Enabler Test Specification for Push Interoperability

Candidate Version 2.2 – 27 Jul 2010

Open Mobile Alliance

OMA-ETS-Push-V2_2-20100727-C

Contents

Figures

No table of figures entries found.

1. Scope

This document describes in detail the Interoperability test cases for WAP1 (OTA-WSP), WAP2 (OTA-HTTP), and SIP (OTA-SIP) in OMA Push V2.2.The document is split into three sub sections covering the various Push 2.2 interfaces and functional entities:

- Push Access Protocol- (PAP) interface
- Push Proxy Gateway-(PPG) entity
- Over the Air - (OTA) interface

2. References

2.1 Normative References

[ABNF] "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specification: ABNF", D. Crocker, Ed., P. Overell. November 1997, URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234.txt

[CacheOp] "WAP Cache Operation", Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-175-CacheOp. URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[DMSTDOBJ] "OMA Device Management Standardized Objects, Version 1.2". Open Mobile Alliance™ OMA-TS-DM-StdObj-V1_2, URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org

[DM-TND-V1-2] "OMA Device Management Tree and Description, Version 1.2". Open Mobile Alliance™ OMA-TS-DM_TND-V1_2 URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org

[HTML4] "HTML 4.0 Specification, W3C Recommendation, revised on 24-Apr-1998", D. Raggett et al., April 24 1998. URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/1998/REC-html40-19980424

[IOPProc] "OMA Interoperability Policy and Process", Version 1.8, . Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-ORG-IOP-Process-v1_8. URL:http//www.openmobilealliance.org/

[ISO8601] "Data elements and interchange formats - Information interchange - Representation of dates and times", International Organization For Standardization (ISO), 15-June-1988

"Data elements and interchange formats - Information interchange - Representation of dates and times, Technical Corrigendum 1", International Organization For Standardization (ISO) - Technical Committee ISO/TC 154, 01-May-1991

[OMNA] "OMA Naming Authority". Open Mobile Alliance™. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PROVBOOT] "Provisioning Bootstrap 1.1". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-WAP-ProvBoot-v1_1. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org

[PPGService] "Push Proxy Gateway Service Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PPGService-V2_2. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[ProvCont] "Provisioning Content Type Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-183-ProvCont. URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PushArch] "Push Architectural Overview". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-AD-Push-V2_2 URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PushMO] "Push Management Object". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-Push_MO-V1_0. URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PushMsg] "Push Message Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-Push_Message-V2_2. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PushOTA V2.1] "Push OTA Protocol", Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-WAP-TS-PushOTA-V2_1 URL:http//www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PushOTA] "Push OTA Protocol Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PushOTA-V2_2. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[PushPAP] "Push Access Protocol Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. OMA-TS-PAP-V2_2 URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[RFC1738] "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)", T. Berners-Lee, et al., December 1994. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1738.txt

[RFC1951] "DEFLATE Compressed Data Format Specification version 1.3". P. Deutsch. May 1996. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1951.txt

[RFC2046] "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types", N. Freed, et al.

November 1996, URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2046.txt

[RFC2119] "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels". S. Bradner. March 1997. URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[RFC2234] "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF". D. Crocker, Ed., P. Overell. November 1997. URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234.txt

[RFC2234] "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF". D. Crocker, Ed., P. Overell. November 1997. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234.txt

[RFC2387] "The MIME Multipart/Related Content-type", E. Levinson, August 1998, URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2387.txt

[RFC2616] "Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1", R. Fielding, et al. June 1999, URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt

[RFC3513] "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture". R. Hinden, et al. July 1998. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3513.txt

[RFC791] "Internet Protocol". J. Postel. September 1981. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc791.txt

[RFC822] "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages". David H. Crocker. August 1982. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc822.txt

[ServiceInd] "Service Indication", Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-167-ServiceInd. URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[ServiceLoad] "Service Loading", Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-168-ServiceLoad. URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[UAPROF] "Wireless Application Group User Agent Profile Specification", Open Mobile Alliance™, WAP-248-UAProf. URL: URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[WBXML] "Binary XML Content Format Specification". Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-192-WBXML URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[WDP] "Wireless Datagram Protocol". Open Mobile Alliance™. WAP-259-WDP. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[WSP] "Wireless Session Protocol". Open Mobile Alliance™ WAP-230-WSP. URL: http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[XML] "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Second Edition)", W3C Recommendation 6-October-2000. T. Bray, et al, 6-October-2000. URL: http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml

2.2 Informative References

[ERELDDM] "Enabler Release Definition for Device Management version 1.2". Open Mobile Alliance™, OMA-ERELD-DM-V1_2-20060208-C.

[PROVARCH] "Provisioning Architecture Overview 1.1". Open Mobile Alliance™.OMA-WAP-ProvArch-v1_1. URL:http://www.openmobilealliance.org/

[RDF] "Resource Description Framework (RDF) Model and Syntax Specification", W3C Recommendation, 22-February-1999. URL: http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-rdf-syntax

[RFC2246] "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0", T. Dierks, C. Allen. January 1999, URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt

[RFC2396] "Uniform Resource identifiers (URI)", T. Berners-Lee, et al., August 1998. URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2396.txt

[RFC822] "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", D. Crocker, August 1982.

URL: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0822.txt

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1 Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except “Scope”, are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

The following numbering scheme is used:

xxx-y.z-con-number where:

xxx	Name of enabler, e.g. MMS or Browsing
y.z	Version of enabler release, e.g. 1.2 or 1.2.1
’con’	Indicating this test is a conformance test case
number	Leap number for the test case

Or

xxx-y.z-int-number where:

xxx	Name of enabler, e.g. MMS or Browsing
y.z	Version of enabler release, e.g. 1.2 or 1.2.1
’int’	Indicating this test is a interoperability test case
number	Leap number for the test case

3.2 Definitions

Application	A value-added data service provided to a Client. The application may utilise both push and pull data transfer to deliver content
Application Addressing	**T**he ability to address a particular user agent on a WAP client.
Bearer Network	a network used to carry the messages of a transport-layer protocol between physical devices. Multiple bearer networks may be used over the life of a single push session.
Client	In the context of push, a client is a device (or service) that expects to receive push content from a server. In the context of pull a client, it is a device initiates a request to a server for content or data. See also "device"
Contact Point	Address information that describes how to reach a push proxy gateway, including transport protocol address and port of the push proxy gateway.
Content	Subject matter (data) stored or generated at an origin server. Content is typically displayed or interpreted by a user agent on a client. Content can both be returned in response to a user request, or pushed directly to a client.
Content Encoding	when used as a verb, content encoding indicates the act of converting a data object from one format to another. Typically the resulting format requires less physical space than the original, is easier to process or store, and/or is encrypted. When used as a noun, content encoding specifies a particular format or encoding standard or process.
Content Format	actual representation of content.
Device	Is a network entity that is capable of sending and/or receiving packets of information and has a unique device address. A device can act as either a client or a server within a given context or across multiple contexts. For example, a device can service a number of clients (as a server) while being a client to another server.
End-user	See "user"
Multicast Message	a push message containing a single address which implicitly specifies more than one OTA client address.
Push Framework	The entire Push system. The push framework encompasses the protocols, service interfaces, and

	software entities that provide the means to push data to user agents in the WAP client.
Push Initiator	The entity that originates push content and submits it to the push framework for delivery to a user agent on a client.
Push OTA Protocol	A protocol used for conveying content between a Push Proxy Gateway and a certain user agent on a client.
Push Proxy Gateway	A proxy gateway that provides push proxy services.
Push Session	A WSP session that is capable of conducting push operations.
Registration	Refers to a procedure where the PPG becomes aware of the terminal's current capabilities and preferences.
Registration Context	A state where the PPG is aware of at least the last capabilities and preferences conveyed from the terminal.
Server	A device (or service) that passively waits for connection requests from one or more clients. A server may accept or reject a connection request from a client. A server may initiate a connection to a client as part of a service (push).
Terminal	See "client".
Terminal-ID	An identifier that is used by a PPG to uniquely identify a terminal.
User	A user is a person who interacts with a user agent to view, hear, or otherwise use a rendered content. Also referred to as end-user
User agent	A user agent (or content interpreter) is any software or device that interprets resources. This may include textual browsers, voice browsers, search engines, etc.

3.3 Abbreviations

ABNF	Augmented Backus-Naur Form
CPI	Capability and Preference Information
CSD	Circuit Switched Data
DNS	Domain Name Server
DTD	Document Type Definition
ETR	Enabler Test Requirements
ETS	Enabler Test Specification
GPRS	General Packet Radio Service
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
IANA	Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
IP	Internet Protocol
MAC	Authenication code
MS	Mobile Station
MSISDN	Mobile Station International Subscriber Directory Number
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
OMNA	Open Mobile Naming Authority
OTA	Over The Air
OTA-HTTP	(Push) OTA over HTTP
OTA-HTTP-TLS	OTA-HTTP over TLS

OTA-SIP	(Push) OTA over SIP
OTA-WSP	(Push) OTA over WSP
PAP	Push Access Protocol
PDP	Packet Data Protocol
PI	Push Initiator
PO-TCP	PPG Originated TCP connection establishment method
PPG	Push Proxy Gateway
QoS	Quality of Service
RADIUS	Remote Authentication Dial-In User Service
RFC	Request For Comments
SEC	Security Control
SHA-1	Secure Hash Algorithm 1
SI	Service Indication
SIA	Session Initiation Application
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
SIR	Session Initiation Request
SL	Service Loading
SMS	Short Message Service
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TLS	Transport Layer Security
TO-TCP	Terminal Originated TCP connection establishment method
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
WAP	Wireless Application Protocol
WBXML	WAP Binary XML
WDP	Wireless Datagram Protocol
WINA	WAP Interim Naming Authority
WSP	Wireless Session Protocol
WTLS	Wireless Transport Layer Security

4. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide test cases for all the Push 2.2 Enabler.

Any issues found during Conformance testing SHOULD be reported to OMA using Enabler Test Reports.

The following items are needed to test Push 2.2

- A Push initiator Tool or Push-enabled service to initiate Push message delivery via the PPG. The goal is that the Push Initiator is able to deliver various OMA Push defined content types, and exercise Push content delivery related to various OMA-standard Push applications, e.g. MMS, DRM, Device Provisioning etc…
- A Push Proxy Gateway (PPG) supporting various Push-OTA protocol variants
- Optional: A Protocol Analyzer to monitor and diagnose the connections between the systems.
- A Push Client supporting various Push-OTA protocol variants
- A mobile data network for WAP1/WAP2/SIP Push, including network node dependencies of the Push-OTA protocol variants e.g. SMSC, WAP1 gateway, WAP2 gateway, SIP/IP Core network
- A provisioning server to pre provision security Push server settings if required.



Figure 1 OMA Push Test Configuration

5. Conformance Test Cases

Not available

6. OTA Test Cases

There are 40 interoperability test cases for Push 2.2 OTA Push Enabler.

6.1 WSP Server/Client Push OTA

6.1.1 Non-Secure Port for Connectionless Push

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-1
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a non-secure connectionless SI/SL Push message is sent by the server and received by the client.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 5 [PushOTA] Section 6.2.1
SCR Reference	WSP-CL-C-002 AND WSP-CL-C-003 AND WSP-CL-C-020 AND WDP-RP-S-004 OTA-SEC-C-001 AND WDP:MCF
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile with security set to “OFF”. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test procedure	Set the right WAP Profile (with PPG IP address) on your Client and select it as the current one. This test case should be executed both when the Client is in idle mode and when it has a Non Secure WAP session using both the Service Indication and Service Loading. Send the push message to the Client. The bearer delivering the push message can be either SMS or an unsecure WSP Session. When the Client displays reception of the message, the user will then select to download the push message. When the Device connects to the PPG the Message that was waiting for the device is retrieved.
Pass -Criteria	The Client can receive the push message via SMS or via a Non Secure WAP session. Where upon the received PUSH is in the inbox and the end-user can load it successfully.

6.1.2 Connection-Oriented Unconfirmed Push

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-2
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that a connection oriented unconfirmed SL/SI Push message is sent by the server and received by the client. If the client has not established a bearer then the SIR is sent via OTA until the device connects via the bearer and the session is active A SIR will be sent first followed by a Push SI/SL message.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.2 [PushOTA] Section 5 [PushOTA] Section 8.3
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-002 (WSP-CO-C-001 and WSP-CO-C-010), OTA-WSP-C-003 OTA-CO-C-002 (OTA-WSP-C-001 or and OTA-WSP-C-002) and (OTA-WSP-C-003 or OTA-WSP-C-004: wtls:mcf and wtls:wtls-c007) and OTA-WSP-C-005 and OTA-CO-001 (OTA-CO-C-002 or OTA-CO-C-003) OTA-WSP-C-011 OTA-WSP-S-002 (WSP-CO-S-001 and WSP-CO-S-010) OTA-CO-S-002 OTA-SEC-C-001 AND OTA-HTTP-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing WAP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages and taking into consideration security issues. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via the active bearer “Wap session”. If the client has not established the bearer the SIR is sent via OTA until the device connects via the bearer and the session is active, then the message content is delivered over the session.
Pass-Criteria	The Client initiates a WAP session, receives the push message and ends the WAP session successfully. The received PUSH SL/SI can be presented successfully. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully

6.1.3 Connection-Oriented Confirmed Push

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-3
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify Connection Oriented Confirmed Push by sending a confirmed Push request from the PI which sub sequentially the PPG will then send a connection oriented confirmed SI/SL Push message and this is successfully received by the client when it is on idle mode. If the client has not established a bearer then the SIR is sent via OTA until the device connects via the bearer and the session is active A SIR will be sent first followed by a Push SI/SL message
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.2 [PushOTA] Section 5
SCR Reference	(OTA-WSP-C-001 OR OTA-WSP-C-002) AND (OTA-WSP-C-003 OR OTA-WSP-C-004) AND OTA-WSP-C-005 AND OTA-SEC-C-001 AND OTA-HTTP-S-001 WSP-CO-S-001 AND WSP-CO-S-011
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing WAP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PI will send a Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via the active Wap session. If the client has not established the session the message is then queued until the device connects via the session again. The PPG server may send another SIR via OTA to prompt the phone to reconnect.
Pass-Criteria	The Client initiates a WAP session, receives the push message and ends the WAP session successfully. The received PUSH SL/SI can be presented successfully. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully

	The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.1.4 Support for 4 Concatenated SMS's

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-4
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can support concatenating 4 segmented SMS messages.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2 [PushOTA] Section 6.2.1.1
SCR Reference	WDP-CDMA_C-001 OR WDP-GSM-C-001 WDP-CDMA-S-001 OR WDP-GSM-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Client Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via 4 segmented SMS's to transmit the payload. The Client will then process the Push message by concatenating the push messages.
Pass-Criteria	The client will be concatenating the messages to formulate the completed message payload. The client will also validate the push message source address against the Whitelist. If successful the push message will be processed otherwise it will be ignored. If no whitelist is defined then by default the Push will be accepted. The full Payload will then be displayed successfully.

6.1.5 Support for Whitelists

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-5
Test Object	Server/client device

Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client configured with a whitelist mechanism must validate the source address of the Push PDU's received over the connectionless bearer. If no whitelist is configured then the device will accept any push.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3 [PushOTA] Section 8.3.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-001 OTA-SEC-C-003 OR OTA-SEC-C-004 OR
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Client Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via SMS. The Client will then process the Push messge.
Pass-Criteria	The client will validate the push message source address against the Whitelist. If successful the push message will be processed otherwise it will be ignored. If no whitelist is defined then by default the Push will be accepted.

6.1.6 Secondary Source Authenication Connectionless

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-6
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client configured with a trust mechanism must validate the originating source of content of the Push PDU's received over the connectionless bearer. If no trust is defined then the device will accept any push.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3.3 [PushOTA] Section 8.3.3.2
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-002 OTA-SEC-C-007
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR

Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Client Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via SMS. The Client will then process the Push messge.
Pass-Criteria	The client will validate the push message source address against the defined SEC & MAC. If successful the push message will be processed otherwise it will be ignored. If no SEC & MAC are defined then by default the Push will be accepted.

6.1.7 Support for Whitelists via Wap Provisioning

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-7
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can be provisioned with a Whitelist via the OMA Provisioning protocol [Prov Cont] using the VENDORCONFIG parameters If no Provisioning is applied then no trust is defined so then the device will accept any push.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-003 [Prov – Cont]
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Client Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs. The Provisoning Whitelist Vendor Config parameters are sent to the device. Where up they are used to validate the Push PDU.

	In the case of VENDORCONFIG each parameter in the push whitelist will be named `WHITE LISTn SME` and `WHITE LISTn SMSC` for matched values of *n*, which runs from 1 through the maximum allowed number of entries. Each value in the whitelist MUST support specification of both an SME (Short Message Entity -- source number) and an SMSC (Short Message Service Center) through which the SME sends messages. Each character in an SME or SMSC address in a whitelist entry MUST be in the set {0..9, #, *, A, B, C, a, b, c}
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via SMS. The Client will then process the Push messge.
Pass-Criteria	On receipt of a Push PDU the client SHOULD verify the origination address of the PDU against the push whitelist. When matching the address against a whitelist entry, the client MUST support prefix matching, i.e. with the address formed into a string of the form <number-plan><type-of-number><digit>+, the address matches the corresponding half of the whitelist entry if all the characters in the entry match all the leading characters of the address.

6.1.8 Support for Whitelists via Device management Object

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-8
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can be provisioned with a Whitelist via the OMA Provisioning protocol [ERELDDM] using an extension to the DM Tree {DM-TND-V1.2] as a management object DMSTOBJ If no Provisioning is applied then no trust is defined so then the device will accept any push.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-004 [DMSTDOBJ]
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Client Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs. The Provisoning Whitelist Vendor Config parameters are sent to the device. Where up they are used to validate the Push PDU.

	In the case of VENDORCONFIG each parameter in the push whitelist will be named `WHITE LISTn SME` and `WHITE LISTn SMSC` for matched values of *n*, which runs from 1 through the maximum allowed number of entries. Each value in the whitelist MUST support specification of both an SME (Short Message Entity -- source number) and an SMSC (Short Message Service Center) through which the SME sends messages. Each character in an SME or SMSC address in a whitelist entry MUST be in the set {0..9, #, *, A, B, C, a, b, c}
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via SMS. The Client will then process the Push messge.
Pass-Criteria	On receipt of a Push PDU the client SHOULD verify the origination address of the PDU against the push whitelist. When matching the address against a whitelist entry, the client MUST support prefix matching, i.e. with the address formed into a string of the form <number-plan><type-of-number><digit>+, the address matches the corresponding half of the whitelist entry if all the characters in the entry match all the leading characters of the address.

6.1.9 Support for Whitelists via Wap Provisioning (PXADDR)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-9
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can be provisioned with a Whitelist via the Physical Proxies settings provisioned on the device.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-005 [Prov – Cont]
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	If no entry matches in the configured whitelists the terminal MAY compare the origination address data to the push enabled physical proxies provisioned on the device (PXPHYSICAL [ProvCont]), for example If the push mechanism is SMS based the source address may be the SMSC Number., this may be compared to a PXADDR of type E164. In an alternate example the PXADDR could be of type IPv4 for comparison with the source IP connectionless push PDUs transported over UDP. In the event of this being a WAP 2 Push where the Push Proxy gives guidance to the device as to which provisioning context to use, via the X-Wap-Push-ProvURL then that context MUST be used. If origination address does not match any address configured in the whitelist or other connectivity configuration the terminal MUST reject the push PDU.

Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via SMS. The Client will then process the Push messge.
Pass-Criteria	On receipt of a Push PDU the client SHOULD verify the origination address of the PDU against the push whitelist. If it matches then the Push message is accepted otherwise it is rejected. If no whitelist configuration is set then the message by default will be accepted

6.1.10 Lockout Timer Support for SIA

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-10
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can support a lockout timer to protect against denial of service attacks.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3.2
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	In the case of SIR, to protect against denial of service attacks, the terminal SHOULD implement a lockout timer. If the terminal receives any additional SIRs during the lockout interval, it should defer processing or discard them until the timer expires. If the requested push session(s) is successfully established (OTA-WSP), or if the active TCP connection(s) is successfully established (OTA-HTTP), the lockout timer SHOULD be reset. The value of the lockout timer interval is implementation specific.
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via TO TCP. The Client will then process the initial SIR Push message. When accepted for processing by the device, attempt to resend a few more to ensure that they are rejected by the device during the Lock out period. The timer will reset upon successful connection. Then resend another SIR which will be accepted by the device.
Pass-Criteria	Ensure the device accepts the first SIR and rejects subsequent SIRs. Until the connection has been established where upon the Lockout timer resets and and the client will accept another SIR again for the process to repeat itself.

6.1.11 Support for SEC/MAC Content Parameters

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-11
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	In order to establish & authenticate a trust relationship between PPG and client the mechanism detailed in the Provisioning Bootstrap [ProvBoot] is reused. In Bootstrap the content is trusted due to it being 'signed' using a shared secret. This shared secret may be user defined or it might be some specific information that is related to the bearer or network. The shared secret is then used to generate parameters to the provision content type *application/vnd.wap.connectivity-wbxml*; namely the parameters SEC and MAC. SEC indicates the security mechanism that was used (user defined, network specific etc) and the MAC parameter indicates the authentication code calculated using the pushed data and the shared secret. In the case where the shared secret is known to the PPG (or PI) the PPG (or PI) may generate the SEC and MAC parameters for the content type The SEC and the MAC parameters must have the same value as the values provisioned in the Device.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3.3
SCR Reference	OTA-SEC-C-007
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	
Test Procedure	The PI will send an Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then send an SI and SL Push messages to the client via SMS. The SEC & MAC parameters are then calculated and will be added as parameters to the content type header value. The content is then sent to the targeted terminal unencrypted with the new parameters added to the content type.
Pass-Criteria	The Client receives the Push message and will try and decode the message. If the client does not understand the added content-type parameters, it MUST ignore them. However, if the client is capable of processing the parameters to the content type and they do not match the shared SEC parameter value that is provisioned in the client. Then the push MUST be rejected otherwise the push message is accepted.

6.1.12 OTA-WSP Session Initiated Request (SIR) and Session Initiated Application (SIA)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-12
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that the PPG server sends an Session Initiated Request (SIR) to the Client and the SIA in the client will service the SIR request.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8, [PushOTA] Section 8.2, [PushOTA] Section 8.4, [PushOTA] Section 6.2.2
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-005, OTA-CO-C-002, OTA-WSP-S-005, OTA-CO-S-002 OTA-SEC-C-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The device Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing WAP connection. Should also be executed using any application Push messages Recommended to use UDP logs. If the secure session WTLS is requested by using the SIR secure port or a provisioned port then the client must ensure that a WTLS session exists before it creates a new push session.
Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SIR Push message to the client. If multiple contact points (OTA WSP / OTA HTTP) are included in the SIR, then the client should establish a push session towards one of the contact points in this case OTA WSP. It is left to the device to decide which protocol variant to use. However the SIR may indicate that it accepts any Application ID. Therefore the client has the responsibility to clean up the stale push sessions.
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept the SIR and process the message by the SIA and the application ID. The client will carry out the following The client must establish a connection to the network, if not already done so. Establish push sessions towards the contact points via OTA-WSP defined in the SIR. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has

	been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.1.13 Application Addressing

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-13
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the server sends the correct X-WAP-Application_ID to service the supported client application. Verify that the Client handles a push message which contains an X-Wap-Application-ID header set to a proper value and formatted in a proper way.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.3.
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-006 and OTA-WSP-C-007 OTA-WSP-S-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set it in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. The procedure should be executed for connectionless, connection oriented Push Service Indication, Service Loading and Session Initiation Application messages when the Client is on standby as well as during an ongoing WAP connection. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings. This test case should also be executed using many different types of application ID Push messages. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	Send Connection less SI, SL and SIA to the Client
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept and process the message by the appropriate application I.e. MMS, WapPush, DRM. The received Application PUSH can be presented successfully. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.1.14 Application Dispatching

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-14
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client handles a push message by dispatching the received message to the appropriate Device application.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.3.
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-006 and OTA-WSP-C-007 OTA-WSP-S-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set it in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. The procedure should be executed for connectionless, connection oriented Push Service Indication, Service Loading and Session Initiation Application messages when the Client is on standby as well as during an ongoing WAP connection. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings. This test case should also be executed using many different types of application ID Push messages. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	Send Connection less SI, SL and SIA to the Client
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept and process the received message on the registered WDP port for connectless and for connection oriented over the session by dispatching the relevant message content to the appropriate application I.e. MMS, SMS, WapPush, and DRM. The received Application PUSH must be presented successfully. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.1.15 Push Initiator authentication using Authentication Flag

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-15
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client handles in a proper way a push message which contains *Authenticated* flag clear and set. Verify that the server sends a push message that contains authenticated flag and an Initiator URI.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.4.
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-008 OTA-WSP-S-007
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The correct PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing WAP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs
Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SI and SL Push messages to the client. The client will check the URI provided by the PPG Server with the one prestored on the device when the Authenticator Flag is set to True and the two URI matched then a trust has been established
Pass-Criteria:	The Client receives the WapPush but will check the following When the Auth Flag set (TRUE) then URL is checked with prestored Push URL on device. If the check result is (TRUE) then the Push is loaded successfully. When the Auth Flag set (TRUE) then URL is checked with prestored Push URL on device. IF the check result is (FALSE) then the Push is rejected. When the Auth Flag set (FALSE) then URL is NOT checked and the Push is loaded successfully. In the UDP logs verify that the push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.1.16 Bearer Selection and Control

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-16
Test Object	Server/Client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that the PPG Server can read Bearer Selection as made by the Client when it connects to the Push Proxy Gateway. Also that the PPG Server can send the Last flag to the client for end of messages. Verify that the Client handles the Bearer Selection and Control functionality in a proper way: Bearer Selection: The Client sends the *Bearer-Indication* header when it initiates a WAP session. Bearer Control: The Client ends the created session (initiated by a SIR message sent by the PPG) according to the *Last* flag status on the *Push-Flag* header included on the push message
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.6
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-009 and OTA-WSP-C-010, OTA-WSP-S-009, OTA-WSP-S-008
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing WAP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SI and SL Push messages to the client. The client when it connects will send a Bearer Type Indication so allowing the Server to select and use that appropriate bearer on the next push message. If this message is the last message then the Last Flag is set and the client knows no more messages are waiting.
Pass-Criteria	The Client receives the WapPush but with check the following The Bearer Selection Flag will be defined when the client retrieves the message for future PPG reference. The Last Flag is set by the Server if there are no more messages to send to the client. Therefore the client can tear down the session as it has received its end of messages.

	In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.2 WSP Error Conditions

6.2.1 Application Addressing with an incorrect or non existing Application ID

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-17
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Application addressing to an incorrect application id Verify that the Server can send and the Client handles a push message which contains an X-Wap-Application-ID header set to an incorrect value together with the appropriate content type in the proper format Verify that the Client discards a push message that contains an X-Wap-Application-ID header set to a non existing application. Also verify that the client can handle this error condition.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2.3
SCR Reference	OTA-WSP-C-006 and OTA-WSP-C-007 OTA-WSP-S-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. Send a Service Initiation Application file in textual or numeric form The right PPG IP address is set it in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. The procedure should be executed for connectionless, connection oriented Push Service Indication, Service Loading and Session Initiation Application messages when the Client is on standby as well as during an ongoing WAP connection It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send an Errorous Service Initiation Application or none at all via Connection less SI, SL and SIA are sent to the MS.
Pass-Criteria	When the Service Indication file or Session Initiation Application file is sent to the Client, the Client should not react in any way. The push message is processed i.e. the Client should discard the Sir's because of their malformed, incorrect application ID If the Client receives no application Id then the Client can use the default one if it so chooses

.

6.2.2 SI with missing value attributes

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-18
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Management of messages without attributes. Verify that the Client handles a received SI messages with some missing fields in a proper manner.
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 6.2, 6.3 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSL] Section 8 [PushSL] Section 6.1
SCR Reference	SI-CF-C-001 SI-SEM-C-011 SI-CF-C-003 SI-VAL-S-001 SI-VAL-S-002 SI-VAL-S-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send an SI with no CREATED and SI-EXPIRES dates to the Client. Send an SI with no text for display to the Client Send an SI with no SI-ID attribute value to the Client Send an SI with no HREF attribute value to the Client Send an SI with no ACTION attribute value to the Client
Pass-Criteria	The Client should be able to process an SI with no CREATE and SI-EXPIRES attribute value i.e. the message is stored in the inbox and can be presented. The Client should be able to process an SI with no test for display i.e. the message will be stored in the push inbox and no text is displayed. The Client should be able to process an SI with no SI-ID attribute value i.e. the message is stored in the Push inbox and can be presented. The Client should be able to process an SI with no HREF attribute value i.e. the message is stored in the Push inbox but it will not be possible to load the message. A consistent error message should be displayed (e.g. wrong URL).

	The Client should be able to process an SI with no ACTION value i.e.the.SI shall be presented with for example the following text: “Push message received”, “No ACTION”

6.2.3 SL with missing value attributes

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-19
Test Object	Server/client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Management of messages without attributes. Verify that the Client handles a received SL messages with some missing fields in a proper manner.
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 6.2, 6.3 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSL] Section 8 [PushSL] Section 6.1
SCR Reference	SL-CF-C-001 and SL-CF-C-002 SL-SEM-C-001 and SL-SEM-C-002 SL-VAL-S-001 SL-VAL-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send an SL with no ACTION attribute value to the Client Send an SL with no HREF attribute value to the Client. The Push initiator Tool may not allow the creation of an SL without a HREF.
Pass-Criteria	The Client should be able to process an SL message without ACTION value. The default values supported by the Client will be used. The client should NOT be able to process an SL message with no HREF value, there will be no indication of reception of the message and therefore no message will be stored in the Push inbox.

6.3 WSP Server/Client Cache Operation

6.3.1 Support for the CO in tokenized form using URI Equivalence Rules and Prefix Match Rules

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-20
Test Object:	Client and server devices
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that content is sent from the server and loaded to cache with a Push SL/SI. When the Client receives a valid cache operation message, the content is removed from the cache. Verify that when the Client receives a valid invalidate-object push message it will delete the object following the URI Prefix Match rules, which include: The scheme must be the same. The authority must be the same. The path must match. The query part is ignored if included. And the URI Equivalence rules include the following: A comparison of host name must be case insensitive. A port that is empty or not given is equivalent to the default port for that URI-reference. Comparison of scheme names must be case-insensitive. An empty absolute path is equivalent to an abs_path of "/".
Specification Reference	[PushCO] Section 9 [PushCO] Section 9.3 [PushCO] Section 6 [PushCO] Section 6.3 [PushCO] Section 6.4
SCR Reference	CO-CF-C-001 CO-CF-C-002 CO-CF-C-003 CO-SEM-C-001 CO-SEM-C-003 CO-SEM-C-004 CO-PPG-S-001 CO-PPG-S-002 CO-PPG-S-003 CO-VAL-S-001 CO-VAL-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE

Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This is tested both in idle mode and in non-idle mode using SL. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send an SL message is sent to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	Send a Cache Operation message which will invalidate the cache for that URL previously sent. Then send another SL with a different URL. If the last SL results in the content being retrieved via the Wap pull channel as detectable from the protocol analyzer, then the test passes

6.3.2 URI Resolution in the invalidate object and service

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-21
Test Object	Client Device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Resolution of relative URI when receiving invalidate-object or service. Verify that when the Client receives an invalidate-object or invalidate-service message it only deletes those messages following the URI Resolution rules, which includes: • If X-Wap-Content-URI exists in document it uses this to resolve the URI. • If content-Location exists in document it uses this to resolve the URL. • If "host" exists the Client does not need to use "host" header to resolve the URL. • If not possible to resolve the URL, the CO is silently discarded.
Specification Reference	[PushCO] Section 6 [PushCO] Section 6.2 [PushCO] Section 6.3 [PushCO] Section 6.4
SCR Reference	CO-SEM-C-002 CO-SEM-C-003 CO-SEM-C-004 CO-SEM-C-005
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either "Always ask", "Always" or "Never". Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This is tested both in idle mode and in non-idle mode using both SI and SL It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	An SL message is sent to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	A page with a Cache Operation message with an invalidate object or service is loaded and the messages will be processed according to the URI resolution rules below: If X-Wap-Content-URI in push message was not the correct one, therefore the object or service is not downloaded but it is taken from the cache. Content location should not be used to resolve an invalidate service or object, i.e. the object or service is loaded from the cache If host header is used, the push message will be discarded silently since host header

	is not used to resolve the URL. If the absolute path is empty, then nothing will happen.

.

6.3.3 Protection for the denial of Service attacks

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-22
Test Object	Client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that when the Client receives an invalidate-object or invalidate-service message it only deletes those messages following the URI Resolution rules, which includes: • If X-Wap-Content-URI exists in document it uses this to resolve the URI. • If content-Location exists in document it uses this to resolve the URL. • If "host" exists the Client does not need to use "host" header to resolve the URL. • If not possible to resolve the URL, the CO is silently discarded.
Specification Reference	[PushCO] Section 6.2 [PushCO] Section 6
SCR Reference	CO-SEM-C-005 CO-SEM-C-001 and CO-SEM-C-002 OTA-SEC-C-006 AND OTA-SEC-C-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Prerequisites:	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either "Always ask", "Always" or "Never". Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This is tested both in idle mode and in non-idle mode using both SI and SL. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test procedure:	An SL message is sent to the Client.
Pass criteria:	A page with a Cache Operation message with an invalidate object or service is loaded and processed according to the rules below: If X-Wap-Content-URI in push message was not the correct one, therefore the object or service is not downloaded but it is taken from the cache. Content location should not be used to resolve an invalidate service or object, i.e. the object or service is loaded from the cache If host header is used, the push message will be discarded silently since host header is not used to resolve URL. If the absolute path is empty, then nothing will happen.

6.4 Service Indication

6.4.1 Character encoding

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-23
Test Object	Client/Server devices
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the sent and received SI messages with the supported encodings are processed by the Client in the correct way.
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 5.1 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 8
Requirement:	SI-CSE-C-005 SI-CSE-C-006. SI-CF-C-001 SI-CF-C-004 SI-PPG-S-001 SI-PPG-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send some SIs with the supported encoded characters to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	The Client should be able to load the SI message

6.4.2 Support for %Datetime; encoded as OPAQUE data

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-24
Test Object	Client device
Test Description and Purpose	Verify that the MS uses the SI-EXPIRES attribute to remove expired Service Indication messages from the Inbox and silently ignore the messages that have a Service Indication-EXPIRES date/time which is less than the date/time set on the Client.
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 8.2.1.1 [PushSI] Section 8.2.2 [PushSI] Section 6.2, 6.5 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 8 [PushSI] Section 6.4
SCR Reference	SI-CF-C-005 SI-CF-C006 SI-SEM-C-002 SI-CF-C-001 SI-CF-C004 SI-SEM-C-015, SI-SEM-C-016
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send the Service Indication message to the Client with an SI-EXPIRES date/time more than the current one set on the Client. Send a Service Indication message to the Client with an SI-EXPIRES date/time less than the current one set on the Client.
Pass-Criteria	SI messages with specified SI-EXPIRES attribute should be deleted from the inbox when the time expires and messages send when the SI-EXPIRES attribute has already passed should be ignored by the Client i.e. should not be stored in the Push inbox.

6.4.3 Handling of Out of Order SI and Replacement

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-25
Test Object	Client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client handles out of order delivery as well the right replacement on received SIs in a proper way.
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 8.2.1.1 [PushSI] Section 6.2 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 8 [PushSI] Section 6.4
SCR Reference	SI-CF-C-005 SI-SEM-C-003, SI-SEM-C-004, SI-SEM-C-005 and SI-SEM-C-006 Section 6.2. SI-CF-C-001 SI-CF-C004 SI-SEM-C-015, SI-SEM-C-016
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test procedure	Send an SI to the Client. Send a second SI with the same SI-ID and newer CREATED attribute value than in the first one. Send a third SI with the same SI-ID and older CREATED attribute value than in the second SI.
Pass-Criteria	The Client must replace the older (i.e. the first to be sent) SI by the received newer one. The Client must silently discard the received older one.

6.4.4 One or Multiple SIs that are not processed upon reception

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-26
Test Object	Client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that received SI messages are stored in the Inbox according to the Clients implementation and the ability to maintain at least one SI that cannot be processed directly upon reception
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 8.2.1.1 [PushSI] Section 6.2.1 [PushSI] Section 6.2 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 8 [PushSI] Section 6.4
SCR Reference	SI-CF-C-005 SI-SEM-C-007 and SI-SEM-C-008 SI-SEM-C-009 and SI-SEM-C-012 SI-SEM-C-011 SI-CF-C-001 SI-CF-C004 SI-SEM-C-015, SI-SEM-C-016
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time are set on the MS. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. Sort order shall be dependent on: • action attribute • Order of reception loaded/read or unloaded/unread push messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send various SIs with different ACTION attributes (“Execute-Low”, “Execute-Medium”, “Execute-High”) and with different creation time.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Inbox list is sorted according to the following logic. The Action attribute (High Medium Low) The Order that they are received by the device. The Client is able to maintain at least one message that is not directly processed.

6.4.5 Push Accessibility User Settings

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-27
Test Object	Client Device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Ability to choose the load the service immediately or postpone the SI for later handling Verify that when an SI is presented, the end-user can choose to load the service immediately, or postpone the SI for later handling depending on user settings in Push Accessibility. Verify that the Client provides the end-user the ability to act on the postponed message. Verify the ability to maintain at least one postponed message
Specification Reference	[PushSI] Section 6.3 [PushSI] Section 6.3.1 [PushSI] Section 6.4 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 8
SCR Reference	SI-SEM-C-009 and SI-SEM-C-010 SI-SEM-C-013 and SI-SEM-C-014 SI-SEM-C-016, SI-SEM-C-015, SI-SEM-C-016 SI-CF-C-001 Section 7. SI-CF-C004
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send an SI message to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	The Client should be able to load the SI & SL messages. When the Push accessibility settings are set to “Always ask”, the end- user will always be asked if the message will be processed now or later. If accessibility is set to “Always”, the user will not be asked but the message will be processed directly. If the accessibility setting is set to “Never”, then no Push messages will be accepted by the Client. When the end-user would like to processes the postponed message, the Client should provide the end-user the ability to do so. The end-user can abort the service.

6.5 Server/Client Service Loading

6.5.1 Character Encoding

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-28
Test Object	Handling of the supported character encodings
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the received SL messages with the supported encodings are processed by the Client in the correct way.
Specification Reference	[PushSL] Section 5.1 [PushSL] Section 8 [PushSL] Section 9
SCR Reference	SL-CSE-C-005, SL-CSE-C-006 and SL-CSE-C-007 SL-CF-C-001 SL-CF-C-003 SL-PPG-S-001 SI-PPG-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send some SLs with the supported encoded characters to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	The Client should be able to load the SL messages with the supported encoded characters. Client must be able to ignore messages with unsupported encoded characters.

6.5.2 One or multiple SL messages that are not processed upon reception

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-29
Test Object	Handling of multiple SLs that are not processed upon reception
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that received SL messages are stored in the Inbox according to the Clients implementation and the ability to maintain at least one SL that cannot be processed directly upon reception.
Specification Reference	[PushSL] Section 6.1 [PushSL] Section 6.2 [PushSL] Section 8 [PushSL] Section 9
SCR Reference	SL-SEM-C-001 SL-SEM-C-003 SL-CF-C-001 SL-CF-C-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set either to “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. Sort order shall be dependent on: • action attribute • The order of reception of the messages loaded/read or unloaded/unread push messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send various SLs with different ACTION attribute (“Execute-Low”, “Execute-Medium”, “Execute-High”) and with different creation time.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Inbox list is sorted according to the following logic. 1. The Action attribute (High Medium Low) 2. The Order that they are received by the device

6.5.3 Push Accessibility User Settings

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-30
Test Object	Client device
Test Case Description and Purpose	Ability to choose to load the service immediately or postpone the SL for later handling: Verify that when an SL is presented, the end-user can choose to load the service immediately, or postpone the SL for later handling depending on user settings in Push Accessibility. Verify that the Client provides the end-user the ability to act on the postponed message. Verify the ability to maintain at least one postponed message
Specification Reference	[PushSL] Section 6.2 [PushSL] Section 8 [PushSL] Section 9
SCR Reference	SL-SEM-C-003 SL-CF-C-001 SL-CF-C-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user setting is set to either “Always ask”, “Always” or “Never”. Current date / time are set in the Client. The right PPG IP address is set I the Clients currently activeWAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send an SL message to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	When the Push accessibility settings are set to “Always ask”, the end- user will always be asked if the message will be processed now or later. If accessibility is set to “Always”, the end-user will not be asked but the message will be processed directly. If the accessibility setting is set to “Never”, then no Push messages will be accepted by the Client. When the end-user would like to processes the postponed message, the Client should provide the end-user the ability to do so. The end-user can abort the service.

6.6 HTTP Server/Client Connections

6.6.1 Unsecure (TO-TCP)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-31
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that a Terminal oriented Unsecure Method allows the Push message to be sent by the server and received by the client when it is on idle mode or during an ongoing WAP connection.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.4.1
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-001 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-001 (OTA-CO-C-003) Req: TCP:MCF
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The device Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing HTTP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The Client will connect via HTTP to the Server via (in defined order of precedence): 1 .A specified port defined in the SIR (If applicable WSP – 2948 Http - 4035) 2. A Provisioned port (If Applicable) 3. One or more registered Push ports (Non Secure/ Secure) If multiple contact points (OTA WSP / OTA HTTP) are included in the SIR, then the client should establish a push session towards one of the contact points. It is left to the device to decide which protocol variant to use. However the SIR may indicate that it accepts any Application ID. Therefore the client has the responsibility to clean up the stale push sessions

Pass-Criteria	The client must establish an IP connection to the network, if not already done so. Establish push sessions towards the contact points via OTA-HTTP. Retrieve the Push messages that have been queued for the Client. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.6.2 Secure (TO-TCP)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-32
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that a terminal oriented Secure TLS Method allows the Push message to be sent by the server and received by the client when it is on idle mode or during an ongoing WAP connection.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7.2.4.1
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-003 (Reg: TLS:MSF) OTA-HTTP-C-003 (Reg: TLS:MCF)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing HTTP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The Client will connect via HTTP-TLS to the Server via (in defined order of precedence): 1. A specified port defined in the SIR (If applicable) 2. A Provisioned port (If Applicable) 3. One or more registered Push ports (Non Secure/ Secure) If multiple contact points (OTA WSP / OTA HTTP) are included in the SIR, then the client should establish a push session towards one of the contact points. It is left to the device to decide which protocol variant to use.

Pass-Criteria	The client must establish an IP connection to the network, if not already done so. Establish push sessions towards the contact points via OTA-HTTP-TLS. Retrieve the Push messages that have been queued for the Client. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.6.3 Unsecure (PO-TCP)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-33
Test Object	Server Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that a PPG oriented UNSecure Method allows the Push message to be sent by the server and received by the client when it is on idle mode or during an ongoing WAP connection.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.4.2
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-002 OTA-HTTP-C-002 (OTA-CO-C-003) Req: TCP:MSF
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing HTTP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SI and SL Push messages to the client. The Client will connect via HTTP to the Server via (in defined order of precedence): 1. A specified port defined in the SIR (If applicable) 2. A Provisioned port (If Applicable) 3. One or more registered Push ports (Non Secure/ Secure)

	If multiple contact points (OTA WSP / OTA HTTP) are included in the SIR , then the client should establish a push session towards one of the contact points. It is left to the device to decide which protocol variant to use. However the SIR may indicate that it accepts any Application ID. Therefore the client has the responsibility to clean up the stale push sessions.
Pass-Criteria	The client must establish an IP connection to the network, if not already done so. Establish push sessions towards the contact points via OTA-HTTP. Retrieve the Push messages that have been queued for the Client. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.6.4 Secure (PO-TCP)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-34
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that a PPG oriented Secure Method allows the Push message to be sent by the server and received by the client when it is on idle mode or during an ongoing WAP connection.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7.2.4.1.2
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-004 Req: TLS:MSF OTA-HTTP-C-004 Req: TLS:MCF
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. This test case should be executed under all conditions of the Push access user settings when the Client is both in idle and during an ongoing HTTP connection. Should also be executed using both SI and SL messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.

Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SI and SL Push messages to the client. The Client will connect via HTTP-TLS to the Server via (in defined order of precedence): 1. A specified port defined in the SIR (If applicable) 2. A Provisioned port (If Applicable) 3. One or more registered Push ports (Non Secure/ Secure) If multiple contact points (OTA WSP / OTA HTTP) are included in the SIR , then the client should establish a push session towards one of the contact points. It is left to the device to decide which protocol variant to use. However the SIR may indicate that it accepts any Application ID. Therefore the client has the responsibility to manage push sessions.
Pass-Criteria	The client must establish an IP connection to the network, if not already done so. Establish push sessions towards the contact points via OTA-HTTP-TLS. Retrieve the Push messages that have been queued for the Client. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.6.5 Registration

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-35
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that PPG initiated Registration is accomplished by sending an HTTP-OPTIONS [RFC 2626] request to the client using a push message which contains a Request URI and an empty Host header field.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.5.1 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.5
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-005 OTA-HTTP-C-005 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The X-Wap-Push-ProvURL header may be included in the request. The response from the Client unless rejection occurs must include the following headers if no X-WAP-CPITag header is conveyed:

	CPI headers(Optional headers specified) The X-Wap-CPITag header The above headers are also included in the response if a CPITag is conveyed from the PPG and doesn't match the Current Clients CPITag The CPITag is calculated by base64 encoding of the four octets and can be conveyed to the Client by the PPG in either method: Include the CPITag in an SIR Include the CPITag in the X-Wap-CPITag header in the Options request Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set it in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. Response Status Codes: 234-299 – Push Request Rejected 300-399 – Registration Request Rejected 400-499 – Push request accepted 500-599 – Registration request accepted 600-699 – General Rejections Reasons It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send the HTTP-OPTIONS request to the Client. The client will respond to the OPTIONS request with a response status code that reflects the outcome of that request i.e. (accepted, authentication required ...) The X-WAP-Push-Status header indicating the outcome of the registration request must be included in the response to the OPTIONS request.
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept and process the HTTP-OPTIONS Request The Response code: Accepted & X-WAP-Push-Status: 500 if CPITag matches The Response code: NOT Accepted & X-WAP-Push-Status: 501 if CPITag does not match.

6.6.6 Registration Validation

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-36
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify and validate that the PPG's CPI context is current and matched as to the one on the Client.

Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.5 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.5.2
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-006 OTA-HTTP-C-006 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	This method only works when the PPG knows the coupling between Identity and IP address of the Clients current CPI. The CPITag Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. The Client should be in the standby mode. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	If the CPITag assumed by the PPG matches the Clients current CPITag then the client will process the push message. Thus respond by NOT including the X-Wap-CPITag header in the post response. If the CPITag does not match the Clients then the client will silently disregard the message. Then the Client conveys its X-Wap-CPITag header in the response
Pass-Criteria:	The Client should accept and process the messages accordingly.

6.6.7 CPI and User Agent Profile

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-37
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify and validate that the PPG's can use the CPI context or the **X-wap-profile** and **X-wap-profile-diff** headers if available.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.5.6
SCR Reference	
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR

Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	This method will validate the use of a UAprofile. The Push attributes of the UAprofile will be referenced when establishing the Clients CPI However if the CPI has been defined in the Options request . Then the Uaprof should NOT supersede these definitions. Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. The Client should be in the standby mode. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Verify that the defined Push capabilities of the Client are correctly matched to the referenced UAprofile Push characteristics for the device. Failing this then the capabilities should be referenced from the CPI if defined.
Pass-Criteria:	The Client should accept and process the messages accordingly to the devices defined capabilities.

6.6.8 Un-Authenticated Terminal Identification

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-38
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client is UN authenticated by the PPG and fails Message delivery.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.6.1
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-007 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-007 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Send the HTTP-OPTIONS request to the Client. The client will respond to the OPTIONS request with a response in ABNF [RFC2234] format.
Test Procedure	The PPG will send the HTTP-OPTIONS with an ***X-Wap-Authenticate header*** request to the Client. The client will respond to the OPTIONS request with an ***X-Wap-Authorization header*** containing the Terminal-ID if it accepts the challenge. The Client must NOT include the X-Wap-Authorization header in the response unless the X-Wap-Authenticate header was present in the corresponding request.
Pass-Criteria	The Client must reject the message upon failed Authentication

6.6.9 Authenticated Terminal Identification

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-39
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can be authenticated by the PPG when requested to do so.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7. [PushOTA] Section 7.2.6.2
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-008 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-008
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Send the HTTP-OPTIONS request to the Client. The client will respond to the OPTIONS request with a response in basic or digest [RFC2617] format. Both Client and Server MUST support basic and MAY support digest authentication scheme
Test Procedure	The PPG will send the HTTP-OPTIONS with an ***X-Wap-Authenticate header*** request to the Client. The client will respond to the OPTIONS request with an ***X-Wap-Authorization header*** containing the Terminal-ID if it accepts the challenge. The client will respond to the OPTIONS request with a 412 “precondition Failed” and include the auth-parm directive in the X-Wap-Authorization header if it rejects the challenge. The Client must NOT include the X-Wap-Authorization header in the response unless the X-Wap-Authenticate header was present in the corresponding request.
Pass-Criteria:	The Client should accept and process the messages when Authorisation .

6.6.10 Authenticated PPG Identification

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-40
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the PPG Server can be authenticated by the Client when requested to do so. Also that the terminal can handle Non Authentication PPG ID
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.6.2
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-009 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-008 (OTA-CO-C-003) OTA-HTTP-C-010 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Send the WWW-Authenticate request to the Server. The Server will respond to the WWW-Authenticate header request with a response in basic or digest [RFC2617] format. Both Client and Server MUST support basic and MAY support digest authentication scheme
Test Procedure	The Client will send the HTTP-OPTIONS request with a WWW-Authenticate header request to the Server. The Server will respond to the WWW-Authenticate request with an X-Wap-Authorization header containing the following if it accepts the challenge. Realm = Terminal-ID domain = /wappush username = Proxy-ID stale = NA Alogorithm = SHA-1 qop-options = NA nonce= Unique generated number The client can respond to the OPTIONS request with a 401 “Unauthorized” to the PPG so that the PPG resend its authorization details.
Pass-Criteria	The Server must accept and process the messages

6.6.11 Application Addressing

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-41
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Server addresses the appropriate Client push application with the absolute path as the URI of the Post Request.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.3
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-010 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-011 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The message body of the POST request uses /wappush as the Request URI and an empty Host header field then the remaining content and headers for the addressed application. Upon response the Status code is returned 400 Accepted. The procedure should be executed for connectionless, connection oriented Push Service Indication, Service Loading and Session Initiation Application messages when the Client is on standby as well as during an ongoing WAP connection.
Test Procedure	Send a Push request from the Server with /wappush as the URI of the Post to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept and process the message by the appropriate application I.e. MMS, WapPush, DRM. The received Application PUSH can be presented successfully. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that the process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has been complete.

6.6.12 Content Push

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-42
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Server sends the following Push content to the Client in the proper acceptable format.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.4
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-011 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-012 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	
Test Procedure	The Push message body of the Post can include the following Headers: X-Wap-Push-Info – This is a request header used in the Post request sent by the Ppg to provide the terminal with the following indications (Authenticated, Trusted, Last, response) X-Wap-Push-ProvURL – This header needs to be included in the first HTTP request sent to the terminal using PO-TCP method. This then allows the client to associate that active TCP connection with a certain ProvURL until the connection is closed. (This is only applicable to Clients supporting Wap provisioning)
Pass-Criteria:	The Client should accept and process the messages.

6.6.13 Version Control

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-43
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can handle Version Control.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.5
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-012 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-013 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The OTA protocol over HTTP allows version control of major minor integer values releases. A*star can be used in the minor integer value to indicate acceptance for all minor versions of a given major release.
Test Procedure	The X-Wap-Push-OTA-Version header is included in the first HTTP response; if it is included in the first request then it is required to be in the response. If the client is not able to handle any of the versions indicated by the PPG, the client MUST include the X-Wap-Push –Status header with a value of 600 and an appropriate text message ”Version Not Supported” in the response.
Pass-Criteria	The version is communicated successfully

6.6.14 Security Considerations

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-44
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	To protect the device against Denial of Service attacks the client should implement a LOCKOUT timer.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 8.3
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-013 OTA-HTTP-C-014 OTA –CO-C-003) OTA-SEC-C-006 AND OTA-SEC-C-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The lockout timer is reset if the requested push session is successfully established (OTA-WSP) or (OTA-HTTP) If the SIR is sent via a secure port then the Security measures do not have to be necessary. To protect against spoofing the Client should validate the SIR by comparing source address of PDU that carries SIA content with the set of prestored ones.
Test Procedure	Test Denial of service by sending a Push to the client and then send further wappushs during the lockout period. During Lockout period the notifications are disregarded until the timer expires which is device specific. Upon which the client resumes to normal operation.
Pass-Criteria	The Client should accept and process the messages.

6.6.15 Bearer Indication

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-45
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client can register different bearers with the PPG.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.6
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-014 (OTA-CO-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-015
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The Bearer type is defined in RFC2234 format.
Test Procedure	The PPG will send the HTTP-OPTIONS request to Client. The Client will respond with an X-Wap-Bearer-Indication header containing the following Bearer type.
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept and process the messages on the bearer defined.

6.6.16 SIA/SIR

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-46
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	To verify that the server sends the appropriate SIR to the Client and the SIA in the client will service the request.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8 [PushOTA] Section 8.1 [PushOTA] Section 8.4
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-015 (OTA-C-S-003) OTA-HTTP-C-016 (OTA-CO-C-003) OTA-SEC-C-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The device Device Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs. If the secure session HTTP-TLS is requested by using the SIR secure port or a provisioned port then the client must ensure that a HTTP-TLS session exists before it creates a new push session.
Test Procedure	The PPG Server will send an SIR Push message to the client. If multiple contact points (OTA WSP / OTA HTTP) are included in the SIR, then the client should establish a push session towards one of the contact points. It is left to the device to decide which protocol variant to use. However the SIR may indicate that it accepts any Application ID. Therefore the client has the responsibility to clean up the stale push sessions.
Pass-Criteria:	The Client must accept the SIR and process the message by the SIA and the application ID. The client will carry out the following The client must establish a connection to the network, if not already done so. Establish push sessions towards the contact points via OTA-HTTP defined in the SIR. In the UDP logs verify that the last push message flag is set and that process has been completed successfully The Server logs can be monitored to confirm that acknowledgment of delivery has

	been complete.

6.6.17 Support for the X-Wap-Push-ProvURL header

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-47
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client handles a push message which contains an X-Wap-Push-ProvURL header set to a proper value in a proper way.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 7 [PushOTA] Section 7.2.5.4
SCR Reference	OTA-HTTP-S-016 OTA-HTTP-C-017 (OTA-CO-C-003)
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	If the specified Provisioning URL is non empty and it matches the terminals config then the URL is used. If the specified Provisioning URL is NOT matching the terminals config then the Terminals URL is used, and a return status code is returned (257 or 302) in the X-Wap-Push-Status header. If the specified Provisioning URL is empty it is the discretion of the terminal to select the appropriate config context among those having an empty ProvURL. If the terminal cannot find a Provisioning context with an empty ProvURL the request is rejected and the return status code is returned (257 or 302) in the X-Wap-Push-Status header. If the specified Provisioning URL is present and the terminal does not support WAP provisioning the terminal may reject the request and a return status code is returned (257 or 302) in the X-Wap-Push-Status header.
Test Procedure	X-Wap-Push-ProvURL – This header needs to be included in the first HTTP request sent to the terminal from the PPG using PO-TCP method. This then allows the client to associate that active TCP connection with a certain ProvURL until the connection is closed. (This is only applicable to Clients supporting Wap provisioning)
Pass-Criteria	The Client must accept and process the messages

6.7 SIP Push (OTA-SIP)

6.7.1 Client Registration with PPG

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-048
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client successfully registers with the SIP/IP Core network, the PPG is informed via either Third-Party Registration or reg-event-package Subscription, and that the Push Client and PPG successfully complete the capability negotiation procedure (SIP OPTIONS).
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.2.2, 8.2.3.1, 8.2.3.2
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-005 OTA-SIP-S-005
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	Push Client, PPG
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PPG must be configured for either Third-Party Registration or reg-event-package Subscription. Third-Party Registration requires setting of Initial Filter Criteria in SIP/IP Core (S-CSCF) so that SIP REGISTER is forwarded to the PPG. reg-event-package Subscription requires that the PPG either be pre-subscribed to the reg-event-package from the SIP/IP Core (S-CSCF), or that the PPG be configured to subscribe to the reg-event-package upon reception of SIP REGISTER (Third-Party Registration).
Test Procedure	(1) The Push Client device is started, and the Push Client registers (SIP REGISTER) with the SIP/IP Core network, including a Push Resource Identifier of each active push application (i.e. that is ready to receive Push messages) in the Contact header, as values of feature tag g.oma.pusheventapp. (2) The SIP/IP Core forwards the SIP REGISTER to the PPG (note not all of the Push Client provided information is forwarded). (3) The PPG registers for the reg-event-package (if not already registered). (4) The Push Client sends SIP OPTIONS to all of the PPG's in the Push Whitelist, or the default PPG if the Push Whitelist is not defined, and includes a Push Resource Identifier of each active push application (i.e. that is ready to receive Push messages) in the Contact header, as values of feature tag g.oma.pusheventapp. (5) The PPG responds with the Push Resource Identifier of each requested Push Application ID for which it supports Push message delivery.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client is successfully registered with the SIP/IP Core network, and successfully receives and processes the PPG response to SIP OPTIONS. The PPG successfully receives and processes each event in the flow.

6.7.2 Connectionless Push (SIP MESSAGE)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-049
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a Service Indication can be delivered to the Push Client in SIP MESSAGE.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.2.4.1, 8.2.4.2, 8.4.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-006 OTA-SIP-S-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-048
Test Procedure	(1) The PI delivers a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, requesting a Connectionless Push quality-of-service. (2) The PPG sends a SIP MESSAGE to the target Push Client, , formatted as per [PushOTA] Section 8.2.4.1, and including Push Resource Identifier “wml.ua” (browser Push Application ID) in the Accept-Contact header, as the value of feature tag g.oma.pusheventapp. The PPG includes the Service Indication in the body of the SIP MESSAGE. If a compression method support was indicated by the Push Client during registration, the PPG encodes the content accordingly and includes the Content-Encoding header as defined in [RFC3261]. (3) The Push Client successfully receivesthe SIP MESSAGE, and the Service Indication is stored in the Push Inbox. (4) The Push Client responds with SIP 200 OK. (5) The PPG receives the SIP 200 OK. (6) The Service Indication is activated by the user, and the target web page successfully loaded.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and processes the Service Indication.

6.7.3 Connection Oriented Push (SIP INVITE + MSRP)

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-050
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a Service Indication can be delivered to the Push Client in MSRP.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.2.5.1, 8.2.5.2, 8.4.1

SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-007 OTA-SIP-S-007
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-048
Test Procedure	(1) The PI delivers a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, requesting a Connection-Oriented Push quality-of-service. (2) The PPG sends a SIP INVITE with SDP body to the target Push Client, formatted as per [PushOTA] Section 8.2.5.1, and includes Push Resource Identifier "wml.ua" (browser Push Application ID) in the Accept-Contact header, as the value of feature tag g.oma.pusheventapp. (3) The Push Client responds with a SIP 200 OK with SDP body as per [PushOTA] Section 8.2.5.2. (4) The PPG sends a MSRP SEND as per [PushOTA] Section 8.2.5.1, including the Service Indication in the body. (5) The Push Client successfully receivesthe MSRP SEND, stores the Service Indication is stored in the Push Inbox, and responds with 200 OK. (6) The Service Indication is activated by the user, and the target web page successfully loaded.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and processes the Service Indication.

6.7.4 Embedded Push Message in SIP MESSAGE

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-051
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a Push message can be delivered when encapsulated in the application/vnd.oma.push content type, in a SIP MESSAGE.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.4.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-009 OTA-SIP-S-009
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-049
Test Procedure	(1) A condition is arranged whereby the PPG should embed a service indication in the application/vnd.oma.push content type, prior to delivery. There are several options as described in [PushOTA] Section 8.4.1, e.g. a) The PI delivers a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, requesting a Connectionless Push quality-of-service, and specifying a "Last-modified" header. b) The PPG includes the "X-Wap-Push-Info" for one or more reasons, e.g. to

	indicate that the PI has been authenticated. (2) The service indication is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-049, but embedded in the application/vnd.oma.push content type. (3) The Service Indication is activated by the user, and the target web page successfully loaded.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and processes the Service Indication.

6.7.5 Embedded Push Message in MSRP SEND

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-052
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a Push message can be delivered when encapsulated in the application/vnd.oma.push content type, in a MSRP SEND.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.4.1
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-009 OTA-SIP-S-009
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-050
Test Procedure	(1) A condition is arranged whereby the PPG should embed a service indication in the application/vnd.oma.push content type, prior to delivery. There are several options as described in [PushOTA] Section 8.4.1, e.g. a) The PI delivers a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, requesting a Connectionless Push quality-of-service, and specifying a "Last-modified" header. b) The PPG includes the "X-Wap-Push-Info" for one or more reasons, e.g. to indicate that the PI has been authenticated. (2) The service indication is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-050, but embedded in the application/vnd.oma.push content type. (3) The Service Indication is activated by the user, and the target web page successfully loaded.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and processes the Service Indication.

6.7.6 SIP URI as target address in PAP

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-053
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description	Verify that a PI can target a push message by SIP URI.

and Purpose	
Specification Reference	[PPGService] Section 6
SCR Reference	PPG-ADD-S-001
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-049
Test Procedure	(1) The PI delivers a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, targeting a device by its SIP URI. (2) The service indication is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-049. (3) The Service Indication is activated by the user, and the target web page successfully loaded.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and processes the Service Indication.

6.7.7 Support for Standard Applications via SIP MESSAGE

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-054
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that standard OMA enablers can be served via SIP Push using a SIP MESSAGE.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-008 OTA-SIP-S-008
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, various standard OMA enabler clients as supported by the device (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Per the requirements of the specific standard OMA enabler for which support is being verified.
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-049
Test Procedure	(1) The application server (as PI) for the specific standard OMA enabler being validated issues a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, either selecting connectioness service or in a context in which the PPG will select connectioness service (e.g. limited content size). (2) The Push message is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-049, using a SIP MESSAGE. (3) The target OMA enabler receives the Push content and successfully processes it.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and delivers the Push message to the correct application.

6.7.8 Support for Standard Applications via MSRP

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-055
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that standard OMA enablers can be served via a SIP Push using MRSP.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.3
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-008 OTA-SIP-S-008
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, various standard OMA enabler clients as supported by the device (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Per the requirements of the specific standard OMA enabler for which support is being verified.
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-050
Test Procedure	(1) The application server (as PI) for the specific standard OMA enabler being validated issues a PAP Push Message request to the PPG, either selecting connection-oriented service or in a context in which the PPG will select connectioness service (e.g. large content size). (2) The Push message is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-050, using SIP INVITE + MSRP. (3) The target OMA enabler receives the Push content and successfully processes it.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and delivers the Push message to the correct application.

6.7.9 Content Indirection in SIP MESSAGE

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-056
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that content can be delivered via SIP Push using SIP MESSAGE with content indirection.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.4.2
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-010 OTA-SIP-S-010
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser or OMA standard enabler client (as Client Application)

Test Code/Files	Service Indication or other content type/application for which the PPG will use content indirection.
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-049
Test Procedure	(1) The PI or application server (as PI) issues a PAP Push Message request which the PPG will deliver via connectioness service with content indirection. (2) The Push message is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-049, using a SIP MESSAGE, with the content of the Push message indirectly referenced instead of being embedded. (3) The Push Client retrieves the content from the indicated address, and delivers it to the target client application. (3) The target client application receives the Push content and successfully processes it.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and delivers the Push content to the correct application.

6.7.10 Content Indirection in MSRP

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-057
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that content can be delivered via SIP Push using MSRP with content indirection.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 8.4.2
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-010 OTA-SIP-S-010
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser or OMA standard enabler client (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication or other content type/application for which the PPG will use c ontent indirection.
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-050
Test Procedure	(1) The PI or application server (as PI) issues a PAP Push Message request which the PPG will deliver via connectioness service with content indirection. (2) The Push message is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-050, using SIP INVITE + MSRP, with the content of the Push message indirectly referenced instead of being embedded. (3) The Push Client retrieves the content from the indicated address, and delivers it to the target client application. (3) The target client application receives the Push content and successfully processes it.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully receives and delivers the Push content to the correct application.

6.7.11 OTA-SIP Session Intiation

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-058
Test Object	Server/Client
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that an OTA-SIP session can be initiated by SIR.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 9.2.2, 9.2.3, 11
SCR Reference	OTA-SIP-C-011 OTA-SIP-S-011
Tools	Push Client, PPG, Push Initiator, Browser (as Client Application)
Test Code/Files	Service Indication
Preconditions	Push-2.2-int-049
Test Procedure	(1) The PI or application server (as PI) issues a PAP Push Message request (Service Indication) targeted at a client which is not currently SIP registered. (2) The PPG issues a SIR to the target client via OTA-WSP/SMS. (3) The client registers with the PPG as in Push-2.2-int-048. (4) The Push message is delivered to the Push Client as in Push-2.2-int-049. (5) The Push Client delivers the Service Indication to the Browser.
Pass-Criteria	The Push Client successfully establishes a OTA-SIP session upon the SIR.

6.8 Push Message

6.8.1 Content-Type header

Test Case ID	Push-OTA-2.2-int-1
Test Object	Client device
Test Description and Purpose	Verify that the Client handles push messages with different content type headers in a proper way.
Specification Reference	[PushMsg] Section 5.2.1.10 [PushMsg] Section 5.2.1 [PushMsg] Section 5.2.3 [PushMsg] Section 5.3 [PushMsg] Section 6 [PushSI] Section 7 [PushSI] Section 8

	[PushSL] Section 8 [PushSL] Section 9
SCR Reference	MSG-GEN-C-002 MSG-GEN-C-001 MSG-GEN-C-003 MSG-GEN-C-004 MSG-GEN-C-005, MSG-GEN-C-006 and MSG-GEN-C-007 MSG-GEN-S-002 MSG-GEN-S-001 MSG-GEN-S-003 MSG-GEN-S-004 MSG-GEN-S-005 (Req: MSG-GEN-C-006) MSG-GEN.S-007 MSG-GEN-S-008 SI-CF-C-001 SI-CF-C004 SL-CF-C-001 SL-CF-C-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval. Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. Any type of message is applicable here i.e. either Service Loading or Service Indication and when the Client is both on standby as well as during an ongoing WAP or HTTP connection It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG.
Test Procedure	Send push messages to the Client.
Pass-Criteria	The Client should be able to process messages with trusted header types and be able to discard messages with untrusted header types.

6.8.2 Support for 4 Concatenated SMS's

Test Case ID	
Test Object	Server/client device

Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the Server can support concatenating 4 segmented SMS messages for a large payload.
Specification Reference	[PushOTA] Section 6.2 [PushOTA] Section 6.2.1.1
SCR Reference	WDP-CDMA_C-001 OR WDP-GSM-C-001 WDP-CDMA_S-001 OR WDP-GSM-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Client Push inbox and cache content are empty. Push access user settings are set to allow Push with automatic retrieval Current date / time are set on the Client. The right PPG IP address is set in the Clients currently active WAP Profile. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the Client and the PPG. Recommended to use UDP logs.
Test Procedure	The PI will send an large Un Confirmed Push PAP message to the PPG The PPG Server will then segment the SI or SL Push messages to the client via 4 SMS messages to transmit the large payload. The Client will then process the Push message by concatenating the push messages.
Pass-Criteria	The client will be concatenating the messages to formulate the completed message payload. The client will also validate the push message source address against the Whitelist. If successful the push message will be processed otherwise it will be ignored. If no whitelist is defined then by default the Push will be accepted.

7. PAP Test Cases

There are 24 interoperability test cases for WAP 2.1 Push Enabler.

7.1 Validation of XML Push Initiator

7.1.1 Validate XML in control Entity in Push Submission

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-1
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML control entity in the Push submission by the initiator to the PPG.
Specification Reference	[OMA-WAP-TS-PAP] Section 5.1
SCR Reference	PAP-VAL-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and receiptant Device MSISDN are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with an Error Code defined in Appendix A depending upon the error condition during validation of the data contained in the Message. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission.

7.1.2 Validation of content Entity

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-2
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML content entity in the Push submission by the initiator to the PPG.
Specification Reference	[OMA-WAP-TS-PAP] Section 5.1
SCR Reference	PAP-VAL-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and receiptant Device MSISDN are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with an Error Code defined in Appendix A depending upon the error condition during validation of the data contained in the Message. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device.
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission.

7.1.3 Validation of Addresses

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-3
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Receiptant Address is submitted by the initiator to the PPG.
Specification Reference	[OMA-WAP-TS-PAP] Section 6.1
SCR Reference	PAP-VAL-S-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN's or addresses are pre configured on the PPG. There are three types of addresses 1. The push proxy gateway Address, 2. The wireless Device address. 3. Result notification address The Wireless Device address is the considered entity here and can have many formats i.e. an IP address or MSISDN / Subscriber number. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with the message type (**push-message)** and send it to multiple receiptants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Push Notification Response (***push-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (***statusquery-message***) can be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices. The receiptant's address has to exist otherwise the message will not be sent as the user is unknown.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission.

7.2 Operations

7.2.1 Push Submission

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-4

Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Receiptant Address is added in the Push submission by the initiator to the PPG.
Specification Reference	[OMA-WAP-TS-PAP] Section 6.1
SCR Reference	PAP-OPS-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. When the PPG returns the Push-Response message after a push submission to multiple recipients, the response corresponds to the message submission and not the number of receiptants so only one response per message submission. Result notifications are returned by the PPG per receiptant if the result notification is requested the PI during the submission of a message. If a cancel message is submitted the PPG may send back individual responses related to each receiptant's message or a response status for all the messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message or Messages sent to one or more receipt ants on the Push Initiator and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a message response depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with the message type (**push-message)** and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Push Notification Response (***push-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (statusquery-***message***) should be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery or it will be delivered immediately to the device.

7.2.2 Result Notification Response

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-5
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Result Notification response is sent to the Initiator. This will communicate the final outcome of the push message; it may also contain the content entity from the wireless device.
Specification Reference	[OMA-WAP-TS-PAP] Section 6.1
SCR Reference	PAP-OPS-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response (depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. The PI should understand the message response and upon its message type make a pass or error condition The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery if successful.

7.2.3 Push Cancellation

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-6
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push Cancellation command can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 5.3

SCR Reference	PAP-OPS-S-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response (***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Cancellation command (***cancel-message***) should be sent to the PPG with the Push ID or PushID plus message ID This should be sent after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to cancel the pending push. The PPG will respond with a Cancel Response(***cancel-response***) status The PPG will then handle cancellation of the message if the message hasn't already been sent.
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery if successful. The PPG responds to a cancellation command (***cancel-message***) submitted by the initiator with the (***cancel-response)*** Message. If the PPG does not support the cancellation functionality when a cancellation command (***cancel-message***)is submitted by the initiator the (***cancel-response) NOT Implemented*** MUST be returned to Initiator.

7.2.4 Status Query

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-7
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push Status command can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 5.4
SCR Reference	PAP-OPS-S-004
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>

Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (***statusquery-message***) should be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successful delivery and cancellation of the message
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery if successful. If the PPG does not support the status functionality when a status command is submitted by the initiator, then the response NOT ***Implemented*** MUST be returned to Initiator. The PPG will then handle the successful delivery and command validation

7.2.5 Client Capabilities Query

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-8
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push Capabilities Query command can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 7.3
SCR Reference	PAP-OPS-S-005
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG.

	It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Client Capabilities Query command (***ccq-message***) should be sent to the PPG . The PPG will then handle the successful delivery and query of the message
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery if successful. If the PPG does support the client capabilities functionality when a (***ccq-mesaage)*** command is submitted by the initiator, then the (***ccq response***) XML doc is returned to Initiator containing the PPG and the Devices capabilities as defined from the UAProfile. If the PPG does NOT support the client capabilities functionality when a (***ccq-mesaage)*** command is submitted by the initiator, then the (***ccq response***) XML doc is returned to Initiator containing the status " NOT IMPLEMENTED"

7.3 Push Semantics

7.3.1 Support for multiple recipient addresses

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-9
Test Object:	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing multiple receipt ants and the PPG respond to the command appropriately.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 6.1

SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. Note Multiple recipients is an Optional feature of PPG It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	
Pass-Criteria	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with the message type (**push-message)** and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Push Notification Response(***push-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (***statusquery-message***) can be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices.

7.3.2 Support for multiple addresses in responses

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-10
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing multiple receipt ants and the PPG respond to the command appropriately.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 6.1
SCR Requirement:	PAP-SEM-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. Note Multiple recipients is an Optional feature of PPG It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedures	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status Query command (***statusquery-message***) can be sent to the PPG . This will result in a separate status response to be returned to the Initiator for each receiptant on the multiple message submission If a query is needed for each receiptant message per submission then a result notification is requested during submission The PPG will then handle the successful delivery and query of the message
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery if successful. If the PPG does support the status query functionality when a (***statusquery-message)*** command is submitted by the initiator, then the (***statusquery response***) XML doc is returned to Initiator containing the status of each receipt ants message status. If the PPG does not support the status functionality when a status command is submitted by the initiator, then the ccq response ***NOT Implemented*** MUST be returned to the Initiator. The PPG will then handle the successful delivery and command validation

7.3.3 Deliver after Time stamp

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-11
Test Object	PPG/Initiator.
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***deliver-after timestamp*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Prerequisites:	The attribute Deliver after timestamp specifies the time and date by which the content must be delivered to the wireless device. Content that has aged beyond this date must be transmitted. The date format must be in Co-ordinated Universal Time (UTC) The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support this function
Test procedure:	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various Deliver after date and time stamps to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Create a message with a Deliver after date time stamp greater and less than present time The PPG will then handle the successful delivery as the time stamp specifies
Pass criteria:	Ensure that the message that has a timestamp Less than present time is ignored and fails to deliver as the time has expired. The greater time stamp should deliver correctly after the expiry time has an elapsed in respect to current date and time as specified in the message attribute.

7.3.4 Deliver Before Time stamp

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-12
Test object	PPG/Initiator.
Test case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***deliver-before timestamp*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-004
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute Deliver before timestamp specifies the time and date by which the content must be delivered to the wireless device. Content that has aged beyond this date must be transmitted. The date format must be in Co-ordinated Universal Time (UTC) The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support this function
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various Deliver before date and time stamps to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Create a message with a Deliver before date time stamp greater and less than present time The PPG will then handle the successful delivery as the time stamp specifies
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the message that has a timestamp Less than present time is accepted and successfully delivers as this time has expired. The greater time stamp should deliver correctly before the expiry time is reached as specified in the message attribute.

7.3.5 Failed Requests when QOS cannot be honoured

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-13
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***quality-of-service*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.2.2
SCR Requirement:	PAP-SEM-S-005
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***Quality-of-Service*** specifies the quality of Service by which the content must be delivered to the wireless device The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various QOS types' elements to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Create a message with a QOS type that is not supported or a mis-spelling of the types The PPG will then handle the successful delivery if the QOS is accepted. If the QOS is rejected then an error code is returned as specified in the Appendix A Error Status Codes Reference of Types: Priority Delivery Method Network Bearer
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct Error Status code as defined in Appendix A is used in the response to incorrect or unsupported QOS.

7.3.6 Delivery method in QOS

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-14
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***deliver-method*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.2.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***Quality-of-Service*** specifies the quality of Service by which the content must be delivered to the wireless device The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various Delivery Methods Confirmed, Prefer confirmed, Confirmed-with-response, One-shot, Unconfirmed, Not specified. The PPG will use this Delivery method to decide the over the air delivery method desired by the Push initiator The PPG will then handle the successful delivery if the QOS is accepted. If the QOS is rejected then an error code is returned as specified in the Appendix A Error Status Codes
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct Error Status code as defined in Appendix A is used in the response to incorrect or unsupported QOS.

7.3.7 Priority delivery

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-15
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***priority*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.2.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-007
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***priority*** specifies the delivery priority of the message. Valid values are " LOW MEDIUM HIGH" by which the order of the message must be delivered to the wireless device The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various priority types to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Create a message to the same receiptant with a LOW MEDIUM HIGH priority The PPG will then handle the successful delivery if the priority is accepted. If the priority is rejected then an error code is returned as specified in the Appendix A Error Status Codes
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct priority order of the message delivered to the device in the order HIGH MEDIUM and LOW.

7.3.8 Report Progress notes

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-16
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***progress-note*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.3.1
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-008
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***progress-note*** specifies the progress of the message within the PPG. There should be one progress note per stage of the process reported. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with a status request to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response (***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. The PPG will then respond with a progress-note containing the following status details of the message. 1. The stage contains the text or code that indicates the stage of processing completed. 2. The Note contains textual description of the outcome of the stage completed 3. The Date/time stamp defines the stage completed. The PPG will then handle the successful delivery if the Progress report is accepted. If the Progress Report is rejected or Not supported then an error code is returned as specified in the Appendix A Error Status Codes
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct status is returned for the various states of the message delivery.

7.3.9 Support capabilities entity in push message

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-17
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***ccq-message*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately Ensure that the Push capabilities are defined in the Push message
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.10
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-009
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***ccq-message*** requests the PPG to respond to the client capabilities for a specific device. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with a ccq-message request to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response (***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. The PPG will then respond with a ***ccq-response*** containing the device capabilities of the requested device The query-ID can be used by the Push Initiator to associate with the related ccq-message... Compose a Push message that has differing Push capabilities from those previously defined by including them in the Push message itself The PPG will then handle the successful delivery of the request. If an error occurs then an error code is returned as specified in the Appendix A Error Status Codes
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct client capabilities are returned upon the requested device...

7.3.10 Return Status Code 3002

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-18
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately

Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.12
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-010
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	PI that supports a PAP version after 1.0 should include the wap-pap-ver instruction in the submitted messages. Therefore allows the PPG to determine the versions the PI supports. If there is a mismatch then the PPG must report the various PAP versions the PPG supports. All PPG's must support PAP 1.0 The response ***badmessage-response*** sent from the PPG upon an invalid formatted message or that the protocol version is not supported by the PPG. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code If the message is unrecognisable then the Status code 2000 (Bad Request) is used A fragment of the malformed message should be included in the bad message fragment attribute. If the message is of a different version then the Status code 3002 (Version not supported) is used
Test Procedure	Submit a message that has a malformed message – Which will result in Error code 2000 has incorrect version – Which will result in the error code 3002
Pass-Criteria	If both Reponses are matching the correct Error codes as specified

7.3.11 Detect the PAP version of a received message

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-19
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately. Detect the PAP version of the Initiator.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.12
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-011
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PAP version number is placed in the public identifier [XML] of the DTD and will be changed with each revision of the version number. The filename of the DTD should also be changed so that it is easily identified with the public identifier The PI will send a ***wap-pap-ver*** parameter which contains a ***supported versions*** parameter. The PPG will then accept by default version 1.0 of PAP protocol if no other versions are announced by the PI. A PPG must include the ***wap-pap-ver*** processing instruction if the PI can support PAP versions above 1.0 otherwise it MUST NOT be included. If the PPG does not support the PAP version used by the PI but a common version can be agreed the PPG must send a Status code 3002 (Version Unsupported) together with a bad message-response
Test Procedure	Submit a message that has support for Multiple PAP Versions – Which will result in success not failure
Pass-Criteria	If both Reponses are matching the correct Acknowledgment No Error codes as specified

7.3.12 Must send Versions supported processing instruction of PI > ver 1.0

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-20
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately. Detect the PAP version of the Initiator.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 9.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-012
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PAP version number is placed in the public identifier [XML] of the DTD and will be changed with each revision of the version number. The filename of the DTD should also be changed so that it is easily identified with the public identifier The PI will send a ***wap-pap-ver*** parameter which contains a ***supported versions*** parameter. The PPG will then accept by default version 1.0 of PAP protocol if no other versions are announced by the PI. A PPG must include the ***wap-pap-ver*** processing instruction if the PI can support PAP versions above 1.0 otherwise it MUST NOT be included. If the PPG does not support the PAP version used by the PI but a common version can be agreed the PPG must send a Status code 3002 (Version Unsupported) together with a bad message-response
Test Procedure	Submit a message that has support for Multiple PAP Versions – Which will result in success not failure
Pass-Criteria	If both Reponses are matching the correct Acknowledgment No Error codes as specified

7.3.13 Report Supported versions

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-21
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately. Detect the PAP version of the Initiator.

Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 9.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-013
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PAP version number is placed in the public identifier [XML] of the DTD and will be changed with each revision of the version number. The filename of the DTD should also be changed so that it is easily identified with the public identifier The PI will send a ***wap-pap-ver*** parameter which contains a ***supported versions*** parameter. The PPG will then accept by default version 1.0 of PAP protocol if no other versions are announced by the PI. A PPG must include the ***wap-pap-ver*** processing instruction if the PI can support PAP versions above 1.0 otherwise it MUST NOT be included. If the PPG does not support the PAP version used by the PI but a common version can be agreed the PPG must send a Status code 3002 (Version Unsupported) together with a bad message-response If a message has been sent by the PI that has been accepted by the PPG then the further message submitted must also sent with this version.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that has support for Multiple PAP Versions – Which will result in success not failure
Pass-Criteria	If both Reponses are matching the correct Acknowledgment No Error codes as specified

7.3.14 Support sending Version 1.0

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-22
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately. Detect the PAP version of the Initiator.
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 9.3
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-014
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE

Preconditions	The PAP version number is placed in the public identifier [XML] of the DTD and will be changed with each revision of the version number. The filename of the DTD should also be changed so that it is easily identified with the public identifier The PI will send a ***wap-pap-ver*** parameter which contains a ***supported versions*** parameter. The PPG will then accept by default version 1.0 of PAP protocol if no other versions are announced by the PI. A PPG must include the ***wap-pap-ver*** processing instruction if the PI can support PAP versions above 1.0 otherwise it MUST NOT be included. If the PPG does not support the PAP version used by the PI but a common version can be agreed the PPG must send a Status code 3002 (***bad message -response*** Version Unsupported) together with a bad message-response If a message has been sent by the PI that has been accepted by the PPG then the further message submitted must also sent with this version.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that has support for PAP Version 1.0 – Which will result in success not failure
Pass-Criteria	If both Reponses are matching the correct Acknowledgment with No Error codes resulting.

7.3.15 Version Consistency

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-23
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately. Check the PAP version of the Initiator. is consistent in its submissions
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 9.5
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-015
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PAP version number is placed in the public identifier [XML] of the DTD and will be changed with each revision of the version number. The filename of the DTD should also be changed so that it is easily identified with the public identifier The PI will send a ***wap-pap-ver*** parameter which contains a ***supported versions*** parameter. The PPG will then accept by default version 1.0 of PAP protocol if no other versions are announced by the PI. A PPG must include the ***wap-pap-ver*** processing instruction if the PI can support PAP versions above 1.0 otherwise it MUST NOT be included.

	If the PPG does not support the PAP version used by the PI but a common version can be agreed the PPG must send a Status code 3002 (***bad message -response*** Version Unsupported) together with a bad message-response The PPG must send a message with the same version as previously submitted by the PI
Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI then resend the same message but with a different PI PAP version and an error should occur due to Inconsistency
Pass-Criteria	If both Reponses are matching the correct Acknowledgment with No Error codes resulting

7.3.16 Push Message Replacement

Test Case ID	Push-PAP-2.2-int-24
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the **replace-push-id** attribute
Specification Reference	[Push WAP247-PAP] Section 8.2
SCR Reference	PAP-SEM-S-016
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The presence of this **replace-push-id** parameter indicates to the PPG that the PI is requesting this Push message is replacing a previously submitted still pending push message id equal to **replace-push-id** in this push message The absence of the **replace-push-id** attribute indicates that this push message MUST NOT replace any previously submitted push message i.e. it is a new submitted message.
Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI with a **Replace-Push-ID** matching the push ID of a previously sent message. Then the PPG will replace the content of the previous message with new content that is contained in this new message.
Pass-Criteria	The message received by the device is the latest message with the new content and not the old message content.

8. PPG Test Cases

There are 32 interoperability test cases for WAP 2.1 Push Proxy gateway Enabler.

8.1 Validation of Push Predicates

8.1.1 Validate confirmed Push is supported in the PPG

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-1
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that confirmed Push is supported by the PPG.
Specification Reference	[OMA-WAP-TS-PPGService] Section 5.1 (OTA-CO-S-002 OR OTA-CO-S-003) AND PPG-GEN-S-013
SCR Reference	PPG-CO-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and receiptant Device MSISDN are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Confirmed push Message on the Push Initiator and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with an Error Code defined in Appendix A depending upon the error condition during validation of the data contained in the Message The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission.

8.2 Validation of Operations

8.2.1 Validation of Push Submission Rejection

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-2
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that an invalid pap push element is contained in the Push submission with respect to its Document Type Definition (DTD) by the initiator can be rejected by the PPG.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and receiptant Device MSISDN are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with an invalid pap push element and send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a “Rejection” upon the invalidation of the data contained in the Message.
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Rejection message from the PPG and an error will given to the user. No Push will be sent OTA.

8.2.2 Validation of Transformed Messages

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-3
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the PPG can transform the Push message entity in preparation for the Over the air delivery
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN's or addresses are pre configured on the PPG. There are three types of addresses 1. The push proxy gateway Address, 2. The wireless Device address. 3. Result notification address The Wireless Device address is the considered entity here and can have many formats i.e. an IP address or MSISDN / Subscriber number. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with the message type (**push-message)** and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Push Notification Response (***push-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (statusquery-***message***) can be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices. The receiptant's address has to exist otherwise the message will not be sent as the user is unknown. If the content being sent is not suitable for the Device's capabilities then Message Handling or Transformation can occur to format the content to be acceptable by the device.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission The content delivered to the device has been transformed by the PPG as the Device cold not support the original message format...

8.2.3 Validation of No Transform cache Control Directive

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-4
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the PPG will NOT transform the Push message entity in preparation for the Over the air delivery as it has a NO transform cache control directive.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. A PPG MUST NOT transform the body of any entity, which falls under the scope of a No-Transform cache control directive as defined in [RFC2616 Result notifications are returned by the PPG per receiptant if the result notification is requested the PI during the submission of a message. If a cancel message is submitted the PPG may send back individual responses related to each receiptant's message or a response status for all the messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with the message type (**push-message)** and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Push Notification Response (***push-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (statusquery-***message***) should be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices.
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the ACKnowledgement from the PPG on a successful message submission The content delivered to the device has NOT been transformed by the PPG as defined by the NO transform cache control.

8.2.4 Validation of revising headers of transformed entities

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-5
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the headers of all transformed entities must be revised as needed to correctly represent the transformed entity.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-004
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. A PPG MUST transform the body of any entity, which falls under the scope of a Transform control directive as defined in [RFC2616 Result notifications are returned by the PPG per receiptant if the result notification is requested the PI during the submission of a message. If a cancel message is submitted the PPG may send back individual responses related to each receiptant's message or a response status for all the messages It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with the message type (**push-message)** and send it to multiple receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Push Notification Response (***push-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. Once a message has been submitted then a Status command (***statusquery-message***) should be sent to the PPG after the initial submission so allowing the PPG time to respond to query on each of the multiple pending pushes. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices. The message headers are revised if the data has been transformed
Pass-Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message when successful will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery

8.2.5 X-Wap-Application-ID header

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-6
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push Message containing the X-Wap-Application-Id header can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-005
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with a correct and an Incorrect Application ID then send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will then respond with a Result Notification Response (***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. If the header contains a [PushMsg] `absolute URI` format Application-ID for which an `app-assigned-code` has been registered with [OMNA], then the PPG MUST remove any [PushMsg] `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID (if present) from the header and then substitute this with the registered a`pp-assigned-code` format Application-ID for the `absolute URI` format Application-ID. If the header contains a [PushMsg] `absolute URI` format Application-ID for which no `app-assigned-code` has been registered with [OMNA], the PPG MUST uses this value unless a [PushMsg] `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID is present. In this case (if the `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID is present), the `absolute URI` format Application-ID must be removed. If no [PushMsg] `X-Wap-Application-Id` header is present in the push message, the PPG MUST, unless the client's default Application-ID is the WML user agent, add this header. If added, the Application-ID MUST is that of the WML user agent.
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message when successful will then be

	placed on the Push Queue for Delivery

8.2.6 X-Wap-Application-Id in numeric encoded format

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-7
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push Message containing the X-Wap-Application-Id header can be sent to the PPG and the PPG respond to the command appropriately and send the Numeric encoding format over the air to the device.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-006
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator with a correct and an Incorrect Application ID then send it to the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will then respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. If the header contains a [PushMsg] `absolute URI` format Application-ID for which an `app-assigned-code` has been registered with [OMNA], then the PPG MUST remove any [PushMsg] `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID (if present) from the header and then substitute this with the registered `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID for the `absolute URI` format Application-ID. If the header contains a [PushMsg] `absolute URI` format Application-ID for which no `app-assigned-code` has been registered with [OMNA], the PPG MUST uses this value unless a [PushMsg] `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID is present. In this case (if the `app-assigned-code` format Application-ID is present), the `absolute URI` format Application-ID must be removed. If no [PushMsg] `X-Wap-Application-Id` header is present in the push message, the PPG MUST, unless the client's default Application-ID is the WML user agent, add this header. If added, the Application-ID MUST is that of the

	WML user agent.
Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the Notification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message when successful will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery The format of the X-Wap_Application_ID will be sent over the air by referencing the OMNA registered Numeric Encoded Value for the specific URI

8.2.7 Reportable message states

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-8
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a error message response and is sent when an invalid XML Push command is sent to the PPG and no message delivery is attempted
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.3
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-007
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to one or more receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a message state depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. FAILURE The ***PAP-resultnotification*** can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***undeliverable***" the Error ***code*** can convey a reason for failure "***transformation-failure***" If the message cannot be transformed properly. SUCCESS If the message is successful then the ***PAP-resultnotification*** can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***pending***" there is no Error ***code*** when the message is successful.

Pass -Criteria	The Initiator will accept the PAP_resultnotification Response from the PPG on a successful or un-successful message submission. The message will then be placed on the Push Queue for Delivery if successful.

8.2.8 Bearer Network Selection (QOS)

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-9
Test Object:	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG requiring a specific Quality of Service i.e. a defined Bearer and/or network to deliver the message.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-008
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. Note Multiple recipients is an Optional feature of PPG It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to one or more receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The message will define various Bearers and/or Networks i.e. bearer:-GPRS,SMS Network:-GSM, IDEN,PDC The PPG will respond with a message state depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. FAILURE The ***PAP-resultnotification*** can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***undeliverable***" the Error ***code*** can convey a reason for failure "***An appropriate, implementation-dependant value***" The ***event-time*** contains "**Specific Time or estimated time of failure**" If the message cannot be delivered in the specific bearer and/or network.
Pass-Criteria	If the message is successful then the ***PAP-resultnotification*** can contain the following ***Message-state*** value ***"pending"***

	The message method of delivery is as defined by the original push message and will be successfully delivered as defined by the network and bearer values. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices.

8.2.9 Reporting Session/registration Errors

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-10
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG requiring a specific Quality of Service i.e. a defined Bearer and/or network to deliver the message
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.3
SCR Requirement:	PPG-GEN-S-009
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Push Queue in PPG is empty Push Initiator has been configured to the appropriate PPG server IP etc. The Push content types and the receiptant Device MSISDN/s or address/es are pre configured on the PPG. Note Multiple recipients is an Optional feature of PPG It is highly recommended to have a protocol analyzer to monitor traffic between the PPG and the Initiator.
Test Procedures	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it to one or more receipt ants on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The message will define various Bearers and/or Networks i.e. bearer:-GPRS,SMS Network:-GSM, IDEN,PDC The PPG will respond with a message state depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. In this case to verify the failure message response chooses a network/bearer that is not supported by the PPG.
Pass-Criteria	If the message cannot be delivered in the specific bearer and/or network. It results in a ***PAP-resultnotification*** which can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***undeliverable***" the Error ***code*** can convey a reason for failure "***An appropriate, implementation-dependant value***" The ***event-time*** contains "**Specific Time or estimated time of failure**" The message method of delivery is as defined by the original push message and will be successfully delivered as defined by the network and bearer values. The PPG will then handle the successfully delivery of the message to the receiptant Device or Devices.

8.2.10 Delivery Time Constraints

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-11
Test Object	PPG/Initiator.
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***deliver-after timestamp*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.4
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-010
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Prerequisites:	The attribute Deliver after timestamp specifies the time and date by which the content must be delivered to the wireless device. Content that has aged prior to this date must NOT be transmitted. The date format must be in Co-ordinated Universal Time (UTC) The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support this function
Test procedure:	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various Deliver after date and time stamps to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. If the message cannot be delivered in the specific time It results in a ***PAP-resultnotification*** which can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***expired***" the Error ***code*** can convey a reason for failure "***An appropriate, implementation-dependant value***" The ***event-time*** contains "**Specific Time or estimated time of failure**" Create a message with a Deliver after date time stamp greater and less than present time The PPG will then handle the successful delivery as the time stamp specifies If the message is successful then the ***PAP-resultnotification*** can contain the following ***Message-state*** value ***"pending"***
Pass criteria:	Ensure that the message that has a timestamp Less than present time is ignored and fails to deliver as the time has expired. The greater time stamp should deliver correctly after the expiry time has an elapsed in respect to current date and time as specified in the message attribute.

8.2.11 Delivery Method

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-12
Test object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid Confirmed / Unconfirmed OTA delivery method of the Push message is established by the PPG to the Device as defined by the submitted message.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.5
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-011
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The delivery method attribute defines if the message is sent via a Confirmed or Unconfirmed Delivery. The bearer can be either WSP or HTTP. If the OTA-HTTP is used and the PI indicates it accepts content from the client in the response to a confirmed push then the ***X-WAP-Push-Info*** header must contain the ***response*** attribute token
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various Delivery methods i.e. Confirmed, Prefer confirmed, Confirmed-with-response, One-shot, Unconfirmed, Not specified via different bearers. The PPG will respond to the PI on the confirmation of delivery to the device in the case of Confirmed Push (PO-Confirmed Push)
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the message is delivered properly to the device and that the PI has had confirmation in the case of confirmed Push.

8.2.12 Reported Unconfirmed Status

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-13
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***delivery method*** value set to UN Confirmed and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.5.1
SCR Requirement:	PPG-GEN-S-012
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>

Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The delivery method attribute defines if the message is sent via a Un Confirmed Delivery. The bearer can be either WSP or HTTP. If the OTA-HTTP is used and the PI indicates it accepts content from the client in the response to a un confirmed push then the ***X-WAP-Push-Info*** header must contain the ***response*** attribute token The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with Unconfirmed delivery type to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. . If the message is delivered in the specific time It results in a ***PAP-resultnotification*** which can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***delivered***" the **Delivery-method** conveys the method of delivery "***Unconfirmed***" The ***event-time*** contains "**Specific Time or estimated time of message delivery**
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct Error Status code as defined in Appendix A is used in the response to incorrect or unsupported QOS.

8.2.13 Reported Confirmed Status

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-14
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***delivery method*** value set to Confirmed and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.5.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-013
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The delivery method attribute defines if the message is sent via a Confirmed Delivery. The bearer can be either WSP or HTTP. If the OTA-HTTP is used and the PI indicates it accepts content from the client in the response to a confirmed push then the ***X-WAP-Push-Info*** header must contain the ***response*** attribute token The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with Confirmed delivery type to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. If the message is delivered in the specific time It results in a ***PAP-resultnotification*** which can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***delivered***" the **Delivery-method** conveys the method of delivery "***confirmed***" The ***event-time*** contains "**Specific Time or estimated time of message delivery**
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct Error Status code as defined in Appendix A is used in the response to incorrect or unsupported QOS.

8.2.14 Result notification Message

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-15
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***resultnotification-message*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-014
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***resultnotification-message*** specifies the delivery status of the message. A result notification will be sent as soon as practical after the completion (successful or unsuccessful) of the Over the Air message delivery process The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with the attribute ***resultnotification-message*** to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response (***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message.
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct delivery status is returned upon the requested receipt ant

8.2.15 Pap Status Query

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-16
Test Object	PPG/Initiator**.**
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***statusquery-message*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.3
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-015
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***statusquery-message*** specifies the progress of the message within the PPG. There should be one progress note per stage of the process reported. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with a status request to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message. The PPG will then reposnd with a progress-note containing the following status details of the message. 1. The stage contains the text or code that indicates the stage of processing completed. 2. The Note contains textual description of the outcome of the stage completed 3. The Date/time stamp defines the stage completed. The PPG will then handle the successful delivery if the Progress report is accepted. If the Progress Report is rejected or Not supported then an error code is returned as specified in the Appendix A Error Status Codes
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct status is returned for the various states of the message delivery.

8.2.16 Delivery Cancellation

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-17
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***cancel-message*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.4
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-016
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute ***cancel-message*** requests the PPG to cancel the message delivery which it can perform when the message is within a state that can be cancelled. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with a ***cancel-message*** request to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(***resultnotification-response***) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the In the case of Success If the message cannot be delivered in the specific time It results in a ***PAP-resultnotification*** which can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***cancelled***" the Error ***code*** can convey a reason for failure "***An appropriate, implementation-dependant value***" The ***event-time*** conatins "**Specific Time or estimated time of failure**
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct response is given upon the message cancellation. Also the message delivery does not occur on the device.

8.2.17 Handling Message cancellation

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-18
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***cancel-message*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.4

SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-017
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The attribute cancel-message requests the PPG to cancel the message delivery which it can perform when the message is within a state that can be cancelled. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with a cancel-message request to a receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(resultnotification-response) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the Message it will be successfully or a failure. If the PPG cannot assure cancellation of the message delivery it MUST reject the delivery cancellation If the message cannot be cancelled in the specific time It results in a PAP-resultnotification which can contain the following Message-state value "cancellation not possible" the Error code 2008 can convey a reason for failure "The Push Id specified was found butcancellation is not possible" The event-time conatins "Specific Time or estimated time of failure
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the cancellation not possible response is given upon the rejected message cancellation. Also the message delivery does not occur on the device.

8.2.18 Validation of WSP specific transformation

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-19
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG encode the content in an implementation dependant manner suitable for delivery via WSP.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-018
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PPG must support binary header encoding. It must also encode content entities into their compact binary format[WBXML] for transmission OTA-WSP unless it is known in advance that the receiptant device does not support the encoded type.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that will then be transformed into binary header encoding ready for transmission over OTA-WSP . Unless it is known in advance that the device does not support the encoded format
Pass-Criteria	The Device can receive the Push message successful in the encoded format.

8.2.19 Validation of HTTP specific transformation

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-20
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG encode the content in an implementation dependant manner suitable for delivery via HTTP
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-019
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tool	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PPG must support binary header encoding. It must also encode content entities into their compact binary format[WBXML] or Deflate format for transmission OTA-HTTP unless it is known in advance that the receiptant device does not support the encoded type.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that will then be transformed into binary header encoding ready for transmission over OTA-HTTP . Unless it is known in advance that the device does not support the encoded format
Pass-Criteria	The Device can receive the Push message successful in either the WBXML or the Deflate encoded format.

8.2.20 Validation for Push message Replacement

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-21
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG containing the ***replace-message*** attribute and the PPG respond to the command appropriately
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-020
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE

Preconditions	The attribute replace-message requests the PPG to replace a previously posted message with a new one before it is delivered. The PPG can only perform this replacement when the message is within a state that can be processed ie it is in the Queue. The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code
Test Procedure	Compose two Messages on the Push Initiator and send one of the messages with a replace-message request together with the previously submitted message ID of the other receiptant on the PPG via a HTTP connection. The PPG will respond with a Result Notification Response(resultnotification-response) depending upon the validation of the data contained in the In the case of Success If the message cannot be delivered in the specific time It results in a PAP-resultnotification which can contain the following Message-state value "replaced" the Error code can convey a reason for failure "An appropriate, implementation-dependant value" The event-time conatins "Specific Time or estimated time of failure
Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the correct response is given upon the message replacement. Also the message delivery occurs with the newer replacement message on the device.

8.2.21 Validation of binary header encoding

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-22
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG encode the headers in an implementation dependant manner suitable for delivery via WSP.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-021
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PPG must support binary header encoding. It must encode the headers into their binary format for transmission viaOTA-WSP/HTTP unless it is known in advance that the receiptant device does not support the encoded type.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that will then be transformed into binary header encoding ready for transmission over OTA-WSP . Unless it is known in advance that the device does not support the encoded format

Pass-Criteria	The Device can receive the Push message successfully in the encoded format.

8.2.22 Validation of content encoding using WBXML

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-23
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG encode the content in an implementation dependant manner suitable for delivery via WSP/HTTP.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-022
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PPG must support binary header encoding. It must encode the content into their compact binary format [WBXML]for transmission via OTA-WSP/HTTP unless it is known in advance that the receiptant device does not support the encoded type.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that will then have the content transformed into compact binary format ready for transmission over OTA-WSP/HTTP . Unless it is known in advance that the device does not support the encoded format
Pass-Criteria	The Device can receive the Push message successfully in the encoded format.

8.2.23 Validation of content encoding using deflate

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-24
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG encode the content in an implementation dependant manner suitable for delivery via HTTP.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.1.1.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-023
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>

Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The PPG must support deflate encoding. It must encode the content into their compact binary format [WBXML] or Deflatefor transmission via OTA-WSP/HTTP unless it is known in advance that the receiptant device does not support the encoded type.
Test Procedure	Submit a message that will then have the content transformed into compact binary format or Deflate ready for transmission over OTA-WSP/HTTP . Unless it is known in advance that the device does not support the encoded format
Pass-Criteria	The Device can receive the Push message successfully in the encoded format.

8.2.24 Validation of Delivery method Confirmed with response

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-25
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid Confirmed with response OTA delivery method of the Push message is established by the PPG to the Device as defined by the submitted message.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.1.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-024
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The delivery method attribute defines if the message is sent via a Confirmed with response Delivery. The bearer is HTTP. If the OTA-HTTP is used and the PI indicates it accepts content from the client in the response to a confirmed push then the ***X-WAP-Push-Info*** header must contain the ***response*** attribute token
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it with various Delivery methods ie Confirmed , Preferconfirmed, Confirmed-with-response, Oneshoot, Unconfirmed, Notspecified via different bearers. The PPG will respond to the PI on the confirmation of delivery to the device in the case of Confirmed Push with the response the client accepts content from the PI The PPG MUST reject the message submission from the initiator if the the PPG does not support or honour this function with the appropriate error code

Pass-Criteria	Ensure that the message is delivered properly to the device and that the PI has had confirmation of content in the case of confirmed Push.

8.2.25 Validation of selection of Push OTA Protocol

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-26
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the PPG can select the OTA protocol [WSP /HTTP]for connection orientated push in an implementation dependant manner.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.1
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-025
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	
Test Procedure	Compose a Message on the Push Initiator and send it via connection oriented. When the PPG receives the message it may send it via PO or TO depending on the setup and configuration of the device and network. If it is PO-TCP the PPG will then send it via HTTP. If the PPG sends it via TO-TCP then the decision is made by sending a Session Initiated request to the terminal. Then the terminal will decide which contact point OTA WSP or OTA HTTP to use to establish a connection.
Pass-Criteria	The message is successfully received by the device via any of the OTA protocols.

8.2.26 Validation of result-notification-message

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-27
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that the PI can support resultnotification and the PI can accept content from the client in its response to a confirmed push
Specification Reference	Section 5.2.2
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-026
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE

Preconditions	The Pap resultnotification message indicates the reportable message status which includes the message state and other information. The status should reflect the message just before the limits of practically sending the result notification. Assuming the PI can accept content from the client in its response to a confirmed push. If the PI does not indicate that it accepts content from the client in response, the content entity must not be present when the resultnotification message is returned to the PI.
Test Procedure	Send a confirmed message from the PI with a content request in which the PPG will return the content acceptable by the device.
Pass-Criteria	The content that is passed to the PI matches what the device sends via its resultnotification.

8.2.27 Validation of Oneshot delivery status

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-28
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid XML One Shot Push message can be sent to the PPG and the PPG onlt attempt delivery once.
Specification Reference	Section 5.1.2.2.5.3
SCR Reference	PPG-GEN-S-027
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	If the message can be delivered successfully. It results in a ***PAP-resultnotification*** which can contain the following ***Message-state*** value "***delivered***" then the Delivery method "***One Shoot***" The ***event-time*** conatins "**Specific Time or estimated time of failure**
Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI as a Oneshot type. The PPG will then attempt message delivery only once. and the response
Pass-Criteria	The message is successfully received by the device..

8.3 Validation of Client Addressing

8.3.1 Validation of Client Addressing

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-29
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a valid Client Addressing methods are used when initating a message to the PPG
Specification Reference	Section 6
SCR Reference	PPG-ADD-S-001
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	Create a message with the clients address in either a special textual address format or as a network-specific address. The PPG will have the responsibility of transforming either format into the match format response. The PPG will respond with the address value in the same format as the submission
Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI with a client address that has a matching address from the PPG in the response. The PPG will transform the address format suitable for the deliver over the wireless network..
Pass-Criteria	The message received by the device is accepted and the PI has accepted the format of the response from the PPG for the submitted message.

8.3.2 Validation of User defined identites

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-30
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a user defined identity can be sent to the PPG
Specification Reference	Section 6
SCR Reference	PPG-ADD-S-002
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The presence of a user-defined identity are arbitary values that are mapped to wireless network addresses in an unspecific manner. The PPG has control over which bearer address will be used. A client can have one or more bearer identities

Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI with a User defined identity. The PPG will accept this message for processing and deliver the successful message via the bearer as choosen by PPG to the client.
Pass-Criteria	The message is successfully received by the device and the PI has accepted the format of the response from the PPG for the submitted message. .

8.3.3 Validation of Device Addresses

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-31
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a device Address can be sent to the PPG
Specification Reference	Section 6
SCR Reference	PPG-ADD-S-003
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE
Preconditions	The presence of a device address from well known wireless addresses spaces The PPG has control over which bearer address will be used. A client can have one or more bearer identities. The bearer level address may invoke a point to multipoint delivery in the wireless network ie cell broadcast. In this case there must be a single result notification if one has been requested.
Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI with a device address. The PPG will accept this message for processing and deliver the successful message via the bearer as choosen by PPG to the client.
Pass-Criteria	The message is successfully received by the device and the PI has accepted the format of the response from the PPG for the submitted message. .

8.3.4 Validation of Client Address format

Test Case ID	Push-PPG-2.2-int-32
Test Object	PPG/Initiator
Test Case Description and Purpose	Verify that a Client Address can be sent to the PPG and be successfully accepted if supported
Specification Reference	Section 6

SCR Reference	PPG-ADD-S-004
ETR Reference	<Reference to the applicable ETR requirement (s), e.g. xxx-04>
Tools	PUSH INITIATOR
Test Code/Files	NON APPLICABLE

Preconditions	The external representation of addresses processed by the PPG is defined using ABNF [RFC2234]. The PPG will parse the address in this format and PPG will determine if it supports the specified address type or not.
	
	The PPG has control over which bearer address will be used. A client can have one or more bearer identities.
	
	MSISDN -/TYPE=PLMN
	`WAPPUSH=+155519990730/TYPE=PLMN@ppg.carrier.com`
	`; device address for a phone number of some wireless network`
	USER …../TYPE=USER
	`WAPPUSH=john.doe%40wapforum.org/TYPE=USER@ppg.carrier.com`
	`; user-defined identifier for john.doe@wapforum.org`
	`wappush=47397547589/type=user@carrier.com`
	`; user-defined identifier for 47397547589`
	
	Ipv4 -- /TYPE=IPV4
	`WAPPUSH=195.153.199.30/TYPE=IPv4@ppg.carrier.com`
	`; device address for an IP v4 address`
	Ipv6 /TYPE=IPV6
	`WAPPUSH=FEDC:BA98:7654:3210:FEDC:BA98:7654:3210/TYPE=IPv6@carrier.com`
	`; device address for an IP v6 address`
	
	Man -/TYPE=MAN
	Escape Value -/TYPE=
	WAPPUSH=12345678/TYPE=MAN@ppg.carrier.com
	; device address for a MAN addressStatic Conformance Requirements (Normative

Test Procedure	Send a message from the PI with a client address. The PPG will accept this message for processing and deliver the successful message if supported via the bearer as choosen by PPG to the client.
Pass-Criteria	The message is successfully received by the device and the PI has accepted the format of the response from the PPG for the submitted message. .

Appendix A. Error Status Codes

The status code is a 4 digit value. The first gigit of the ststus code indicates the class of the code. There are 5 classes

1XXX: Success

2XXX- Client Error

3XXX- Server Error

4XXX- Service Failure

5XXX- Mobile device Abort

			Response Rsult	cancel-result	resultnotification-message	resultnotification-response	statusquery-result	ccq-response	badmessage-response
Code	**Description**								
1000	OK	The request succeeded.		x	x	x	x	x	
1001	Accepted for Processing	The request has been accepted for processing.	x						
2000	Bad Request	Not understood due to malformed syntax.	x	x		x	x	x	x
2001	Forbidden	The request was refused.	x	x	x		x	x	
2002	Address Error	The client specified was not recognised.	x	x	x		x	x	
2003	Address Not Found	The address specified was not found.		x			x		
2004	Push ID Not Found	The Push ID specified was not found.	x	x			x		
2005	Capabilities Mismatch	The capabilities assumed by the PI were not acceptable for the client specified.	x		x				
2006	Required Capabilities Not Supported	The input is in a form not supported by the client.	x		x				
2007	Duplicate Push ID	The Push ID supplied is not unique	x						

			Response Rsult	cancel-result	resultnotification-message	resultnotification-response	statusquery-result	ccq-response	badmessage-response
Code	**Description**								
		within the PPG.							
2008	Cancellation not possible	The Push ID specified was found, but cancellation is not possible	x	x	x				
3000	Internal Server Error	Server could not fulfil request due to internal error.	x	x			x	x	
3001	Not Implemented	Server does not support the requested operation.		x			x	x	
3002	Version not Supported	The server refuses to support the protocol version indicated.							x
3003	Not Possible	Action not possible because message is no longer available.		x			x		
3004	Capability Matching not Supported	The PPG does not support client capability information provided in a push message.	x						
3005	Multiple Addresses Not Supported	The PPG does not support an operation that specified multiple recipients.	x	x			x		
3006	Transformation Failure	The PPG was unable to perform a transformation on the message.	x		x		x		
3007	Specified Delivery Method Not Possible	The PPG could not perform the confirmed or unconfirmed delivery specified.	x		x		x		
3008	Capabilities Not Available	Client capabilities for the specified client are not available.						x	
3009	Required Network Not Available	The network requested is not available.	x		x		x		
3010	Required Bearer Not Available	The bearer requested is not available.	x		x		x		
3011	Replacement Not Supported	The PPG does not support the replace operation	x						
3012	One-shot Not Supported	The PPG or the bearer does not	x						

			Response Rsult	cancel-result	resultnotification-message	resultnotification-response	statusquery-result	ccq-response	badmessage-response
Code	**Description**								
		support one-shot delivery.							
4000	Service Failure	The service failed. The client may re-attempt the operation.			x		x		
4001	Service Unavailable	The server is busy.			x		x		
5xxx	Mobile Client Aborted	The mobile client aborted the operation.			x		x		

Appendix B. Change History (Informative)

B.1 Approved Version History

Reference	Date	Description
n/a	n/a	No prior version –or- No previous version within OMA

B.2 Draft/Candidate Version 2.2 History

Document Identifier	Date	Section	Description
Draft Versions OMA-ETS-Push-V2_2	03 Nov 2006	7	Updates to the OTA section to include the 2.2 revision For security and SMS concatenation.
	20 Nov 2006	All	Updates after review with IOP-BRO
	22 July 2007	5.0	Update to include Provisioning server
	18 Oct 2007	n/a	IOP WG agreed, ref # OMA-IOP-2007-0210-INP_Push_2.2_ETS
Candidate Version OMA-ETS-Push-V2_2	06 Nov 2007	n/a	Status changed to candidate. TP R&A 2007-10-24 to 2007-11-06, TP doc. ref # OMA-TP-2007-0441-INP_ETS_Push_V2_2_for_ candidate_approval
Draft Versions OMA-ETS-Push-V2_2	01 Sep 2009	all	CR incorporated: OMA-IOP-BRO-2009-0099
	02 Oct 2009	All	Latest template applied.
	20 Oct 2009	All	Latest template applied. + comments reinserted into table layout CR IOP-BRO-2009-0109 is added
Candidate Version OMA-ETS-Push-V2_2	27 Jul 2010	n/a	Status changed to candidate by TP R&A TP-10-025 TP ref# OMA-TP-2010-0304-INP_Push22_ETS_for_Candidate_ReApproval