

Cache Operation

Version 31-Jul-2001

Wireless Application Protocol WAP-175-CacheOp-20010731-a

A list of errata and updates to this document is available from the WAP ForumTM Web site, http://www.wapforum.org/, in the form of SIN documents, which are subject to revision or removal without notice.

© 1999-2001, Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd. All Rights Reserved. Terms and conditions of use are available from the WAP Forum[™] Web site (http://www.wapforum.org/what/copyright.htm).

© 1999-2001, Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd. All rights reserved.

Terms and conditions of use are available from the WAP Forum[™] Web site at http://www.wapforum.org/what/copyright.htm.

You may use this document or any part of the document for internal or educational purposes only, provided you do not modify, edit or take out of context the information in this document in any manner. You may not use this document in any other manner without the prior written permission of the WAP ForumTM. The WAP Forum authorises you to copy this document, provided that you retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original materials on any copies of the materials and that you comply strictly with these terms. This copyright permission does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services offered by you.

The WAP ForumTM assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in this document. In no event shall the WAP Forum be liable for any special, indirect or consequential damages or any damages whatsoever arising out of or in connection with the use of this information.

WAP ForumTM members have agreed to use reasonable endeavors to disclose in a timely manner to the WAP Forum the existence of all intellectual property rights (IPR's) essential to the present document. The members do not have an obligation to conduct IPR searches. This information is publicly available to members and non-members of the WAP Forum and may be found on the "WAP IPR Declarations" list at http://www.wapforum.org/what/ipr.htm. Essential IPR is available for license on the basis set out in the schedule to the WAP Forum Application Form.

No representations or warranties (whether express or implied) are made by the WAP Forum[™] or any WAP Forum member or its affiliates regarding any of the IPR's represented on this list, including but not limited to the accuracy, completeness, validity or relevance of the information or whether or not such rights are essential or non-essential.

This document is available online in PDF format at http://www.wapforum.org/.

Known problems associated with this document are published at http://www.wapforum.org/.

Comments regarding this document can be submitted to the WAP ForumTM in the manner published at http://www.wapforum.org/.

Document History		
WAP-175-CacheOp-19991206-a	Approved	
WAP-175_100-CacheOp-20010420-a	SIN	
WAP-175_101-CacheOp-20010206-a	SIN	
WAP-175-CacheOp-20010731-a	Current	

Contents

1. SCOPE (INFORMATIVE)	4
2. REFERENCES	5
2.1. NORMATIVE REFERENCES	5
2.2. INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	5
3. TERMINOLOGY AND CONVENTIONS	6
3.1. CONVENTIONS	
3.2. DEFINITIONS	
3.3. ABBREVIATIONS	
4. INTRODUCTION (INFORMATIVE)	
5. CACHE OPERATION CONTENT FORMAT (NORMATIVE)	9
5.1. THE CO ELEMENT	
5.2. THE INVALIDATE-OBJECT ELEMENT	
5.3. THE INVALIDATE-SERVICE ELEMENT	
6. SEMANTICS (NORMATIVE)	
6.1. BASIC OPERATIONS	
6.2. RELATIVE URI RESOLUTION	
6.4. PREFIX MATCH	
7. SECURITY (NORMATIVE)	
8. CO REFERENCE INFORMATION (NORMATIVE)	12
8.1. DOCUMENT IDENTIFIERS	
8.1.1. SGML Public Identifier	
8.1.2. CO Media Type	
8.2. DOCUMENT TYPE DEFINITION (DTD)	12
9. COMPACT BINARY REPRESENTATION OF CACHE OPERATION (NORMATIVE)	13
9.1. EXTENSION TOKENS	13
9.1.1. Tag Tokens	
9.1.2. Attribute Tokens	
9.2. ENCODING SEMANTICS	
9.2.1. Document valuation	
9.3.1. Tag Tokens	
9.3.2. Attribute Start Tokens	
9.3.3. Attribute Value Tokens	
10. EXAMPLE (INFORMATIVE)	
APPENDIX A. STATIC CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)	
A.1. CLIENT FEATURES	
A.1.1 Content Format and Tokenisation	
A.1.2 Semantics	
A.1.3 Security A.2. PUSH PROXY GATEWAY FEATURES	
A.2.1 General	
A.2.2 Validation	17
APPENDIX B. CHANGE HISTORY (INFORMATIVE)	

1. Scope

(Informative)

Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) is a result of continuous work to define an industry wide specification for developing applications that operate over wireless communication networks. The scope for the WAP Forum is to define a set of specifications to be used by service applications. The wireless market is growing very quickly and reaching new customers and providing new services. To enable operators and manufacturers to meet the challenges in advanced services, differentiation, and fast/flexible service creation, WAP defines a set of protocols in transport, session and application layers. For additional information on the WAP architecture, refer to "*Wireless Application Protocol Architecture Specification*" [WAPARCH].

This specification defines a content type, the *cache operation*, which allows applications to invalidate the content cached in the user agent. It addresses the class of situations where the expiration time of the content to be cached cannot be predicted.

2. References

2.1. Normative References

[CREQ]	"Specification of WAP Conformance Requirements". WAP Forum.	
	WAP-221-CREQ-20000915-a. URL:http//www.wapforum.org/	
[HTTP]	"Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1", R. Fielding, et al. June 1999,	
	URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt	
[PushOTA]	"WAP Push OTA Specification", WAP Forum, 08-Nov-1999	
	URL:http://www.wapforum.org	
[PushMsg]	"WAP Push Message Specification", WAP Forum, 16-August-1999,	
	URL:http://www.wapforum.org	
[RFC2119]	"Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels". S. Bradner. March 1997.	
	URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt	
[RFC2396]	"Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax", T. Berners-Lee, et al., August 1998,	
	URL:http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2396.txt	
[WBXML]	"WAP Binary XML Content Format", WAP Forum, 04-Nov-1999,	
	URL:http://www.wapforum.org	
[XML]	"Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Second Edition)", W3C Recommendation 6-	
	October-2000. T. Bray, et al, 6-October-2000. URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml	

2.2. Informative References

[PushArch]	"WAP Push Architecture Overview", WAP Forum, 08-Nov 1999,
	URL:http://www.wapforum.org
[WAPARCH]	"Wireless Application Protocol Architecture Specification", WAP Forum, 30-April-1998,
	URL:http://www.wapforum.org

3. Terminology and Conventions

3.1. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All sections and appendixes, except "Scope" and "Introduction", are normative, unless they are explicitly indicated to be informative.

3.2. Definitions

Application - A value-added data service provided to a WAP Client. The application may utilise both push and pull data transfer to deliver content

Application-Level Addressing - the ability to address push content between a particular user agent on a WAP client and push initiator on a server.

Client – in the context of push, a client is a device (or service) that expects to receive push content from a server. In the context of pull a client, it is a device initiates a request to a server for content or data. See also "device".

Content - subject matter (data) stored or generated at an origin server. Content is typically displayed or interpreted by a user agent on a client. Content can both be returned in response to a user request, or being pushed directly to a client.

Content Encoding - when used as a verb, content encoding indicates the act of converting a data object from one format to another. Typically the resulting format requires less physical space than the original, is easier to process or store, and/or is encrypted. When used as a noun, content encoding specifies a particular format or encoding standard or process.

Content Format - actual representation of content.

Context – an execution space where variables, state and content are handled within a well-defined boundary.

Device – is a network entity that is capable of sending and/or receiving packets of information and has a unique device address. A device can act as either a client or a server within a given context or across multiple contexts. For example, a device can service a number of clients (as a server) while being a client to another server.

End-user - see "user"

Extensible Markup Language - is a World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) recommended standard for Internet markup languages, of which WML is one such language. XML is a restricted subset of SGML.

Push Framework - the entire WAP push system. The push framework encompasses the protocols, service interfaces, and software entities that provide the means to push data to user agents in the WAP client.

Push Initiator - the entity that originates push content and submits it to the push framework for delivery to a user agent on a client.

Push Proxy Gateway - a proxy gateway that provides push proxy services.

Push Session - A WSP session that is capable of conducting push operations.

Server - a device (or service) that passively waits for connection requests from one or more clients. A server may accept or reject a connection request from a client. A server may initiate a connection to a client as part of a service (push).

User - a user is a person who interacts with a user agent to view, hear, or otherwise use a rendered content. Also referred to as end-user.

© 1999-2001, Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd. All rights reserved **User agent** - a user agent (or content interpreter) is any software or device that interprets resources. This may include textual browsers, voice browsers, search engines, etc.

XML – see *Extensible Markup Language*

3.3. Abbreviations

CO	Cache Operation
DTD	Document Type Definition
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
IANA	Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
IP	Internet Protocol
OTA	Over The Air
PI	Push Initiator
PPG	Push Proxy Gateway
QoS	Quality of Service
RFC	Request For Comments
SGML	Standard Generalized Markup Language
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
WAP	Wireless Application Protocol
WBXML	WAP Binary XML
WSP	Wireless Session Protocol
XML	Extensible Mark-up Language

4. Introduction

(Informative)

The *Cache Operation* provides a means to invalidate content objects in the user agent cache. The invalidated content objects must be reloaded from the origin server the next time they are needed. The Cache Operation is useful for a class of situations when an application cannot predict the expiration time of the content it creates.

A typical example is a mailbox application. The content of the mailbox can change unpredictably as a subscriber receives new messages. Whenever this occurs, the application can issue a cache invalidation operation to inform the user agent that the mailbox content has expired. The next time the user views the mailbox, the most recent version will be requested from the origin server. Use of the *Cache Operation* can be quite efficient when messages arrive more frequently than users check their mailboxes. Sending a cache operation causes much less network load than sending the content of the updated mailbox on every change.

The *Cache Operation* content type is specified as an XML document. It is used to indicate that cache objects with the given URIs or objects with the same URI prefix are no longer valid.

There are two operations: invalidate object and invalidate service,

- invalidate object invalidate the object uniquely identified by the given URI
- invalidate service invalidate all the objects that share the same URI prefix

5. Cache Operation Content Format (Normative)

This section defines the content format used to represent the Cache Operation (CO), which is an application of XML version 1.0 [XML]. The complete Cache Operation DTD is defined in section 8, which an implementation conforming to this specification MUST support.

5.1. The CO Element

```
<!ELEMENT co (invalidate-object | invalidate-service)+>
```

The cache operation contains one or more invalidate-object and/or invalidate-service elements.

5.2. The invalidate-object Element

```
<!ELEMENT invalidate-object EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST invalidate-object
uri CDATA #REQUIRED
>
```

The invalidate-object element specifies that a single cached object whose URI matches the specified uri must be invalidated.

Attributes:

uri=CDATA

The uri attribute specifies the URI of the cached object to invalidate. The uri attribute may be relative or absolute.

5.3. The invalidate-service Element

```
<!ELEMENT invalidate-service EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST invalidate-service
uri CDATA #REQUIRED
```

The invalidate-service element requests that all the cached objects with a URI prefix which matches the specified uri must be invalidated.

Attributes:

uri=CDATA

The uri attribute specifies the URI prefix of the cached objects to invalidate. The uri attribute may be relative or absolute.

6. Semantics

(Normative)

6.1. Basic Operations

A *Cache Operation* requests the user agent to invalidate one or multiple cache objects that may currently reside in the user agent cache when the CO is received. It can be either pushed (i.e., server initiated) or pulled (i.e., client initiated). The effect of the operation is the same regardless of how it is transferred into the user agent.

Invalidation prevents the cached objects from being re-used. The user agent MUST NOT use an invalidated object in any way (e.g., presenting it to the user).

If no object(s) can be identified by using the match rules as defined in section 6.3, the CO MUST be silently discarded.

A CO may be discarded under certain security considerations (see section 7).

If a Date header [PushMsg] is present, the client MAY use it to prevent a delayed CO from invalidating fresh objects. A CO is delayed when its Date header indicates an earlier time than the Date or Last-Modified headers of a cached object. If the CO involves multiple cached objects, this check is applied to each cached object individually. If a CO is delayed with respect to a cached object, it MUST NOT have any effect on the cached object.

6.2. Relative URI Resolution

If a URI in the CO is relative, the base URI is determined according to the rules in [HTTP] and [RFC2396] with the exception that X-Wap-Content-URI header [PushMsg] is substituted for the *Request-URI* [HTTP] in the context of push. If the base URI for a relative URI in an invalidate element cannot be determined, the element MUST be ignored.

Furthermore, [RFC2396] defines additional rules for resolving a relative URI to an absolute URI after the base URI has been determined. These MUST be applied to resolve the relative URI in the CO.

6.3. URI Equivalence

The URI Comparison rules in HTTP/1.1 [HTTP] MUST be used to decide if two URIs match. URI Equivalence rule MUST used by the invalidate object operation.

6.4. Prefix Match

The rules below are used for URI prefix match. Those rules MUST be used by the invalidate service operation. The applicable exception rules for URI Comparison in HTTP/1.1 [HTTP] must be applied when the following rules are utilised.

- The scheme [RFC2396] of the specified URI in the CO must match the scheme of the URI for the cached object.
- The *authority* [RFC2396] of the specified URI in the CO must match the *authority* of the URI for the cached object. If the *authority* of the specified URI in the CO is not present, there is a match only if the *authority* of the URI for the cached object is not present either.
- The *path* of the specified URI in the CO must match the partial or the complete *path* of the URI for the cached object, if the specified URI in the CO has the *path*. The match is performed at the *segment* level (e.g., /abc/ef matches /abc/ef/gh, but not /abc/efz/mn) and starts at the leftmost segment in the path for *abs_path* and the entire path for *opaque_part* [RFC2396].
- The query component is ignored, if it is present in the specified URI in the CO.

7. Security

(Normative)

A user agent which supports the *Cache Operation* may be subject to denial of service attacks. Denial of service attacks neither risk the privacy and integrity of the cached objects nor change the persistent state of the user agent, but they may adversely affect the performance of the user agent because it may be forced to reload still valid objects that have been falsely invalidated.

To protect against denial of service attacks, the user agent SHOULD provide a means to filter out those COs that can not be proved to be from a trusted or authenticated source. No matter what method the user agent uses for this purpose, the user agent SHOULD accept the CO under one of the following conditions for the pushed CO:

- The *Trusted* flag is present.
- The *Authenticated* flag is present and the *authority* [RFC2396] of the URI in the CO matches the *authority* of the URI in the value of X-Wap-Initiator header [PushMsg].

Likewise, the user agent SHOULD accept the CO under the following condition for the pulled CO,

• The *authority* [RFC2396] of URI in the CO matches the *authority* of the URI in the value of the *Request-URI* [HTTP].

The origin server should understand that the CO may be discarded if the above conditions are not met or if implementation dependent means of protection are used in the user agent. As a consequence, a user agent may keep on using the cached objects which are actually invalid. The origin server can alleviate this situation by using an Expires header [PushMsg] even on objects that it expects to invalidate explicitly by using the CO. The value of the Expires headers should be based on the estimated maximum expiration time for the cached object.

8. CO Reference Information

(Normative)

Cache Operation (CO) is an application of XML version 1.0 [XML].

8.1. Document Identifiers

8.1.1. SGML Public Identifier

Note: This identifier has not yet been registered with the IANA or ISO 9070 registrar

-//WAPFORUM//DTD CO 1.0//EN

8.1.2. CO Media Type

Note: These types are not yet registered with the IANA, and are consequently *experimental* media types.

Textual form:

text/vnd.wap.co

Tokenised form:

application/vnd.wap.coc

8.2. Document Type Definition (DTD)

```
<!--
Cache Operation (CO) Document Type Definition.
CO is an XML language. Typical usage:
  <?xml version="1.0"?>
  <!DOCTYPE CO PUBLIC "-//WAPFORUM//DTD CO 1.0//EN"
      "http://www.wapforum.org/DTD/co_1.0.dtd">
  <co>
  </co>
-->
<!ELEMENT co (invalidate-object | invalidate-service)+>
<!ELEMENT invalidate-object EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST invalidate-object
          CDATA
                                    #REQUIRED
 uri
>
<!ELEMENT invalidate-service EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST invalidate-service
                                    #REQUIRED
          CDATA
 uri
```

9. Compact Binary Representation of Cache Operation (Normative)

The CO content format MAY be encoded using a compact binary representation. This content format is based upon the WAP Binary XML Content Format [WBXML].

9.1. Extension Tokens

9.1.1. Tag Tokens

CO defines a set of single-byte tokens corresponding to the tags defined in the DTD. All of these tokens are defined within code page zero.

9.1.2. Attribute Tokens

CO defines a set of single-byte tokens corresponding to the attribute names and values defined in the DTD. All of these tokens are defined within code page zero.

9.2. Encoding Semantics

9.2.1. Document Validation

XML document validation (see [XML]) SHOULD occur during the process of tokenising a CO and, if done, it MUST be based on the DOCTYPE declared in the CO. When validating the source text, the tokenisation process MUST accept any DOCTYPE or public identifier, if the document is identified as a CO media type (section 8.1.2).

The tokenisation process MUST check that the source CO is XML well-formed, and it SHOULD notify the end user (in case of pull) or the push initiator (in case of push) of any well-formedness or validity errors detected in the source CO.

9.3. Numeric Constants

9.3.1. Tag Tokens

The following token codes represent tags in code page zero (0). All numbers are in hexadecimal.

<u>Tag Name</u>	<u>Token</u>
со	5
invalidate-object	6
invalidate-service	7

9.3.2. Attribute Start Tokens

The following token codes represent the start of an attribute in code page zero (0). All numbers are in hexadecimal.

<u>Attribute Name</u>	<u>Attribute Value Prefix</u>	<u>Token</u>
uri		5
uri	http://	6
uri	http://www.	7

<u>Attribute Name</u>	<u>Attribute Value Prefix</u>	<u>Token</u>
uri	https://	8
uri	https://www.	9

9.3.3. Attribute Value Tokens

The following token codes represent attribute values in code page zero (0). All numbers are in hexadecimal.

<u>Attribute Value</u>	<u>Token</u>
.com/	85
.edu/	86
.net/	87
.org/	88

10. Example

(Informative)

The example below illustrates how a CO can be tokenised.

For this example, let's assume that the value in the Content-Location header is /abc/ and the value in the X-Wap-Content-URI header is http://www.xyz.com/. The base URI is resolved as http://www.xyz.com/abc/. The outcome of this CO may be to invalidate a single cached object as identified by http://www.xyz.com/abc/foo.wml and multiple cached objects which have the URI prefix http://www.xyz.com/bar.

The tokenised form of this example (numbers in hexadecimal), using the WBXML encoding defined in section 9, is found below. The textual CO consists of about 150 octets, while the tokenised form consists of 27 octets.

02 07 6A 00 45 86 05 03 'f' 'o' 'o' '.' 'w' 'm' 'l' '\0' 01 87 05 03 '/' 'b' 'a' 'r' '\0' 01 01

In an expanded and annotated form:

Token Stream	<u>Description</u>	
02	Version number - WBXML version 1.2	
07	CO 1.0 Public Identifier	
03	Charset="US-ASCII"	
00	String table length	
45	co with content	
86	invalidate-object with attributes	
05	uri =	
03	Inline string follows	
'f', 'o', 'o', '.', 'w', 'm', 'l', '\0'	String	
01	END (of invalidate-object attribute list)	
87	invalidate-service with attributes	
05	uri =	
03	Inline string follows	
'/', 'b', 'a', 'r', '\0'	String	
01	END (of invalidate-service attribute list)	

<u>Token Stream</u>	<u>Description</u>
01	END (of co element)

Appendix A. Static Conformance Requirements (Normative)

The notation used in this appendix is specified in [CREQ].

A.1. Client Features

A.1.1 Content Format and Tokenisation

Item	Function	Reference	Status	Requirement
CO-CF-C-001	Support for CO in textual form (text/vnd.wap.co)	5	0	
CO-CF-C-002	Support for CO in tokenised form (application/vnd.wap.coc)	9	М	
CO-CF-C-003	Support for the CO token table	9.3	М	

A.1.2 Semantics

Item	Function	Reference	Status	Requirement
CO-SEM-C-001	Invalidate object	6	М	
CO-SEM-C-002	Invalidate service	6	М	
CO-SEM-C-003	Use URI Equivalence rule	6.3	М	
CO-SEM-C-004	Use Prefix Match rule	6.4	М	
CO-SEM-C-005	Handling relative URI	6.2	М	

A.1.3 Security

Item	Function	Reference	Status	Requirement
CO-SEC-C-001	Protection for the denial of service attacks	7	0	
CO-SEC-C-002	Use Acceptance Conditions for CO	7	0	

A.2. Push Proxy Gateway Features

A.2.1 General

Item	Function	Reference	Status	Requirement
CO-PPG-S-001	Send a CO to client in textual form (text/vnd.wap.co)	5	М	
CO-PPG-S-002	Send a CO to client in tokenised form (application/vnd.wap.coc)	9	М	
CO-PPG-S-003	Support for the CO token table.	9.3	М	

A.2.2 Validation

Item	Function	Reference	Status	Requirement
CO-VAL-S-001	XML well-formed	9.2.1	М	
CO-VAL-S-002	XML validation	9.2.1	М	

Appendix B. Change History

(Informative)

Type of Change	Date	Section	Description
WAP-175-CacheOp-19991206-a	06-Dec-1999	All	The initial version of this document.
WAP-175_100-CacheOp-20010420-a	20-Apr-2001	Appendix A	SCR SIN
WAP-175_101-CacheOp-20010206-a	06-Feb-2001	10	Corrects errors in Example
Class 3	31-Jul-2001	All	WAP 2.0 roll-up and template