the Domain RO. The user is allowed to play the content and the player is able to render it in accordance with the embedded Domain RO.</li> </ol>

## Appendix A. Change History

(Informative)

### A.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
Approved Versions OMA-ETS-DRM-Interoperability-V2_0	30-Jun-2005	Added reference to the Conformance ETS's
	22-Sep-2005	Updated DRM 2.0 reference
	11-Oct-2005	Updates based on CR 115 (IOP-Browsing)
	14-Dec-2005	Updates based on CR 0013 (IOP-BRO)
Candidate Version OMA-ETS-DRM-Interoperability-V2_0	24-Jan-2006	Updates based on CR 0004 R02 (IOP BRO 2006)
	14-Jun-2006	Updates based on CR 0082 R02 (IOP BRO 2006)

### A.2 Draft/Candidate Version 2.0 History

Document Identifier	Date	Sections	Description
Draft Version OMA-ETS-DRM-INT-V2_0	14 Jun 2006		Incorporated CR: Updates based on IOP-BRO-2006-0082R02
	15 Jun 2006	n/a	Agreed in IOP
Candidate Version OMA-ETS-DRM-INT-V2_0	04 Jul 2006	n/a	Status changed to candidate OMA-TP-2006-0247- INP_ETS_DRM_INT_V2_0_for_Candidate_Approval